

Chesapeake Bay Maryland (CBM) NERR Water Quality Metadata
January – December 2022
Latest Update: January 22, 2024

I. Data Set and Research Descriptors

1) Principal investigator(s) and contact persons –

Jennifer Raulin, Manager
Chesapeake Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve Maryland
Maryland Department of Natural Resources
Tawes State Office Building, E-2
580 Taylor Avenue, E-2
Annapolis, MD 21401
Phone: (410) 260-8745
Fax: (410) 260-8739
e-mail: jennifer.raulin@maryland.gov

Kyle Derby, Research Coordinator
Chesapeake Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve Maryland
Maryland Department of Natural Resources
Tawes State Office Building, E-2
580 Taylor Avenue, E-2
Annapolis, MD 21401
Phone: (410) 260-8724
Fax: (410) 260-8739
e-mail: kyle.derby@maryland.gov

Lauren Cunningham, Research Technician
Maryland Department of Natural Resources
1919 Lincoln Drive
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
Phone: (410) 990-4503
Fax: (410) 263-2468
email: lauren.cunningham@maryland.gov

2) Entry verification –

Deployment data are uploaded from the YSI data logger to a personal computer with Windows 7 or newer operating system. Files are exported from EcoWatch in a comma-delimited format (.CDF), EcoWatch Lite in a comma separated file (CSV) or KOR Software in a comma separated file (CSV) and uploaded to the CDMO where they undergo automated primary QAQC; automated Depth/Level corrections for changes in barometric pressure (cDepth or cLevel parameters); and become part of the CDMO's online provisional database. All pre- and post-deployment data are removed from the file prior to upload. A reserve may opt to include additional non-required data during primary upload, such as chlorophyll/fluorescence data. CBM NERR does collect and upload chlorophyll fluorescence data (see section 4 for additional chlorophyll methodology information). During primary QAQC, data are flagged if they are missing or out of sensor range. The edited file is then returned to the reserve for secondary QAQC where it is opened in Microsoft Excel and processed using the CDMO's NERRQAQC Excel macro. The macro inserts station codes, creates metadata worksheets for flagged data and summary statistics, and graphs the data for review. It allows the user to apply QAQC flags and codes to the data, remove any overlapping deployment data, append files, and export the resulting data file for upload to the CDMO. CBM NERR applies codes to data that are out of water due to low water depth, data obtained from sensors that malfunctioned/broke/post-calibrated out of range, data skewed by heavy biofouling, and data that appear as

anomalous “spikes.” To objectify what qualifies as a spiked data point and decrease the inherent subjectivity of such determinations, a data point is coded as a blocked optic or turbidity/chlorophyll spike if it is at least three times greater than both its preceding and following values. Other anomalous data are coded with the appropriate code as well as a “see metadata” code to further explain their exclusion from the dataset. Upload after secondary QAQC results in ingestion into the database as provisional plus data, recalculation of cDepth or cLevel parameters, and finally tertiary QAQC by the CDMO and assimilation into the CDMO’s authoritative online database. Where deployment overlap occurs between files, the data produced by the newly calibrated sonde is generally accepted as being the most accurate. For more information on QAQC flags and codes, see Sections 11 and 12. Lauren Cunningham is responsible for data management at CBM NERR.

3) Research objectives –

One of the objectives of the monitoring program at CBM NERR is to conform to the NERR System Wide Monitoring Program (SWMP) where the overall goal is a long-term dataset providing baseline water quality information capable of tracking trends and identifying changes in water quality over temporal and spatial scales. In addition to the aforementioned NERR-wide research objectives, reserve-specific objectives include understanding how anthropogenic activities affect water quality and examining the effects of submerged macrophyte communities on water quality. To accomplish this, monitoring sites were selected that characterize the variety of habitat and water quality conditions existing at two of the three components that make up the CBM NERR, the Jug Bay and Otter Point Creek components. At the Jug Bay component, three sites were selected that span the range of conditions thought to be typical of this site. These sites include a reference site, an impaired site and a mainstem site; where the reference site is thought to have little anthropogenic-induced effect on water quality, an impaired site where anthropogenic activities strongly influence local water quality, and a mainstem site thought to be highly representative of mainstem water quality conditions at the Jug Bay component. The fourth site is located at the Otter Point Creek component, a much smaller component, and is thought to represent typical water quality conditions at this site. All four sites span the range of habitat conditions at these components to include varying abundances of submerged macrophyte communities as well as varying depth and energy regimes from shallow tidal creeks to proportionately deep tidal river systems to shallow open water embayments. Additional monitoring, outside the scope of this effort, is being done at all three components: Jug Bay, Otter Point Creek, and Monie Bay. These efforts use comparable field sampling methods, with high spatial resolution, to better understand the spatial variability between and around the sites monitored in this effort.

4) Research methods –

Water quality measurements were taken every 15 minutes from January through December 2022 at each station, weather permitting. One YSI6600V2 or YSI EXO2 data logger is deployed at each station. All data are recorded in Eastern Standard Time. When a datasonde is retrieved, another datasonde is deployed at the same time to ensure a continuous dataset. During transport to and from the sampling sites, dataloggers are placed horizontally in a cooler with a damp towel. The cooler lid is kept slightly ajar, allowing the datalogger to be in equilibrium with the ambient barometric pressure.

Deployment apparatuses are constructed out of 4" diameter PVC pipe and suspended vertically in the water column. 2" diameter holes are cut into the PVC pipes at 2" intervals to guarantee free flow of water through the PVC pipe. The pipes are painted with Trinidad SR antifouling paint. The pipe is attached to a 2x4, also painted with antifouling paint, using two copper plated clevis hangers, one above the surface of the water and another towards the bottom of the 2x4 where it is submerged in the water. The 2x4 is bolted to a piling with the bottom of the PVC pipe just resting on the bottom of the riverbed. A stop bolt is inserted horizontally through the PVC pipe at a height of 0.25 meters from the bottom of the pipe to keep the YSI instrument at a constant depth above bottom.

Measurements for temperature, specific conductance, salinity, percent oxygen saturation, dissolved oxygen concentration, water depth, pH, turbidity, and chlorophyll fluorescence are recorded every 15 minutes. Deployments range from two to four weeks, depending on biofouling intensity (temperature dependent) and availability of field personnel. When a deployment concludes, YSI dataloggers are replaced with newly serviced and calibrated instruments. At the time of replacement, one (1) or two (2) simultaneous 15-minute overlapping readings

are taken between the old and new YSI instruments, as well as an in situ reading with a series 4a Hydrolab or YSI EXO1 sonde in order to provide a QA/QC check of the old and new instruments. All simultaneous overlapping readings are taken prior to the previously deployed sonde being disturbed in any way. Once retrieved, the sondes are placed in a cooler with a damp towel for transport back to the lab. The sondes are then placed in a bucket with 100% air-saturated water, continuing to log data every 15 minutes. DO post-calibration record is taken from this logged data either the same day or within the following three days, using the current barometric pressure reading from a mercury barometer. Logging is then stopped, and YSI sondes are post calibrated using the same standards as used in the calibration.

Deployment data are collected, and data are uploaded onto a PC, archived, and then put through a QA/QC process. Efforts are made to relate sensor conditions to any apparent outliers or anomalies (e.g., any biofouling present, wiper malfunctions, optical shorts, etc.). Data are reviewed and edited according to the YSI Data Review and Editing Protocol in Appendix B of the CDMO manual. Data loggers and sensors are cleaned, serviced, calibrated, and post-calibrated according to the methods described in the YSI Operating Manual and SWMP Operating Procedures. Laboratory calibration procedures are carried out in accordance with the YSI Operating Manual methods. A polymer-based turbidity standard is purchased from YSI (part #607300). Standards for pH (7 and 10 buffers) and Chlorophyll (Rhodamine WT) are purchased from Fisher Scientific, a YSI approved vendor. Specific conductance standards are prepared in-house, from A.C.S. certified KCl and reverse osmosis deionized water. The pH, specific conductance, depth, turbidity, and chlorophyll sensors are calibrated using the following methods: 2-point pH 7 and 10, specific conductance standard to the nearest concentration of river (with following standards 6.668 mS/cm and 24.82 mS/cm), pressure-dependent depth in the air, 2-point turbidity standards of 0 (deionized water) and 126 NTU's (6600 V2) or 124 NTU's (EXO2), 2-point chlorophyll standards of 0 (deionized water) and temperature-dependent Rhodamine WT standard. The DO sensor is calibrated using the YSI recommended aerated water in a bucket method. Sensors are immersed in the appropriate standard solutions (e.g., pH) and readings recorded using discrete sampling. As a quality assurance check, YSI datalogger records during sonde deployment and retrieval are compared to the series 4a Hydrolab or YSI EXO1 instrument. Post-deployment measurements of all the parameters are recorded before cleaning the data loggers.

