Chesapeake Bay Virginia (CBV) NERR Nutrient Metadata
January-December, 2022
Latest Update: July 2, 2024

I. Data Set and Research Descriptors

1) Principal investigator(s) and contact persons -

a) Reserve Contacts

William G. Reay, Ph.D., Reserve Director
Email: wreay@vims.edu

Carl T. Friedrichs, Ph.D., Research Coordinator
Email: Carl.Friedrichs@vims.edu

Chesapeake Bay Virginia, NERR
1375 Greate Road
Virginia Institute of Marine Science
Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062
Phone: 804-684-7135

b) Laboratory Contacts

Carol Pollard
1375 Greate Road
VIMS Analytical Service Center for Nutrients
Virginia Institute of Marine Science
Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062
Phone: 804-684-7213
E-mail: pollard@vims.edu

Eduardo Miles
1375 Greate Road
CBV NERR Nutrient Lab
Virginia Institute of Marine Science
Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062
Phone: 804-684-7044
E-mail: emiles@vims.edu

c) Other Contacts and Programs

Joy Baber
1375 Greate Road
Virginia Institute of Marine Science
Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062
Phone: 804-684-7307
Email: justjoy@vims.edu

Additional monitoring program support in addition to above stated:

Betty Neikirk (Marine Scientist Supervisor), Erin Shields (Marine Scientist), Hank Brooks (Field Manager), Steve Snyder (Laboratory Specialist), Lisa Ott (Laboratory Specialist), Kaitlyn Parker (Laboratory Specialist), Alex Demeo (Reserve Support Technician).

2) Research objectives

a) Monthly Grab Sampling Program

Monthly grab samples are collected to quantify the spatial and temporal variability of selected nutrients and plant pigments in the water column along a salinity gradient within the York River estuary system. The Chesapeake Bay, Virginia National Estuarine Research Reserve (CBV NERR) began collecting monthly grab samples on January 16, 2002.

b) Diel Sampling Program

On a monthly basis, samples are collected at Taskinas Creek, a small tributary of the York River, every two and one-half hours throughout a complete tidal cycle in order to quantify the short-term temporal variability of selected nutrients and plant pigments in the water column.

3) Research methods

a) Monthly Grab Sampling Program

Monthly grab samples were taken at six stations within the York River estuary. Samples were taken at the four primary SWMP and CBV NERR data sonde stations (Goodwin Islands, Clay Bank, Taskinas Creek, and Sweet Hall Marsh and one other station which is considered secondary SWMP station: Catlett Islands. All grab samples were taken on the same day between +3 hrs. before or after slack low-water. No distinction was made between neap and spring tide conditions. Efforts were made to allow for an antecedent dry period of 72 hours prior to sampling. Replicate (N=2) samples were collected by hand at an approximate depth of 25 cm. At the time of sample collection, water temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen was measured with a YSI EXO 1 mini sonde. All samples were collected in amber, wide-mouth, Nalgene sample bottles that were previously acid washed (20% HCl), rinsed (3x) with distilled deionized water, dried and followed by rinsing (3x) of ambient water prior to collection of the sample. Samples were immediately placed on ice, in the dark and returned to the laboratory. Once in the laboratory, samples were shaken and processed for nutrient and plant pigment analysis. The CBV NERR nutrient lab analyzes samples for PO4F, NH4F, NO2F, NO23F, CHLA_N and PHEA, while TDN and TDP are analyzed at the VIMS Analytical Service Center for Nutrients.

b) Diel Sampling Program

Diel grab samples were taken at the Taskinas Creek long-term data sonde station. Samples were collected over a lunar day (24 hr: 48 min) time period at 2.5-hour intervals using an ISCO auto-sampler. Collection of samples began at predicted slack low water. Samples were collected at a fixed depth (0.25 m) from the bottom which reflected the water mass sampled by the data sonde. No distinction was made between neap and spring tide conditions. Efforts were made to allow for an antecedent dry period of 72 hours prior to sampling. Samples were collected in 1000 ml bottles that were previously acid washed (10% HCl), rinsed (3x) with distilled-deionized water and dried. Prior to sample collection, the ISCO sampler including all sample tubing was rinsed with ambient water. Samples were collected and stored inside an ISCO sampler that contained ice. Once in the laboratory, samples were shaken and processed for nutrient and plant pigments. The CBV NERR nutrient lab analyzes samples for PO4F, NH4F, NO2F,

NO23F, CHLA_N and PHEA, while TDN and TDP are analyzed at the VIMS Analytical Service Center for Nutrients.