Because chlorophyll fluorescence data is collected *in vivo* there is an inherent loss of accuracy due to lack of disruption of the cells and subsequent extraction of chlorophyll, possible interference from other fluorescent organisms, and the inverse effects of temperature and light. Chlorophyll data should be used only as estimates of chlorophyll activity, not as accurate quantitative measurements. These limitations are reduced by following calibration and Rhodamine WT standard protocol according to the YSI Operating Manual. Chlorophyll data are considered as accurate as possible when matchup readings correlate and post-calibration is within range of the temperature-dependent standard, suggesting there was no sensor drift in readings during the deployment. For more accurate chlorophyll measurements contact the Research Coordinator for the extractive analysis data obtained from field grab samples.

A Sutron Sat-Link2 transmitter was installed at the Railroad Bridge (RR) station on 11/18/05 and transmitted data to the NOAA GOES satellite, NESDIS ID # 3B00629C. This Sutron unit stopped transmitting at the end of 2018 and was replaced with a Storm3 logger and GOES V2 satellite transmitter unit on 9/22/2022 that transmits data to the NOAA GOES satellite, NESDIS ID # 3B00629C. A Sutron Sat-Link2 transmitter was also installed at the Otter Point Creek (OC) station on 09/25/2006 and transmitted data to the NOAA GOES satellite, NESDIS ID # 3B03D61C. This Sutron unit stopped transmitting at the beginning of 2022 and was replaced with a Storm3 logger and GOES V2 satellite transmitter unit on 8/4/2022 that transmits data to the NOAA GOES satellite, NESDIS ID # 3B03D61C. The transmissions are scheduled hourly and contain four (4) datasets reflecting fifteen-minute data sampling intervals. Upon receipt by the CDMO, the data undergoes the same automated primary QAQC process detailed in Section 2 above. The "real-time" telemetry data become part of the provisional dataset until undergoing secondary and tertiary QAQC and assimilation in the CDMO's authoritative online database. Provisional and authoritative data are available at www.nerrsdata.org.

5) Site location and character –

The Chesapeake Bay Maryland NERR is comprised of three components, Otter Point Creek, Jug Bay, and Monie Bay, which are scattered throughout the Maryland portion of the Chesapeake Bay. All three components are thought to represent the diverse semi-diurnal estuarine environments of the Maryland portion of the Chesapeake Bay.

Otter Point Creek is a shallow, open water embayment located in the tidal headwaters of the Bush River on the Upper Western Shore of the Chesapeake Bay. Otter Point Creek is the smallest and proportionately shallowest of the three components and consists of 672 acres of open water, tidal marshes, forested wetlands, and upland hardwood forests surrounded by major highways, large residential communities, and heavy commercial and industrial development. The watershed draining into Otter Point Creek is rapidly being developed and urbanized. As a result, sediments are rapidly accreting into the marsh and are very fine and flocculent resulting in typically high turbidity when submerged macrophytes are not present. The non-native *Hydrilla verticillata* submerged macrophyte invaded the marsh in 2002 and has colonized most bottom substrates less than one half meter depth at low tide. There is one station (OC) located at the Otter Point Creek component.

Jug Bay is located in the upper tidal reaches of the Patuxent River and represents a river dominated by tidal freshwater marsh with expansive emergent vegetation communities. The Patuxent River is located on the western shore of the Chesapeake Bay and drains highly urbanized areas of the Washington Metropolitan area. Jug Bay is a 722-acre tidal estuary providing a narrow transition zone between brackish marshes and upland freshwater wetlands. The broad, shallow waters of Jug Bay support a profusion of freshwater plants and animals. Emergent and submerged vegetation crowd the river channel and form an interlaced pattern of tidal and nontidal marshes, swamps and forested wetlands surrounded by upland woods and fields. The component has deep water river dominated areas (>10m depth) as well as an extensive shallow water (<1m depth) network of tidal creeks and flooded mud flats. Submerged macrophytes are persistent along the shoreline of these creeks and are extensive within the flooded mud flats and the emergent marshes. There are three stations (MC, RR, IP) located at the Jug Bay Component.

Monie Bay is located on the lower Eastern Shore of the Chesapeake Bay at the mouth of the Wicomico River. The Monie Bay Component represents a mesohaline bay with primarily three tidal creeks representing a variety of agricultural input. The local area is largely undeveloped with varying agriculture and rural residential land use. The component is dominated by salt marshes with tidal fresh marshes in the upper tidal reaches of the tributaries. Shallow water habitats give way to fringing submerged macrophyte communities. One monitoring site (MB) is located within this component. MB is a secondary site, established effective January 1, 2020. Non SWMP compliant data had been collected starting 2006 but not submitted to the CDMO and may be obtained directly from the reserve.

The following is a list of the 5 sites with site characteristics:

Mataponi Creek (MC)

38° 44.599'N, 76° 42.446'W (NAD83)

38.74331667, -76.70743333 (GIS format)

Site MC is located in a small tributary off the upper tidal headwaters of the Patuxent River, Maryland. MC is 2.4 km upstream of the mouth, midchannel in the creek, which is approximately 7m wide. The southern bank is steep and covered mainly with hardwood trees while the northern bank is tidal marsh. The sonde is deployed vertically in a perforated PVC pipe. Average depth at this site is roughly 0.7 meters with a mean tidal fluctuation of approximately 0.6 m. The YSI is deployed 0.25m off the creek bottom. Salinities at this site rarely exceed 0.1 ppt. The bottom habitat is soft sediment, and SAV grassbeds are abundant during the summer months. Because this site is located along the main channel of Mataponi Creek, water quality is reflective of the general quality of water flowing along the main portion of the creek. The SAV community at this site is seasonally very dense and thus water quality is thought to be strongly influenced by the presence of SAV during the summer months. Freshwater inputs are not quantified. Any pollutants would most likely be due to agricultural runoff. No USGS gauge for streamflow is available.

Railroad Bridge (RR)

38° 46.877'N, 76° 42.822'W (NAD 83)
38.78128333, -76.7137 (GIS format)

Site RR is located in the mainstem of the upper tidal headwaters of the Patuxent River, Maryland. The site is slightly upstream (roughly 0.3km) from Jackson's Landing at the Patuxent River Park (previous PR site 2002). This section of the Patuxent River is approximately 70m wide and average depth at the site is 1.4m. The YSI is deployed 0.25 m off of the river bottom. Bottom habitat is soft sediment, and grassbeds are evident in the area during summer months. Mean tidal fluctuation is approximately 0.6 m. Salinities are typically less than 1 ppt at this site throughout the year. In 2003 this site was moved from 38° 46' 50.6" N, 76° 42' 29.1" W (Jug Bay) to its present location because of the shallow nature of the old site. The new site location (RR) is at the end of the old railroad bed and is deployed vertically in a perforated PVC pipe near midchannel of the Patuxent River. Because this site is located along the main channel of the Patuxent River, water quality is reflective of the general quality of water flowing along the main portion of the river. The site is roughly 1km downstream of the confluence of the Western Branch tributary and the Patuxent River Mainstem, thus water quality is influenced by the Western Branch. A large wastewater treatment plant (averaging 20 mgd) discharges directly into the Western Branch tributary of the Patuxent River just upstream of IP. USGS streamflow for the closest gauge (Latitude 38°57'21.3"N, Longitude 76°41'37.3"W NAD83): yearly mean of approximately 350 – 430 cfs.

Iron Pot Landing (IP)
38° 47.760'N, 76° 43.248' W (NAD 83)
38.796, -76.7208 (GIS Format)

Site IP is located 2.09km from the mouth of Western Branch. IP is attached vertically off a small pier near midchannel of the river and has an average depth of 1.6m. The YSI is deployed 0.25 m off the river bottom. The site is roughly 1km downstream of a large (20 mgd) wastewater treatment plant effluent. The river is approximately 15m wide and flows through extensive riparian buffers. Both banks of the river are flanked by hardwood flora. Mean tidal fluctuation is approximately 0.6 m. Salinity at this site is generally 0.1 ppt. The bottom habitat is soft sediment, and grassbeds are evident during the summer months. USGS streamflow for the closest gauge (Latitude 38°48'51.2"N, Longitude 76°44'55.4"W NAD83): yearly mean of approximately 100 – 130 cfs. In addition, a wastewater treatment plant discharges about 15 – 30 cfs about 1 km upstream of site.