4) Site location and character

All Chesapeake Bay, VA NERR historical nutrient/pigment monitoring stations:

Station Code	SWMP Status	Station Name	Location	Active Dates	Reason Decommissioned	Notes
cbvcbnut	P	Claybank	37° 20' 47.99 N, 76° 36' 40.55 W	01/01/2002 - current	NA	NA
cbvcinut	S	Catlett Islands	37° 17' 60.00 N, 76° 32' 60.00 W	01/01/2002 - current	NA	NA
cbvginut	P	Goodwin Islands	37° 12' 56.87 N, 76° 23' 33.63 W	01/01/2002 current	NA	NA
cbvspnut	P	Sweethall Pier	37° 34' 17.03 N, 76° 53' 02.36 W	11/03/2016 – current	NA	NA
cbvtcnut	P	Taskinas Creek	37° 24' 53.95 N, 76° 42' 51.91 W	01/01/2002 – current	NA	NA
cbvybnut	S	York River Bridge	37° 14' 41.64 N, 76° 30' 18.72 W	01/01/2002 – 06/15/2020	Discontinued in June 2020	NA

a) Goodwin Islands (Lat 37.215796; Long -76.392675)

The Goodwin Islands component of CBV NERR is located on the southern side of the mouth of the York River. The station is located approximately 400 meters from shore, with an average water depth on the order of 1 meter. MHW depth at the sample location is approximately 1.70 meters. Goodwin Islands are a 315 ha (777 acre) archipelago of salt-marsh islands surrounded by inter-tidal flats and extensive beds of submerged aquatic vegetation dominated by eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) and Widgeon grass (*Ruppia maritime*). Water circulation patterns around the island are influenced by York River discharge and wind patterns of the Chesapeake Bay. Tides at the Goodwin Islands are semi-diurnal and display an average range of 0.7 m (range: 0.4 - 1.1 m). Mean seasonal water temperature values ranged from 13.7-15.6°C for spring (March-May), 25.7-27.2°C for summer (June-August), 18.0-19.2°C for fall (September-November), and 4.7-8.2°C for winter (January-February, and December). Mean seasonal salinity values ranged from 13.9-23.0 psu for spring, 17.2-23.0 psu for summer, 16.5-24.0 psu for fall, and 15.9-23.3 psu for winter. The data logger probes are located approximately 0.25 m above the sandy substrate bottom. Potential activities that could impact the site include, light recreational and commercial boating activity.

b) Catlett Islands (Lat 37.30000; Long 76.55000)

The Catlett Islands are located approximately 20 km from the mouth and on the North side of the York River in Gloucester County, Virginia. Timberneck Creek flows into the York River on the eastern side of the Catlett Islands and Cedarbush Creek enters the river on the western side. Poplar Creek bisects the two large areas of the Catlett Islands. Catlett Islands encompass 280 ha (690 acres) and displays a ridge-and-swale geomorphology. The islands consist of multiple parallel ridges of forested wetland hammocks, forested upland hammocks, emergent wetlands and tidal creeks surrounded by shallow sub tidal areas that once

supported beds of submerged aquatic vegetation. Water quality ranges listed here are based on weekly volunteer citizen water quality monitoring has been ongoing since the incorporation of the site in 1990. Surface water temperatures range from 5.4°C to 27.4°C. Salinity is indicative of mesohaline conditions, ranging from 14-18 psu in the fall to 8.2-12 psu in the spring. Dissolved oxygen concentration ranges from 4.2 to 14.0 mg/L. Tidal range is on the order of 0.75 meters and depth at the sample location is from 1.0 to 1.5 meters. The bottom type is a mixture of sand and fine sediments and there are no known pollutants.

c) Claybank (Lat 37.346665; Long -76.611263)

The Claybank station is located within a shallow (<2 m) littoral area approximately 300-400 meters wide along the mesohaline portion of the York River estuary. The site is approximately 26 km upriver from the mouth of the estuary. The shoreline consists of a narrow fringe of salt marsh with some areas armored with bulkhead or stone. Tidal range is on the order of 0.85 meters and depth at MHW is approximately 2.25 meters. This station is located along the north shoreline of the estuary in an area that historically (prior to 1972) supported submersed aquatic vegetation. The sampling station is influenced by a secondary turbidity maximum that moves back and forth in a region of about 20-40 km from the mouth of the York River estuary. The data logger probes are located approximately 0.20 m above the substrate; which varies from fine sediments to sand. The site is exposed to strong winds from the northwest and re-suspension of sediment during storm events can be high. There is no fresh water input at this site. Seasonal water quality conditions described here are from spring: March-May; summer: June-August; fall: September-November; winter: December-February. Mean seasonal water temperature ranged between 14.0-16.2°C for spring; 26.1-27.7°C for summer; 17.5-19.4°C for fall; and 4.9-8.0°C in winter. Mean seasonal salinity ranged between 15.7-20.3 psu for spring; 16.5-21.3 psu for summer; 13.2-21.6 psu for fall; and 14.3-20.0 psu in winter. Potential activities that could impact the site include, light recreational and commercial boating activity.

d) Taskinas Creek (Lat 37.414986; Long -76.71442)

Taskinas Creek Reserve, component of CBV NERR, encompasses 397 ha (980 acres) and is located within the boundaries of York River State Park near the town of Croaker, Virginia. The small sub-estuary of the York River is located on the southern side of the river, approximately 37 km up river from the mouth of the York River. The Taskinas Creek watershed is representative of an inner coastal plain, rural watershed within the southern Chesapeake Bay system. The watershed is dominated by forested and agricultural land uses with an increasing residential land use component. The non-tidal portion of Taskinas Creek contains feeder streams that drain oak-hickory forests, maple-gum-ash swamps and freshwater marshes. Freshwater mixed wetlands are found in the upstream reaches of Taskinas Creek. The creek is roughly 2 meters deep and 20 meters wide towards the lower end of the creek where substrate is dominated by fine sediment. MHW depth at the sample location is approximately 2.0 meters and mean tide range is 0.85 meters. The datalogger probes are located approximately 0.25 m above the bottom. Mean seasonal water temperature values ranged from 15.2-19.0°C for spring, 26.8-28.2°C for summer, 15.7-18.3°C for fall, and 3.6-9.0°C for winter. Located within the meso-polyhaline region of the York River estuary, mean seasonal salinity values ranged from 4.0-14.0 psu for spring, 7.0-18.2 psu for summer, 6.9-17.0 psu for fall, and 5.8-15.3 psu for winter. Potential activities that could impact the site include residential development, selective hardwood logging, and light recreational boating activity. Wildlife populations have been shown to influence microbiological water quality within the watershed.

e) Sweet Hall Pier (Lat 37.571398; Long -76.883990)

Sweet Hall Marsh is the most downriver extensive tidal freshwater marsh located in the Pamunkey River, one of two major tributaries of the York River. The marsh is located approximately 77 km upriver from the mouth of the York River estuary. The reserve is 353 ha (871 acres) in area and includes 331 ha (818 acres) of emergent fresh-water marsh, 14 ha (35 acres) of permanently flooded broad-leaved forested wetlands and approximately 4 ha (9 acres) of scrub-shrub. The marsh community is classified as freshwater mixed. Mean tidal range at Sweet Hall Marsh is on the order of 0.9 meters and MHW depth at the sample location is

approximately 1.5 meters. The Pamunkey River, which surrounds Sweet Hall Marsh, can reach depths up to 15 meters. Substrate within the littoral zone and channel is dominated by fine sediment. The datalogger probes are located 0.25 m above the bottom. Mean seasonal water temperature values ranged from 14.7-16.7°C for spring, 26.7-27.9°C for summer, 18.6-19.1°C for fall, and 4.7-6.3°C for winter. Located within the oligohaline, lower freshwater reaches of the Pamunkey River, mean seasonal salinity values ranged from 0.1-3.4 psu for spring, 0.1-8.4 psu for summer, 0.3-8.4 psu for fall, and 0.1-3.2 psu for winter Potential activities that could impact the site include minor municipal point source discharges above and below river of Sweet Hall Marsh, a major industrial discharge.