Otter Point Creek (OC)
39° 27.047'N, 76° 16.474'W (NAD 83)
39.45078333, -76.27456667 (GIS Format)

Site OC is located approximately 0.3km from the Anita C. Leight Estuary Center. OC is deployed vertically in a perforated PVC pipe and has an average depth of 0.7m. The YSI is deployed 0.25 m off the creek bottom. The bottom habitat is extremely soft sediment, and grass beds inundate the site during summer months. Salinity at this station rarely rises above 0.1 ppt. Mean tidal fluctuation is about 0.3 m. The average water levels are generally lower in the winter due to north and northwest winds that increase the egress from Chesapeake Bay. The sonde is periodically exposed to very low tides, and sediments at the site are extremely fine and flocculent. Because of the shallowness of the tidal marsh, coupled with the dramatic daily changes in the depth and width of the stream, deployments at the site present many problems. These problems include periodic exposure of the sonde, very high turbidity, sedimentation rates associated with tidal infiltration, and wind and wave generated resuspension that causes severe fouling of the probes. Water quality at the site represents extreme shallow water habitats. Thus, it is not uncommon to see very large fluctuations in temperature and dissolved oxygen at this site ranging from complete anoxia to full saturation, due in part to the shallow nature of the site and the effects of marsh processes on water quality. Additionally, the site is seasonally dominated by dense SAV communities from June-October and thus water quality conditions during this time are likely influenced by the presence of these macrophytes. USGS streamflow for the closest gauge (Latitude 39°26'21.4"N, Longitude 76°18'21.7"W NAD83): yearly mean of approximately 90 cfs. Site is in substantially urban environment which accounts for its flashiness. Pollutants are mostly urban run-off, with some industrial discharge possible.

Monie Bay (MB) (Secondary SWMP Station)
38 12.513' N, 75 48.275' W (NAD83)

38.20855, -75.80458333 (GIS Format)

Site MB is located on Little Monie Creek, a tidal creek draining into Monie Bay. Monie Bay is a small embayment of the Chesapeake Bay of Maryland's Eastern Shore. MB is located approximately 4km upstream of the mouth of Little Monie Creek, attached to the end of a pier at the Monie Bay Field Station. Much of the creek is flanked on both sides by emergent brackish tidal marsh, however upstream of the station agricultural areas comprise most of the watershed, with a small woodland buffer between the agricultural areas and the fringing tidal marsh. The sonde is housed in a vertical PVC pipe, approximately 0.25m off the creek bottom, which is composed of soft unconsolidated sediments. The average depth is 0.8m. The semi-diurnal tidal fluctuation is approximately 0.8m. Salinity at this site rarely falls below 4 ppt or above 15 ppt., except during exceptional events. Due to the tidal nature of this station, large variation of the data, both seasonally and daily is observed. No USGS streamflow gauge is available.

SWMP Station Timeline

Station Code	SWMP Status	Station Name	Location	Active Dates	Reason Decommissioned	Notes
RR	P	Railroad Bridge	38°57'21.3"N 76°41'37.3"W	04/04/03 – present	NA	NA
IP	P	Iron Pot Landing	38°48'51.2"N 76°44'55.4"W	04/04/03 – present	NA	NA
MC	P	Mataponi Creek	38° 44.599'N, 76° 42.446'W	04/22/03 – present	NA	NA
OC	P	Otter Point Creek	39°26'21.4"N 76°18'21.7"W	04/15/03 - present	NA	NA
MB	S	Monie Bay	38 12.513' N 75 48.275' W	07/18/06 - present	NA	NA
JB	P	Jug Bay	38° 46' 45.12 N, 76° 42' 27.72 W	7/1/95 - 12/1/02	Inadequate deployment structure, poor representation of river	NA
PR	P	Patuxent River	38° 46' 23.52 N, 76° 42' 32.76 W	7/1/95 - 12/1/02	Inadequate deployment structure, poor representation of river	NA

6) Data collection period –

Long-term data collection using sondes at Railroad Bridge (Jug Bay Wetlands Sanctuary) (RR) began on April 4, 2003; Mataponi Creek (MC) began April 22, 2003; Iron Pot Landing (IP) began April 4, 2003; Otter Point Creek (OC) began April 15, 2003; and Monie Bay (MB) began July 18, 2006.

2022 deployment dates and times are as follows. All times are in Eastern Standard Time (EST).

Railroad Bridge (RR)

Deployment Date / Time	Retrieval Date / Time
1/1/2022 0:00	1/19/2022 9:30
1/19/2022 9:45	2/16/2022 9:00
2/16/2022 9:15	3/9/2022 11:15
3/9/2022 11:30	4/7/2022 8:00
4/7/2022 8:15	4/21/2022 7:30
4/21/2022 7:45	5/3/2022 8:45
5/3/2022 9:00	5/18/2022 8:15
5/18/2022 8:30	6/2/2022 7:45
6/2/2022 8:00	6/15/2022 8:30
6/15/2022 8:45	6/29/2022 8:30
6/29/2022 9:00	7/12/2022 7:30
7/12/2022 7:45	7/27/2022 8:30
7/27/2022 8:45	8/10/2022 8:00
8/10/2022 8:15	8/23/2022 7:45
8/23/2022 8:00	9/7/2022 10:15
9/7/2022 10:30	9/22/2022 10:30
9/22/2022 11:00	10/3/2022 10:45
10/3/2022 11:00	10/19/2022 8:00
10/19/2022 8:15	11/17/2022 8:30
11/17/2022 8:45	12/6/2022 8:30
12/6/2022 8:45	12/31/2022 23:45

Iron Pot Landing (IP)

Deployment Date / Time	Retrieval Date / Time
1/1/2022 0:00	1/17/2022 10:45
1/27/2022 8:45 *switched to EXO2 sonde	2/16/2022 10:15
2/16/2022 10:30	3/9/2022 8:30
3/9/2022 8:45	4/7/2022 9:30
4/7/2022 9:45	4/21/2022 9:00
4/21/2022 9:15	5/3/2022 11:30
5/3/2022 11:45	5/18/2022 9:45
5/18/2022 10:00	6/2/2022 8:30
6/2/2022 8:45	6/15/2022 9:45
6/15/2022 10:00	6/29/2022 10:00
6/29/2022 10:30	7/12/2022 10:00
7/12/2022 10:15	8/10/2022 10:45
8/10/2022 11:00	8/25/2022 11:15
8/25/2022 12:15	9/7/2022 11:15
9/7/2022 11:30	9/22/2022 8:00
9/22/2022 8:15	10/3/2022 8:15
10/3/2022 8:30	10/19/2022 10:15
10/19/2022 10:30	11/17/2022 10:00
11/17/2022 10:15	12/6/2022 11:00

12/6/2022 11:30

12/31/2022 23:45

Mataponi Creek (MC)

Deployment Date / Time	Retrieval Date / Time
1/1/2022 0:00	1/10/2022 13:30
3/9/2022 10:00 *switched to EXO2 sonde	4/7/2022 10:45
4/7/2022 11:00	4/21/2022 10:00
4/21/2022 10:15	5/3/2022 10:30
5/3/2022 10:45	5/18/2022 11:30
5/18/2022 11:45	6/2/2022 9:30
6/2/2022 9:45	6/15/2022 11:45
6/15/2022 12:00	6/29/2022 11:30
6/29/2022 11:45	7/12/2022 9:00
7/12/2022 9:15	7/27/2022 10:15
7/27/2022 10:30	8/10/2022 9:45
8/10/2022 10:00	8/23/2022 10:00
8/23/2022 10:15	9/7/2022 12:30
9/7/2022 12:45	9/22/2022 9:15
9/22/2022 9:30	10/3/2022 9:30
10/3/2022 9:45	10/19/2022 9:15
10/19/2022 9:30	11/17/2022 11:15
11/17/2022 11:30	12/9/2022 9:30
12/9/2022 9:45	12/21/2022 12:15

Otter Point Creek (OC)

Deployment Date / Time	Retrieval Date / Time
1/1/2022 0:00	1/6/2022 9:45
3/3/2022 9:30	4/7/2022 11:00
4/7/2022 11:15	4/19/2022 11:30
4/19/2022 11:45	4/25/2022 13:15
4/25/2022 13:30	5/5/2022 7:30
5/5/2022 7:45	5/19/2022 10:45
5/19/2022 11:00	6/1/2022 10:45
6/1/2022 11:15	6/16/2022 9:45
6/16/2022 10:00	6/30/2022 11:45
6/30/2022 12:00	7/14/2022 12:15
7/14/2022 12:30	7/26/2022 11:15
7/26/2022 11:30	8/11/2022 9:00
8/11/2022 9:15	8/26/2022 7:30
8/26/2022 8:30	9/8/2022 10:30
9/8/2022 10:45	9/22/2022 8:30
9/22/2022 8:45	10/5/2022 9:30