5) Coded variable definitions

cbvcbnut = Chesapeake Bay Virginia Claybank nutrients
cbvcinut = Chesapeake Bay Virginia Catlett Island nutrients
cbvginut = Chesapeake Bay Virginia Goodwin Island nutrients
cbvspnut = Chesapeake Bay Virginia Sweethall Pier nutrients
cbvtcnut = Chesapeake Bay Virginia Taskinas Creek nutrients

Monitoring program codes:
monthly grab sample program = 1
diel grab sample program = 2

6) Data collection period

a) **Monthly Grab Sample Program (Monitoring Program 1)**

Note: Times are in EST

Station Code	Start Date	Start Time	End Time
cbvcbnut	01/12/22	11:20	11:21
cbvcbnut	02/09/22	10:12	10:13
cbvcbnut	03/10/22	9:38	9:39
cbvcbnut	04/11/22	10:38	10:40
cbvcbnut	05/12/22	10:57	10:59
cbvcbnut	06/08/22	8:56	8:57
cbvcbnut	07/07/22	8:53	8:56
cbvcbnut	08/08/22	9:38	9:39
cbvcbnut	09/06/22	10:53	10:54
cbvcbnut	10/17/22	8:33	8:35
cbvcbnut	11/01/22	9:02	9:03
cbvcbnut	12/01/22	10:05	10:06
Station Code	Start Date	Start Time	End Time
cbvcinut	01/12/22	10:57	10:59
cbvcinut	02/09/22	9:47	9:48
cbvcinut	03/10/22	9:19	9:20
cbvcinut	04/11/22	10:17	10:19
cbvcinut	05/12/22	10:40	10:41
cbvcinut	06/08/22	8:37	8:38
cbvcinut	07/07/22	8:28	8:30
cbvcinut	08/08/22	9:17	9:18
cbvcinut	09/06/22	10:31	10:32

cbvcinut	10/17/22	8:15	8:17
cbvcinut	11/01/22	8:46	8:47
cbvcinut	12/01/22	9:47	9:48

Station Code	Start Date	Start Time	End Time
cbvginut	01/12/22	10:03	10:06
cbvginut	02/09/22	8:51	8:52
cbvginut	03/10/22	8:28	8:29
cbvginut	04/11/22	9:21	9:23
cbvginut	05/12/22	9:54	9:57
cbvginut	06/08/22	7:43	7:44
cbvginut	07/07/22	7:26	7:30
cbvginut	08/08/22	8:11	8:12
cbvginut	09/06/22	9:33	9:34
cbvginut	10/17/22	7:34	7:35
cbvginut	11/01/22	7:56	7:57
cbvginut	12/01/22	8:49	8:50

Station Code	Station Code	Station Code	Station Code		Start Date		Start Time
cbvspnut		01/12/22		13:35		13:36	
cbvspnut		02/09/22		11:13		11:15	
cbvspnut		03/10/22		11:17		11:18	
cbvspnut		04/11/22		11:31		11:32	
cbvspnut		05/12/22		13:34		13:35	
cbvspnut		06/08/22		11:15		11:16	
cbvspnut		07/07/22		11:00		11:02	
cbvspnut		08/08/22		13:11		13:12	
cbvspnut		09/06/22		12:35		12:36	
cbvspnut		10/17/22		11:44		11:45	
cbvspnut		11/01/22		10:13		10:15	
cbvspnut		12/01/22		13:37		13:38	

Station Code	Start Date	Start Time	End Time
cbvtcnut	01/12/22	12:04	12:05
cbvtcnut	02/09/22	10:15	10:17
cbvtcnut	03/10/22	9:53	9:54
cbvtcnut	04/11/22	10:30	10:31
cbvtcnut	05/12/22	11:30	11:32
cbvtcnut	06/08/22	10:13	10:15
cbvtcnut	07/07/22	9:13	9:14
cbvtcnut	08/08/22	12:09	12:11
cbvtcnut	09/06/22	11:26	11:27
cbvtcnut	10/17/22	10:27	10:28
cbvtcnut	11/01/22	9:14	9:17
cbvtcnut	12/01/22	12:16	12:17

b) **Diel Sample Program (Monitoring Program 2)**

Note: Times are in EST

Station Code	Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time
cbvtcnut	1/12/2022	13:20	1/13/2022	14:20
cbvtcnut	2/9/2022	11:35	2/10/2022	12:35
cbvtcnut	3/10/2022	10:45	3/11/2022	11:45
cbvtcnut	4/11/2022	13:55	4/12/2022	14:55
cbvtcnut	5/9/2022	12:09	5/10/2022	13:09
cbvtcnut	6/8/2022	12:09	6/9/2022	13:09
cbvtcnut	7/7/2022	11:25	7/8/2022	12:25
cbvtcnut	8/8/2022	13:56	8/9/2022	14:56
cbvtcnut	9/6/2022	13:48	9/7/2022	14:48
cbvtcnut	10/17/2022	10:46	10/18/2022	11:45
cbvtcnut	11/1/2022	11:15	11/2/2022	12:15
cbvtcnut	12/1/2022	11:17	12/2/2022	12:17

7) Associated researchers and projects

a) USEPA Chesapeake Bay Mainstem and Tributary Monitoring Program. Since 1984, biweekly to monthly water quality sampling at a series of sites located along the mid-river channel has been conducted as part of the Chesapeake Bay Program (www.chesapeakebay.net). Station ID's: York River proper (WE4.2, LE4.3, LE4.2, LE4.1, RET4.3), the Pamunkey River (RET4.1, TF4.2) and Mattaponi River (RET4.2 and TF4.4).

b) VIMS Shoal Survey. Since 1984, biweekly to monthly water quality sampling at a series of sites located along the shoal areas of the lower York River estuary has been conducted by the Biological Sciences Department at the Virginia Institute of Marine Science. Station ID's include: Guinea Marsh, Goodwin Island, VIMS, Yorktown, Mumfort Islands, Catlett Islands and Clay Bank.

c) Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay Volunteer Monitoring Program. Physical and chemical (limited nutrients) data are collected by a volunteer network along the York River system (www. Acb-online.org). Station ID's include: Thorofare Creek, Wormley Creek, Blackwell Landing, Pamunkey Trail, Timberneck Creek, Yorktown Naval Weapons Station, Gloucester Point, West Point and Croaker Landing. Note: Some stations may be inactive.

d) VIMS Juvenile Abundance Monitoring Survey. As part of their Juvenile Abundance Monitoring Surveys, water quality and hydrographic data has been collected since 1968 along a series of sites in the York River estuary (includes the Mattaponi and Pamunkey River systems) by the Fisheries Science Department (www.fisheries.vims.edu/research.html at the Virginia Institute of Marine Science. Surveys include the VIMS Trawl Survey, the Striped Bass Seine Survey and the Juvenile Shad/River Herring Pushnet Survey.

e) Virginia Department of Health. The Virginia Department of Health, Division of Shellfish Sanitation's (www.vdh.state.va.us/shellfish) Seawater Sampling Program collects microbial and general water quality and hydrographic data along a series of sites in the York River estuary (includes lower portions of the Mattaponi and Pamunkey River systems).