10/5/2022 9:45	10/20/2022 8:00
10/20/2022 8:15	11/3/2022 9:00
11/3/2022 9:15	12/13/2022 12:15
12/13/2022 12:30	12/21/2022 10:00

Monie Bay (MB)

Deployment Date / Time	Retrieval Date / Time
1/1/2022 0:00	1/11/2022 11:30
1/11/2022 11:45	2/16/2022 13:00
2/16/2022 13:15	3/9/2022 12:45
3/9/2022 13:00	4/14/2022 9:00
4/14/2022 9:15	5/11/2022 8:30
5/11/2022 8:45	6/9/2022 9:15
6/9/2022 9:30	7/7/2022 11:00
7/7/2022 11:15	8/4/2022 13:15
8/4/2022 13:30	8/4/2022 22:45
8/31/2022 11:30	9/28/2022 9:00
9/28/2022 9:15	10/10/2022 1:15
10/26/2022 8:45	11/9/2022 12:15
11/9/2022 12:30	12/1/2022 10:15
12/1/2022 10:30	12/31/2022 23:45

7) Distribution –

NOAA retains the right to analyze, synthesize and publish summaries of the NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program data. The NERRS retains the right to be fully credited for having collected and processed the data. Following academic courtesy standards, the NERR site where the data were collected should be contacted and fully acknowledged in any subsequent publications in which any part of the data are used. The data set enclosed within this package/transmission is only as good as the quality assurance and quality control procedures outlined by the enclosed metadata reporting statement. The user bears all responsibility for its subsequent use/misuse in any further analyses or comparisons. The Federal government does not assume liability to the Recipient or third persons, nor will the Federal government reimburse or indemnify the Recipient for its liability due to any losses resulting in any way from the use of this data.

Requested citation format:

NOAA National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS). System-wide Monitoring Program. Data accessed from the NOAA NERRS Centralized Data Management Office website: <http://www.nerrsdata.org/>; accessed 12 October 2022.

NERR water quality data and metadata can be obtained from the Research Coordinator at the individual NERR site (please see Principal Investigators and Contact Persons), from the Data Manager at the Centralized Data Management Office (please see personnel directory under the general information link on the CDMO home page) and online at the CDMO home page www.nerrsdata.org. Data are available in comma delimited format.

8) Associated researchers and projects –

As part of the SWMP long-term monitoring program, CBM NERR also monitors 15-minute meteorological data along with monthly grab samples and diel sampling for nutrient data which may be correlated with this water quality dataset. These data are available at www.nerrsdata.org. The weather station is maintained by the Maryland

Department of Natural Resources Continuous Monitoring Program. The principal objectives are to record meteorological information for the Chesapeake Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve in Maryland. This information is available for the following: 1) to track and record atmospheric and meteorological conditions useful to help understand and explain additional data collected concurrently 2) to create a database capable of detecting long-term changes in weather patterns 3) to record and identify the impact of storms, hurricanes, heavy rain and other episodic weather events capable of influencing other environmental conditions such as water quality (as monitored by the SWMP effort) and to collect ancillary data in support of other research efforts. The weather station records temperature, relative humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, wind direction, light as measured by a LI-COR Quantum Sensor, and precipitation.

The Jug Bay Wetlands Sanctuary staff has been collecting weekly to monthly temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and nutrient samples at various tidal and non-tidal sites throughout the Jug Bay marsh since 1989. One of their historic sites includes the current (RR) site as well as the historic (1995-2002) (JB) site. Sampling for their sites is done monthly throughout the year (when ice is not present) and includes parameters such as nitrate/nitrite, ammonium and chlorophyll a. Additionally, the staff samples at other sites throughout the Jug Bay marsh, which provide additional similar data at a larger spatial scale.

Staff at the Anita C. Leight Estuary Center at Otter Point Creek, in conjunction with CBNERR/MD staff, have also been collecting bi-weekly to monthly temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, total suspended solids, chlorophyll a, and nutrient samples (to include nitrate/nitrite, ammonium, ortho-phosphate, total nitrogen and total phosphorus) at the same location as datalogger OC and 5 other sites in the Otter Point Creek marsh since 2002. For more information on either the Jug Bay Wetlands Sanctuary or Otter Point Creek monitoring, contact Kyle Derby, the Reserve's Research Coordinator.

An additional ten stations throughout the Monie Bay Component are monitored for water quality by reserve staff and data can be obtained by contacting the Reserve's Research Coordinator. Reserve staff also monitor sediment accretion or erosion using surface elevation tables in the Monie Bay marshes. The Maryland Department of the Environment collects information on fecal coliform contamination at different shellfish sampling stations located within the Monie Bay system. Routine and specialized habitat, wildlife monitoring studies have been conducted in the Monie Bay system by various units of Maryland Department of Natural Resources.

Additional discrete nutrient data and semi-continuous water quality data is also available through the Department of Natural Resources Continuous Monitoring Program (see <http://eyesonthebay.dnr.maryland.gov/>) that provides increased spatial coverage of many of the same parameters for 2022. This monitoring program included as many as 12 additional continuous monitoring sites (similar to the CBM NERR effort) throughout Maryland tidal waters sampled semi-continuously (every 15 minutes) from April-October 2022. In addition to the high temporal resolution of water quality at these sites, Maryland Department of Natural Resources also conducts water quality cruises between and amongst many of these same sites which are used to create interpolated water quality maps, providing a high degree of spatial resolution around their permanent continuous monitoring (YSI sonde) sites. Interpolated water quality maps are available for all three Chesapeake Bay Components through the Maryland Department of Natural Resources or CBM NERR. The Maryland Department of Natural Resources Continuous Monitoring Program began in 1999. For more information on this program and the water quality monitoring cruises see <http://eyesonthebay.dnr.maryland.gov/>.

II. Physical Structure Descriptors

9) Sensor specifications –

In 2022, CBM NERR deployed 6600 V2 data sondes with ROX DO sensors and non-vented depth at IP from 1/1/2022 until 2/16/2022 and MC from 1/1/2022 until 1/10/2022. YSI EXO2 sondes were deployed at MB, RR, and OC for all of 2022. YSI EXO2 sondes were also deployed at IP from 2/16/2022 until present, and at MC from 3/9/2022 until present.

YSI 6600V2 data logger:

Parameter: Temperature

Units: Celsius (C)

Sensor Type: Thermistor

Model#: 6560

Range: -5 to 50 C

Accuracy: +/- 0.15

Resolution: 0.01 C

Parameter: Conductivity

Units: milli-Siemens per cm (mS/cm)

Sensor Type: 4-electrode cell with autoranging

Model#: 6560

Range: 0 to 100 mS/cm

Accuracy: +/- 0.5% of reading + 0.001 mS/cm

Resolution: 0.001 mS/cm to 0.1 mS/cm (range dependant)

Parameter: Salinity

Units: parts per thousand (ppt)

Sensor Type: Calculated from conductivity and temperature

Range: 0 to 70 ppt

Accuracy: +/- 1.0% of reading pr 0.1 ppt, whichever is greater

Resolution: 0.01 ppt

Sensor Type: Optical probe w/ mechanical cleaning

Model#: 6150 ROX

Range: 0 to 500% air saturation

Accuracy: 0-200% air saturation: +/- 1% of the reading or 1% air saturation, whichever is greater 200-500% air saturation: +/- 15% or reading

Resolution: 0.1% air saturation

Units: milligrams/Liter (mg/L)

Sensor Type: Optical probe w/ mechanical cleaning

Model#: 6150 ROX

Range: 0 to 50 mg/L

Accuracy: 0-20 mg/L: +/-0.1 mg/l or 1% of the reading, whichever is greater

20 to 50 mg/L: +/- 15% of the reading

Resolution: 0.01 mg/L

Parameter: Non-vented Level - Shallow (Depth)

Units: feet or meters (ft or m)

Sensor Type: Stainless steel strain gauge

Range: 0 to 30 ft (9.1 m)

Accuracy: +/- 0.06 ft (0.018 m)

Resolution: 0.001 ft (0.001 m)