f) USEPA Chesapeake Bay Shallow Water Monitoring Program. Since May 2003, CBV NERR has maintained additional continuous (15 minute) fixed water monitoring stations within the York, Piankatank, James River, Rappahannock River, Potomac River, Mobjack Bay, CB5, and Pocomoke River estuary systems using YSI 6600 EDS and YSI 6600V2 Data Sondes. Measurements for this program include: temperature, specific conductivity, dissolved oxygen, pH, turbidity, situ fluorescence, and depth. York River stations are located at Gloucester Point and White House (Pamunkey River). Piankatank River stations were located at Burton's Point, Bland's Wharf, and Dragon Run. James River stations were located at Wythe Point, James River Country Club, 4H Club, Chickahominy Haven, Rice Center, Appomattox, and Osborne Landing. Rappahannock River stations were located at Hicks Landing, Kendale Farms, Bowler's Wharf, Christ Church, and Corrotoman River. Potomac River stations are located Potomac Creek, Colonial Beach, Yeocomico River, and Nomini Bay. Mobjack Bay stations were located in Back River, Dyer's Creek, Horn Harbor, Mobjack Bay, and Ware River. CB5 stations were located in Dividing Creek, Great Wicomico Creek, and Indian Creek. The Eastern Shore, (Western Shore) Pocomoke River stations were located in the (Mesohaline) Hunting Creek and the (Oligohaline) Tall Pines Harbor Campground. CB7 stations on the Eastern Shore (Western Shore) are located in Cherrystone, Hungars Creek, Nassawadox Creek, Occohannock Creek, and Onancock Creek. An additional surface water quality mapping program, which monitors the above stated parameters, at sub-surface depths of approximately 0.25 m along continuous cruise tracts, occurs on a monthly basis in the York River estuary (www3.vims.edu/vecos). This sub-surface continuous sampling of water quality has been conducted since May 2003 on the York River until present, and for the Pamunkey and Mattaponi Rivers from May 2003 through October 2005. Rappahannock and Potomac Rivers were monitored 2007 through 2009. Mobjack Bay stations were monitored March 2010 through 2012. Rappahannock Profiler was added to our monitoring program May 2009 to 2012. James River station was added May 2012 to 2013. CB5 and Pocomoke stations were monitored from March 2013 to 2015. The Virginia Eastern Shore, Chesapeake Bay Western Shore, (CB7) was added to the Shallow Water Monitoring Program in March 2016 to 2018. The Western Lower Chesapeake Bay (CB8PH – Polyhaline) and the Lynnhaven River, which consist of the Southeast corner of the Chesapeake Bay (LYNPH –Polyhaline), were monitored from 2019 and 2020. Western Lower Chesapeake stations include Little Creek and Salt Ponds, Lynnhaven River stations include Broad Bay, Linkhorn Bay and Western Branch. In 2021, Rappahannock River stations, Hicks Landing, Kendale Farms, Bowler's Wharf, Christ Church, and Corrotoman River were re-introduced back into the Chesapeake Bay Shallow Water Monitoring Program.

g) Chesapeake Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve (CBV) SWMP Water Quality Monitoring Program. The Chesapeake Bay Virginia NERR maintains a long-term water quality monitoring stations at Goodwin Island, Claybank, Sweet Hall Marsh, and Taskinas Creek within the York River estuary. Goodwin Island station was established in 1997, Claybank in 2001, Sweet Hall Marsh in 2000, and Taskinas Creek in 1997. Using YSI 6600 EDS, 6600 V2, and EXO2 data sondes, measurements for this program include: temperature, specific conductivity, dissolved oxygen, pH, turbidity, in situ fluorescence, and depth. Data are available at www.nerrsdata.org.

h) Chesapeake Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve (CBV) SWMP Meteorological Monitoring Program. Since 2001, CBNERR-VA has maintained a meteorological monitoring station located at Taskinas Creek within the York River estuary system. Measurements for this program include: Air Temperature (degrees C), Relative Humidity (%), Barometric Pressure (mb), Wind speed (m/s),Wind Direction (degrees), PAR (mmol/m^2), and Precipitation (mm) data. Data are available at www.nerrsdata.org.

8) Distribution

NOAA retains the right to analyze, synthesize and publish summaries of the NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program data. The NERRS retains the right to be fully credited for having collected and processed the data. Following academic courtesy standards, the NERR site where the data were collected should be contacted and fully acknowledged in any subsequent

publications in which any part of the data are used. The data set enclosed within this package/transmission is only as good as the quality assurance and quality control procedures outlined by the enclosed metadata reporting statement. The user bears all responsibility for its subsequent use/misuse in any further analyses or comparisons. The Federal government does not assume liability to the Recipient or third persons, nor will the Federal government reimburse or indemnify the Recipient for its liability due to any losses resulting in any way from the use of this data.

Requested citation format:
NOAA National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS). System-wide Monitoring Program. Data accessed from the NOAA NERRS Centralized Data Management Office website: www.nerrsdata.org; *accessed* 12 October 2021.

NERR nutrient data and metadata can be obtained from the Research Coordinator at the individual NERR site (please see Principal investigators and contact persons), from the Data Manager at the Centralized Data Management Office (please see personnel directory under the general information link on the CDMO home page) and online at the CDMO home page www.nerrsdata.org. Data are available in comma separated version format.