Parameter: pH – bulb probe

Units: pH units

Sensor Type: Glass combination electrode

Model#: 6561

Range: 0 to 14 units

Accuracy: +/- 0.2 units

Resolution: 0.01 units

Parameter: Turbidity
Units: nephelometric turbidity units (NTU)
Sensor Type: Optical, 90 degree scatter, with mechanical cleaning
Model#: 6136
Range: 0 to 1000 NTU
Accuracy: +/- 2% of reading or 0.3 NTU (whichever is greater)
Resolution: 0.1 NTU

Parameter: Chlorophyll Fluorescence
Units: micrograms/Liter
Sensor Type: Optical probe w/ mechanical cleaning
Model#: 6025
Range: 0 to 400 ug/Liter
Accuracy: Dependent on methodology
Resolution: 0.1 ug/L chl a, 0.1% FS

YSI EXO2 Sonde:

Parameter: Temperature
Units: Celsius (C)
Sensor Type: CT2 Probe, Thermistor
Model#: 599870
Range: -5 to 50 C
Accuracy: -5 to 35: +/- 0.01, 35 to 50: +/- .005
Resolution: 0.01 C

Parameter: Conductivity
Units: milli-Siemens per cm (mS/cm)
Sensor Type: CT2 Probe, 4-electrode cell with autoranging
Model#: 599870
Range: 0 to 200 mS/cm
Accuracy: 0 to 100: +/- 0.5% of reading or 0.001 mS/cm; 100 to 200: +/- 1% of reading
Resolution: 0.001 mS/cm to 0.1 mS/cm (range dependant)

Parameter: Salinity
Units: practical salinity units (psu)/parts per thousand (ppt)
Sensor Type: CT2 probe, Calculated from conductivity and temperature
Range: 0 to 70 psu
Accuracy: +/- 1.0% of reading pr 0.1 ppt, whichever is greater
Resolution: 0.01 psu

OR

Parameter: Temperature
Units: Celsius (C)
Sensor Type: Wiped probe; Thermistor
Model#: 599827
Range: -5 to 50 C
Accuracy: ± 0.2 C
Resolution: 0.001 C

Parameter: Conductivity
Units: milli-Siemens per cm (mS/cm)
Sensor Type: Wiped probe; 4-electrode cell with autoranging

Model#: 599827

Range: 0 to 100 mS/cm

Accuracy: $\pm 1\%$ of the reading or 0.002 mS/cm, whichever is greater

Resolution: 0.0001 to 0.01 mS/cm (range dependent)

Parameter: Salinity

Units: practical salinity units (psu)/parts per thousand (ppt)

Model#: 599827

Sensor Type: Wiped probe; Calculated from conductivity and temperature

Range: 0 to 70 ppt

Accuracy: $\pm 2\%$ of the reading or 0.2 ppt, whichever is greater

Resolution: 0.01 psu

Parameter: Dissolved Oxygen % saturation

Sensor Type: Optical probe w/ mechanical cleaning

Model#: 599100-01

Range: 0 to 500% air saturation

Accuracy: 0-200% air saturation: $\pm 1\%$ of the reading or 1% air saturation, whichever is greater 200-500% air

saturation: $\pm 5\%$ or reading

Resolution: 0.1% air saturation

Parameter: Dissolved Oxygen mg/L (Calculated from % air saturation, temperature, and salinity)

Units: milligrams/Liter (mg/L)

Sensor Type: Optical probe w/ mechanical cleaning

Model#: 599100-01

Range: 0 to 50 mg/L

Accuracy: 0-20 mg/L: ± 0.1 mg/l or 1% of the reading, whichever is greater

20 to 50 mg/L: $\pm 5\%$ of the reading

Resolution: 0.01 mg/L

Parameter: Non-vented Level - Shallow (Depth)

Units: feet or meters (ft or m)

Sensor Type: Stainless steel strain gauge

Range: 0 to 33 ft (10 m)

Accuracy: ± 0.013 ft (0.004 m)

Resolution: 0.001 ft (0.001 m)

Parameter: pH

Units: pH units

Sensor Type: Glass combination electrode

Model#: 599702(wiped)

Range: 0 to 14 units

Accuracy: ± 0.1 units within $\pm 10^\circ$ of calibration temperature, ± 0.2 units for entire temperature range

Resolution: 0.01 units

Parameter: Turbidity

Units: formazin nephelometric units (FNU)

Sensor Type: Optical, 90 degree scatter

Model#: 599101-01

Range: 0 to 4000 FNU

Accuracy: 0 to 999 FNU: 0.3 FNU or $\pm 2\%$ of reading (whichever is greater); 1000 to 4000 FNU $\pm 5\%$ of reading

Resolution: 0 to 999 FNU: 0.01 FNU, 1000 to 4000 FNU: 0.1 FNU

Parameter: Chlorophyll
Units: micrograms/Liter
Sensor Type: Optical probe
Model#: 599102-01
Range: 0 to 400 ug/Liter
Accuracy: Dependent on methodology
Resolution: 0.1 ug/L chl a, 0.1% FS

Depth Qualifier:

The NERR System-Wide Monitoring Program utilizes YSI data sondes that can be equipped with either vented or non-vented depth/level sensors. Readings for both vented and non-vented sensors are automatically compensated for water density change due to variations in temperature and salinity; but for all non-vented depth measurements, changes in atmospheric pressure between calibrations appear as changes in water depth. The error is equal to approximately 1.02 cm for every 1 millibar change in atmospheric pressure, and is eliminated for vented sensors because they are vented to the atmosphere throughout the deployment time interval.

Beginning in 2006, NERR SWMP standard calibration protocol calls for all non-vented depth sensors to read 0 meters at a (local) barometric pressure of 1013.25 mb (760 mm/hg). To achieve this, each site calibrates their depth sensor with a depth offset number, which is calculated using the actual atmospheric pressure at the time of calibration and the equation provided in the SWMP calibration sheet or digital calibration log. This offset procedure standardizes each depth calibration for the entire NERR System. If accurate atmospheric pressure data are available, non-vented sensor depth measurements at any NERR can be corrected.

In 2010, the CDMO began automatically correcting Depth/Level data for changes in barometric pressure as measured by the reserve's associated meteorological station during data ingestion. These corrected Depth/Level data are reported as cDepth and cLevel, and are assigned QAQC flags and codes based on QAQC protocols. Please see sections 11 and 12 for QAQC flag and code definitions.

NOTE: older Depth data cannot be corrected without verifying that the depth offset was in place and whether a vented or non-vented depth sensor was in use. No SWMP data prior to 2006 can be corrected using this method. The following equation is used for corrected Depth/Level data provided by the CDMO beginning in 2010:

$$((1013-BP)*0.0102)+\text{Depth/Level} = \text{cDepth/cLevel}.$$

Salinity Units Qualifier:

In 2013, EXO sondes were approved for SWMP use and began to be utilized by reserves. While the 6600 series sondes report salinity in parts per thousand (ppt) units, the EXO sondes report practical salinity units (psu). These units are essentially the same and for SWMP purposes are understood to be equivalent, however psu is considered the more appropriate designation. Moving forward the NERR System will assign psu salinity units for all data regardless of sonde type.

Turbidity Qualifier:

In 2013, EXO sondes were approved for SWMP use and began to be utilized by reserves. While the 6600 series sondes report turbidity in nephelometric turbidity units (NTU), the EXO sondes use formazin nephelometric units (FNU). These units are essentially the same but indicate a difference in sensor methodology, for SWMP purposes they will be considered equivalent. Moving forward, the NERR System will use FNU/NTU as the designated units for all turbidity data regardless of sonde type. If turbidity units and sensor methodology are of concern, please see the Sensor Specifications portion of the metadata.

Chlorophyll Fluorescence Disclaimer:

YSI chlorophyll sensors (6025 or 599102-01) are designed to serve as a proxy for chlorophyll concentrations in the field for monitoring applications and complement traditional lab extraction methods; therefore, there are accuracy limitations associated with the data that are detailed in the YSI manual including interference from other fluorescent species, differences in calibration method, and effects of cell structure, particle size, organism type, temperature, and light on sensor measurements.

10) Coded variable definitions –

Water Quality Sampling station:	Sampling Site code:	Station code:
Railroad Bridge	RR	cbmrrwq
Mattaponi Creek	MC	cbmmcqwq
Iron Pot Landing	IP	cbmipwq
Otter Point Creek.	OC	cbmocwq
Monie Bay	MB	cbmmbwq

11) QAQC flag definitions –

QAQC flags provide documentation of the data and are applied to individual data points by insertion into the parameter's associated flag column (header preceded by an F_). During primary automated QAQC (performed by the CDMO), -5, -4, and -2 flags are applied automatically to indicate data that is missing and above or below sensor range. All remaining data are then flagged 0, passing initial QAQC checks. During secondary and tertiary QAQC 1, -3, and 5 flags may be used to note data as suspect, rejected due to QAQC, or corrected.

- 5 Outside High Sensor Range
- 4 Outside Low Sensor Range
- 3 Data Rejected due to QAQC
- 2 Missing Data
- 1 Optional SWMP Supported Parameter
- 0 Data Passed Initial QAQC Checks
- 1 Suspect Data
- 2 *Open - reserved for later flag*
- 3 Calculated data: non-vented depth/level sensor correction for changes in barometric pressure
- 4 Historical Data: Pre-Auto QAQC
- 5 Corrected Data

12) QAQC code definitions –

QAQC codes are used in conjunction with QAQC flags to provide further documentation of the data and are also applied by insertion into the associated flag column. There are three (3) different code categories, general, sensor,

and comment. General errors document general problems with the deployment or YSI datasonde, sensor errors are sensor specific, and comment codes are used to further document conditions or a problem with the data. Only one general or sensor error and one comment code can be applied to a particular data point, but some comment codes (marked with an * below) can be applied to the entire record in the F_Record column.

General Errors

GIC	No instrument deployed due to ice
GIM	Instrument malfunction
GIT	Instrument recording error; recovered telemetry data
GMC	No instrument deployed due to maintenance/calibration
GNF	Deployment tube clogged / no flow
GOW	Out of water event
GPF	Power failure / low battery
GQR	Data rejected due to QA/QC checks
GSM	See metadata

Corrected Depth/Level Data Codes

GCC	Calculated with data that were corrected during QA/QC
GCM	Calculated value could not be determined due to missing data
GCR	Calculated value could not be determined due to rejected data
GCS	Calculated value suspect due to questionable data
GCU	Calculated value could not be determined due to unavailable data

Sensor Errors

SBO	Blocked optic
SCF	Conductivity sensor failure
SCS	Chlorophyll spike
SDF	Depth port frozen
SDG	Suspect due to sensor diagnostics
SDO	DO suspect
SDP	DO membrane puncture
SIC	Incorrect calibration / contaminated standard
SNV	Negative value
SOW	Sensor out of water
SPC	Post calibration out of range
SQR	Data rejected due to QAQC checks
SSD	Sensor drift
SSM	Sensor malfunction
SSR	Sensor removed / not deployed
STF	Catastrophic temperature sensor failure
STS	Turbidity spike
SWM	Wiper malfunction / loss

Comments

CAB*	Algal bloom
CAF	Acceptable calibration/accuracy error of sensor
CAP	Depth sensor in water, affected by atmospheric pressure
CBF	Biofouling
CCU	Cause unknown
CDA*	DO hypoxia (<3 mg/L)
CDB*	Disturbed bottom
CDF	Data appear to fit conditions
CFK*	Fish kill
CIP*	Surface ice present at sample station
CLT*	Low tide
CMC*	In field maintenance/cleaning

CMD* Mud in probe guard
 CND New deployment begins
 CRE* Significant rain event
 CSM* See metadata
 CTS Turbidity spike
 CVT* Possible vandalism/tampering
 CWD* Data collected at wrong depth
 CWE* Significant weather event

13) Post deployment information –

Iron Pot Landing (IP) – V2 6600

Deployment Begin Date	SpCond µs/cm	DO %sat	pH		Turb NTU		Depth m		Chl µg/L		
	6668	100%	7	10	0	126	Sonde Reading	Pressure- determined Offset	0	Meter in Rhodo Sol'n	Temp- determined Std
1/27/2022	6660	100.1	7.1	10.08	0.4	122.5	0.047	0.068	1.2	126.5	115

Iron Pot Landing (IP) – EXO2

Deployment Begin Date	SpCond µs/cm	DO %sat	pH		Turb NTU		Depth m		Chl µg/L		
	6668	100%	7	10	0	124	Sonde Reading	Pressure- determined Offset	0	Meter in Rhodo Sol'n	Temp- determined Std
2/16/2022	6704	99.5	7.14	10.16	0.4	117.4	-0.055	-0.014	0.10	68.70	67.50
3/9/2022	6558	97.9	6.98	10.01	1.2	126.3	-0.099	-0.136	0.20	72.00	69.30
4/7/2022	6684	99.3	7.07	10.09	1.38	126.7	0.184	0.15	-0.20	72.60	68.90
4/21/2022	6724	99.9	7.07	10.04	1.7	115.5	-0.014	0.051	0.20	57.90	66.20
5/3/2022	6662	97.1	7.10	10.06	1.7	122.9	-0.021	-0.082	0.30	63.80	64.10
5/18/2022	6383	98.0	7.17	10.11	0.6	126.2	-0.083	-0.082	0.00	67.90	65.60
6/2/2022	6641	98.0	7.09	10.04	0.9	126.5	0.055	0.054	0.10	69.10	67.10
6/15/2022	6676	99.1	7.04	10.01	-0.7	129.1	0.091	0.082	0.00	81.67	67.00
6/29/2022	6463	95.9	7.07	10.02	-0.3	129.3	-0.029	-0.014	-4.00	68.40	66.20
7/12/2022	5905	100.1	7.09	9.99	-0.84	165.4	0	0	-0.14	64.30	65.80
8/10/2022	6678	98.6	7.03	10.01	0.4	128.5	0.075	0	1.24	67.30	66.10
8/25/2022	5945	97.0	7.13	10.12	6.03	170.0	-0.014	-0.027	0.02	67.73	65.50
9/7/2022	6868	94.2	7.05	9.95	0.16	121.2	-0.064	-0.109	0.13	71.41	66.50
9/22/2022	6360	100.2	7.05	10.11	5.24	125.6	0.02	0.027	0.50	66.68	69.30
10/3/2022	6627	100.3	7.01	9.93	-5.24	120.9	0.029	0.027	-0.21	67.85	67.80
10/19/2022	6294	99.6	7.00	10.03	0.9	127.4	0.083	0.082	0.10	73.80	68.70
11/17/2022	6672	100.4	7.04	10.08	0.6	127.9	0.067	0.054	0.07	72.60	71.60

12/6/2022	7888	99.7	7.08	9.92	2.7	123.8	0.005	0.014	0.30	73.00	69.20
-----------	------	------	------	------	-----	-------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

Mataponi (MC) – (2021-03/09/2022)6600V2; (03/09/2022-Present) EXO2

Deployment Begin Date	SpCond μs/cm	DO %sat	pH		Turb NTU		Depth m		Chl μg/L		
	6668	100%	7	10	0	124	Sonde Reading	Pressure- determined Offset	0	Meter in Rhodo Sol'n	Temp- determined Stnd
3/9/2022	6510	98.3	7.05	10.05	2.5	129.50	-0.363	-0.136	0.30	68.20	69.10
4/7/2022	6586	100.0	7.09	10.14	0.2	124.90	0.176	0.150	-1.00	67.20	68.10
4/21/2022	6750	99.3	7.16	10.15	0.3	124.10	0.039	-0.014	0.10	66.90	66.40
5/3/2022	6616	97.9	7.01	10.01	1.6	122.90	-0.032	-0.082	0.30	64.00	64.60
5/18/2022	6326	98.3	7.10	10.08	1.5	125.10	-0.073	-0.082	0.00	63.50	65.30
6/2/2022	6835	98.2	9.74		2.1	120.30	0.041	0.054	0.24	66.15	66.90
6/15/2022	6678	99.5	6.99	9.95	0.9	120.20	0.096	0.082	0.01	79.50	67.80
6/29/2022	6570	97.4	6.95	10.05	0.2	124.60	-0.026	-0.014	-0.10	66.70	65.80
7/12/2022	6543	99.1	7.10	9.98	0.5	151.51	-0.036	-0.027	0.36	73.19	65.40
7/27/2022	6230	96.9	6.96	9.81	3.6	139.79	0.040	0.000	1.47	68.24	66.40
8/10/2022	3823	98.0	7.10	10.07	4.3	126.38	0.053	0.014	0.44	66.11	65.80
8/23/2022	6237	63.1	7.12	10.02	89.5	136.11	-0.011	0.014	2.80	15.02	65.40
9/7/2022	**23615	93.3	6.96	9.85	0.2	120.66	-0.109	-0.109	0.14	69.54	65.80
9/22/2022	6398	98.7	6.95	10.05	1.2	128.08	0.030	0.027	0.15	61.24	69.10
10/3/2022	6455	100.4	7.06	9.97	2.7	116.61	0.034	0.027	-0.77	68.41	67.80
10/19/2022	6244	99.2	7.02	10.01	0.3	130.60	0.082	0.082	0.10	80.50	67.90
11/17/2022	6707	100.3	6.85	9.82	1.3	130.40	0.136	0.109	1.40	76.60	70.90
12/9/2022	6950	101.9	7.03	9.88	0.0	123.24	0.134	0.122	-0.10	67.92	65.30

**Sonde post calibrated in incorrect standard

Otter Point Creek (OC) – EXO2

Deployment Begin Date	SpCond μs/cm	DO %sat	pH		Turb NTU		Depth m		Chl μg/L		
	6668	100%	7	10	0	124	Sonde Reading	Pressure- determined Offset	0	Meter in Rhodo Sol'n	Temp- determined Stnd
3/3/2022	6466	98.1	7.1	10.05	0.6	125.1	-0.156	-0.136	0.00	72.70	69.50
4/7/2022	6579	98.9	7.18	10.13	2.2	104.4	0.156	0.19	0.20	54.20	67.90
4/19/2022	6786	99.8	7.06	10.08	0.2	125.6	0.04	0.027	0.10	62.30	67.10
4/25/2022	6951	97.7	7.08	10.05	0.53	121.3	-0.013	-0.014	0.10	70.50	66.50
5/5/2022	6708	99.4	7.05	10.08	0.6	122.7	-0.035	-0.027	-0.20	64.90	63.50
5/19/2022	6364	98.9	7.06	10.07	1.1	124.6	-0.066	-0.068	-0.20	64.40	65.50
6/1/2022	6707	97.8	6.94	9.89	0.1	117.2	-0.034	-0.054	-0.10	68.80	66.50

6/16/2022	6561	100.3	7.08	10.03	1.2	134.3	0.075	0.041	1.10	81.70	66.80
6/30/2022	6452	96.0	7.05	9.95	4.6	133.8	0.053	0.041	2.80	63.50	65.50
7/14/2022	6766	95.0	7.03	10.02	0.12	123.11	0.031	-0.014	-0.06	66.02	65.30
7/26/2022	6453	98.7	7	9.95	-0.1	164.92	0.017	0.014	0.30	65.57	65.80
8/11/2022	6508	100.1	7.04	10	-0.18	128.6	0.014	0	-0.04	69.30	66.70
8/26/2022	6350	99.8	6.99	9.96	-0.83	136.44	-0.007	0.014	-0.15	68.74	65.80
9/8/2022	6440	96.8	7.11	10.06	0.5	122.6	0.03	0.014	0.00	68.50	65.80
9/22/2022	6624	99.4	7.07	10.12	0.2	129.6	0.048	0.014	0.00	69.80	67.30
10/5/2022	6430	98.2	7.1	9.99	1.6	130.5	-0.004	0	0.70	67.27	67.30
10/20/2022	6710	100.5	7	9.97	2.08	135.96	0.14	0.136	1.49	72.48	67.90
11/3/2022	6970	100.5	7.11	9.94	0.1	126.2	0.107	0.095	-0.10	67.90	67.30
12/13/2022	6911	101.3	7.03	9.92	-0.17	121.05	0.135	0.122	-0.12	66.70	66.30

Monie Bay (MB) – EXO2

Deployment Begin Date	SpCond μs/cm	DO %sat	pH		Turb NTU		Depth m		Chl μg/L		
	24820	100%	7	10	0	124	Sonde Reading	Pressure- determined Offset	0	Meter in Rhodo Sol'n	Temp- determined Std
1/11/2022	24789	99.7	7.02	10.03	1.2	127.1	0.080	0.068	0.10	70.70	66.70
2/16/2022	24775	100.1	7.02	10.03	0.5	115.7	0.142	0.095	0.30	68.00	67.30
3/9/2022	24038	98.4	7.05	10.04	0.7	117.3	0.109	0.082	0.40	71.20	69.40
4/14/2022	24969	101.1	7.11	10.19	0.6	119.9	0.089	0.095	1.80	69.50	66.30
5/11/2022	23234	101.6	7.07	9.83	0.8	112.8	-0.027	-0.016	0.30	66.00	65.10
6/9/2022	24380	97.8	6.93	9.94	1.7	155.6	0.069	0.014	0.30	64.90	65.10
7/7/2022	25525	96.3	7.09	9.99	0.34	150.86	0.066	0.054	0.41	70.50	65.40
8/4/2022	18200	4.3	7.01	9.72	112.4 5	113.84	-0.015	-0.014	366. 44	359.04	65.50
8/31/2022	24088	101.2	7.07	9.93	31.45	186.88	0.160	0.122	3.07	70.14	65.90
9/28/2022	23313	98.5	7.26	9.56	5.5	101.03	0.101	0.068	0.12	58.66	66.60
10/26/2022	23537	100.5	6.98	9.89	3.41	128.38	-0.150		0.58	73.76	68.90
11/9/2022	23612	99.8	7.02	10	2.5	123.7	0.136	0.150	0.06	69.80	69.60
12/1/2022	24761	99.6	6.92	9.8	4.3	122.5	0.012	0.014	0.90	74.90	67.60

Jug Bay (RR)- EXO2

Deployment Date	SpCond μs/cm	DO %sat	pH		Turb NTU		Depth m		Chl μg/L		
	6668	100%	7	10	0	124	Sonde Reading	Pressure- determined Offset	0	Meter in Rhodo Sol'n	Temp- determined Std
1/19/2022	6712	101.9	6.71	9.81	0.9	126.3	0.066	0.068	0.00	71.90	66.70

2/16/2022	6818	99	7.1	10.08	1	118.2	-0.047	-0.041	0.20	68.00	67.60
3/9/2022	6596	97.6	6.95	10	2.9	116.9	-0.114	-0.136	0.20	71.80	69.80
4/7/2022	6665	104.8	7.3	10.27	1.9	128.7	0.240	0.150	0.30	68.80	68.00
4/21/2022	6759	99.1	7.07	10.12	0.1	126	0.025	-0.014	0.00	66.30	66.80
5/3/2022	6585	97.7	7.05	9.98	1.8	127.2	-0.039	-0.082	0.10	63.60	64.30
5/18/2022	6733	98.7	7.06	10.07	0.2	122.8	-0.085	-0.082	0.00	66.10	65.80
6/2/2022	6412	98.3	7.16	10.01	1.74	118.8	0.064	0.054	0.10	65.12	67.10
6/15/2022	6656	99.2	7.1	10	1	130.2	0.094	0.082	0.20	81.41	66.80
6/29/2022	6550	95.8	7.08	10.05	1	123.5	-0.063	-0.014	0.20	66.00	65.80
7/12/2022	6599	98.7	7.06	9.97	1.1	149.97	-0.027	-0.027	0.20	72.80	65.60
7/27/2022	6341	96.7	6.99	9.98	0.48	150.26	0.180	0.000	0.42	69.70	65.60
8/10/2022	6986	97.7	7.18	10.08	1.65	121.8	0.061	0.014	0.67	66.03	66.20
8/23/2022	6361	96.5	7.11	10.1	0.2	153.2	-0.016	-0.027	-0.27	70.80	65.60
9/7/2022	6407	92.9	7.03	10.01	1.5	120	0.020	0.014	1.10	68.20	65.40
9/22/2022	6364	99.7	7.08	10.1	1.52	126.61	0.600	0.027	0.09	69.56	69.40
10/3/2022	6725	100	7.04	9.94	1.05	119.79	0.022	0.027	0.30	67.20	67.70
10/19/2022	6257	99.9	7.08	10.11	4.3	128.8	0.111	0.082	0.40	75.40	68.20
11/17/2022	6665	100	7.11	10.08	0	131.6	0.061	0.054	0.30	71.70	70.90
12/6/2022	6912	100.3	7.14	9.99	0.2	121.5	0.017	0.014	0.20	72.30	69.30

*Note: pH post-deployment readings are temperature dependent and minor variations are expected as a result.

14) Other remarks/notes –

In addition to the sampling described above, several other data sets were collected. Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) was also collected using a LiCor 1500 display with two sensors: one underwater quantum sensor and one ambient quantum sensor along with Secchi depth. Additional nutrient samples were also collected during the months of April through October. These data are available through the Maryland Department of Natural Resources. Visit www.eyesonthebay.net for more information.

Data are missing due to equipment or associated specific probes not being deployed, equipment failure, time of maintenance or calibration of equipment, or repair/replacement of a sampling station platform. Any NANs in the dataset stand for “not a number” and are the result of low power, disconnected wires, or out of range readings. If additional information on missing data is needed, contact the Research Coordinator at the reserve submitting the data.

The BIN files for the following deployments are missing due to changes in software between KOR-EXO and KOR. Raw CSV files from these deployments are saved.

CBMIPWQ081022

CBMIPWQ100322

Because the CBM NERR services other stations outside of the five NERR sites, some of which get incredibly fouled, it is very difficult to keep all of the post-cal standards clean. It is not feasible for the technicians to make or use a new standard for each sonde, especially not the turbidity standard solution, as it is very expensive. Conductivity and pH standards are swapped if they seem to be impacted by fouling since the reserve has those in larger quantities. This is often not the case with standards for chlorophyll and turbidity. Due to this, turbidity and chlorophyll posts were most impacted by these fouled standards causing often artificially low or high posts. However, data do not appear to be impacted by fouling or drift when viewing the data.

Railroad Bridge (RR)

Storm3 telemetry unit deployed and running at site on 9/22/22.

All depth data are missing <-2> [SSM] (CSM) from 11/17/2022 at 08:45 through to the end of the deployment on 12/6/2022 at 08:30. This malfunction began as soon as the new deployment sonde was plugged into the telemetry unit.

Iron Pot Landing (IP)

The pH values for the entire cbmipwq030922 deployment is flagged suspect <1> [SSM] (CSM) from 03/09/2022 at 08:45 until 04/07/2022 at 09:30. Large disjunct between pH matchup values at end of previous deployment and beginning of following deployment. pH values low by about 0.3 at both matchups but probe post-calibrated within range.

From 5/9 9:00 to 5/13 5:00 depth are deeper than typically seen at the site. While we cannot say with certainty we believe that multiple things were impacting data here. As we moved into this time at the beginning of May the daily tidal range was larger. There was also a rain event a few days prior that may have impacted data, but we are less certain of that. There may have also been other things going on at the site that we are not aware of causing this shift in data.

It was discovered on 8/23/22 that the PVC deployment tube had become detached from the 2x4 that is anchored to the piling and was missing. The cause of this damage is unknown. Technicians re-visited the site on 8/25/22 and were able to find the old tube that was laying on the bottom of the river. They retrieved the tube and sonde at 11:15. No instrument was deployed between 11:30 – 12:00 <-2> [GMC] (CSM). A new tube was installed and a matchup between the old and new sonde was done at 12:15. Flagged the record column of the entire cbmipwq081022 deployment {CSM} as it is unknown when the damage to the tube occurred and, subsequently, the sonde would not be at the correct deployment depth. It is suspected that perhaps the damage occurred on 8/16/22 around 23:15 as there is an anomalous jump in depth at that time, but it cannot be proven. All data are marked <-3> [GSM] (CWD) from 8/16/22 23:15 to 8/23 11:15.

SpCond and salinity data during the December 6, 2022 deployment were impacted by a bad calibration <1>[SPC](CSM) from 12/6/2022 at 11:30 until 12/31/2022 at 23:45. We believe there were air bubbles in the ports of the conductivity probe at calibration. When air bubbles are present in the ports of the probe, it causes the readings to be lower than what is expected. When the probe is calibrated with air bubbles still present, any proceeding SpCond and salinity values are falsely elevated. Typically, the sonde is shaken to dislodge these bubbles but this is not always successful. This failure to remove the air bubbles caused the readings to be off from where they should have been and explains the elevated reading of 7,888 uS/cm in 6,668 uS/cm specific conductance standard at the post-calibration.

Mataponi Creek (MC)

Upon retrieval of the sonde from the cbmmcwq040722 deployment, mud was found in the probe guard. This followed a significant rain event on 4/18 – 4/19/2022. All turbidity data rejected from 4/19/2022 at 07:15 until end of deployment due to matchup reading high compared to new sonde. All other parameters except depth from 4/19/2022 at 07:15 until end of deployment do not seem affected but marked suspect <1> (CSM).

Upon retrieval of the sonde from the cbmmcwq050322 deployment, mud and debris was found in the probe guard. This followed a significant rain event on 5/15-5/17/2022 (record comment {CRE}). All pH data rejected <-3> [GSM] (CMD) from 5/15/2022 at 22:15 until end of deployment, and all turbidity and chlorophyll data rejected <-3> [GSM] (CMD) from 5/17/2022 at 08:00 until end of deployment due to matchups reading high for chlorophyll and turbidity and low for pH compared to the new sonde. All other parameters except depth from 5/17/2022 at 08:00 until end of deployment do not seem affected but marked suspect <1> [GSM] (CMD).

Record comment of {CSM} for entire cbmmcwq050322 deployment. Timestamps were ahead by 9 minutes in raw file (for example, first record timestamp of 5/3/22 10:45 was recorded at 10:54 in raw file). All times corrected. It is believed that the technician setting the sonde up for deployment accidentally chose “start logging now” as opposed to starting at the next 15-minute interval.

From 5/18/2022 11:45 – 15:15 at the beginning of a deployment depth data are odd. We do not believe other parameters were impacted by this shift in depth. MC was impacted by debris in 2022 and it is possible that debris became lodged between the sonde bolt and sonde. That debris may then have become dislodged causing the sonde to sit at the correct depth.

Upon retrieval from the cbmmcwq081022 deployment, heavy fouling was noted on the sonde and probes. The conductivity post read 3.823 in a 6.68 standard. Data are marked 1 SPC CSM from 8/16/2022 00:00 to 8/23/2022 10:00.

Record comment of {CSM} for cbmmcwq082322 deployment beginning 8/27/22 at 06:15 until end of deployment on 9/7/22 at 12:30 due to loss of wiper during deployment which led to heavy fouling on all probes. Specific conductance/salinity, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and chlorophyll all post-calibrated off.

Algae bloom conditions are seen frequently at site throughout the months of July through September. High chlorophyll, pH, and DO values, followed by drops in these values during nighttime hours and bloom die-offs can be seen in the data throughout these months.

Otter Point Creek (OC)

Storm3 telemetry unit deployed and running at site on 8/4/2022.

Upon retrieval of the sonde Iron Man from the cbmoc030322 deployment, it was found at post-calibration that the central wiper of the sonde was dead and not being recognized by the sonde, even after a firmware update. Elevated chlorophyll and turbidity values are seen during this deployment and are believed to have been caused by interference from the wiper parking either directly or partially over these two optic probes. This issue is believed to have begun on 3/9/2022 at 07:30 and continued until 3/18/2022 at 13:45 where it seems the issue temporarily resolves itself until the issue resurfaced on 3/25/2022 at 18:45 until the end of the deployment on 4/7/2022 at 11:00. All Chlorophyll and turbidity values within these timeframes are flagged <-3> [SWM] (CSM) unless otherwise noted.

The Turbidity and ChlFluor posts were low for the cbmoc040722 deployment. The wiper wasn't working correctly due to a loose anode. The probes were fairly fouled due to this. Turbidity data are marked 1 SWM CSM from 4/18/2022 21:15 to 4/19/2022 11:30 and ChlFluor data are marked 1 SWM CSM from 4/14/2022 13:30 to 4/19/2022 11:30.

Record comment {CSM} on 8/26/22 at 07:45. Stop bolt at the bottom of the tube broke when a technician raised the sonde and placed it back down right before the matchup. Sonde was sitting on bottom at the time of the matchup. All parameters from 8/26/22 07:45 to 08:15 were missing while the issue was being fixed.

Monie Bay (MB)

All depth data are missing <-2> [SSM] (CSM) from 1/1/2022 at 00:00 to 1/11/2022 at 11:30. These missing data occurred at the new deployment sonde swap on 12/1/2021 at 12:00. The new sonde stopped recording depth as soon as it was plugged into telemetry. This malfunction continued throughout the entire deployment.

All depth data are missing <-2> [SSM] (CSM) soon after a new deployment from 2/16/2022 at 14:15 to 3/3/2022 at 13:15 and again from 3/7/2022 at 12:30 until the end of the deployment on 3/9/2022 at 12:45. This issue is believed to be related to being plugged into telemetry.

Record comment of {CSM} for entire cbmmbwq080422 deployment (8/4/22 at 13:30 – 8/31/22 at 11:15). BIN file will not match raw CSV or QA/QC files. Sonde was accidentally set up to log a sample every one second. Sonde logged for less than one day until the SD card memory was full.

All depth data are missing <-2> [SSM] (CSM) soon after a new deployment from 10/26/2022 at 20:45 until the end of the deployment on 11/9/2022 at 12:15. This issue is believed to be related to being plugged into telemetry.