II. Physical Structure Descriptors

9) Entry verification

Nutrient data are entered into a Microsoft Excel worksheet and processed using the NutrientQAQC Excel macro. The NutrientQAQC macro sets up the data worksheet, metadata worksheets, and MDL worksheet; adds chosen parameters and facilitates data entry; allows the user to set the number of significant figures to be reported for each parameter and rounds using banker's rounding rules; allows the user to input MDL values and then automatically flags/codes measured values below MDL and inserts the MDL; calculates parameters chosen by the user and automatically flags/codes for component values below MDL, negative calculated values, and missing data; allows the user to apply QAQC flags and codes to the data; produces summary statistics; graphs selected parameters for review; and exports the resulting data file to the CDMO for tertiary QAQC and assimilation into the CDMO's authoritative online database.

The VIMS Nutrient Analytical Laboratory analyses TDN and TDP and reports results in mg/L. These data require no conversions. The nutrient lab at CBV NERR analyses PO4F, NO2F, NH4F, and NO23F and reports results in μM. For purposes of consistency in the NERR System, Chesapeake Bay Virginia NERR calculates the concentrations as mg/ l-1 based on atomic weights of 14.01, 30.97 for N and P respectively. Therefore, CBV NERR staff multiplies the concentrations reported by VIMS by 0.01401 and 0.030973762 to obtain concentration values in mg/L as N and P respectively. CHLA_N and PHEA are also analyzed by CBV NERR's nutrient laboratory and are reported in μg/L.

10) Parameter titles and variable names by category

Required NOAA/NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program nutrient parameters are denoted by an asterisks "*".

Data Category	Parameter	Variable Name	Units of Measure
Phosphorus and Nitrogen:			
	*Orthophosphate, filtrated	PO_4F	mg/L as P
	*Ammonium, Filtered	NH_4F	mg/L as N
	*Nitrite, Filtered	NO_2F	mg/L as N
	*Nitrate, Filtered	NO_3F	mg/L as N

	*Nitrite + Nitrate, Filtered	$NO_{23}F$	mg/L as N
	Total Dissolved Nitrogen	TDN	mg/L as N
	Total Dissolved Phosphorous	TDP	mg/L ad P
	Dissolved Organic Nitrogen	DON	mg/L as N
	Dissolve Inorganic Nitrogen	DIN	mg/L as N
	Dissolve Organic Phosphorous	DOP	mg/L as P
Plant Pigments:			
	*Chlorophyll a	CHLA_N	µg/L
	Phaeophytin	PHEA	µg/L
Field Parameters:			
	Water Temperature	WTEM_N	ºC
	Salinity	SALT_N	psu
	% Dissolved Oxygen Saturation	DO_S_N	%
	Dissolved Oxygen	DO_N	mg/L

Notes:

1. Time is coded based on a 2400 clock and is referenced to Standard Time.
2. Reserves have the option of measuring either NO_2 and NO_3 or they may substitute NO_{23} for individual analyses if they can show that NO_2 is a minor component relative to NO_3.

11) Measured or calculated laboratory parameters

a) **Parameters measured directly**

Nitrogen species:	NH_4, NO_2, NO_{23}, TDN
Phosphorus species:	PO_4, TDP
Other:	CHLA, PHEA

b) **Calculated parameters**

NO3	NO_{23} - NO_2
DIN	NO_{23} + NH_4
DON	TDN – (NO_{23} + NH_4)
DOP	TDP – PO_4

12) Limits of detection

Method Detection Limits (MDL), the lowest concentration of a parameter that an analytical procedure can reliably detect, have been established by the CBV NERR and VIMS nutrient analytical laboratories in the same manner. The MDL is determined as 3 times (or the correct Student's t value, for example nine replicates and eight degrees of freedom, t=2.896 (alpha=0.01)) the standard deviation of a minimum of 7 replicates of a single low concentration sample.

In addition we use the following inequalities for evaluating a calculated MDL:
Calculated MDL < Spike Level < 10 x Calculated MDL.

These values are reviewed and revised periodically.

Parameter	Start Date	End Date	MDL	Date revisited
PO_4F	1/13/21	5/16/22	0.0023	1/13/21
PO_4F	5/16/22	12/31/22	0.0023	5/15/2022
NH_4F	1/13/21	5/16/22	0.0032	1/13/21

NH_4F	5/16/22	12/31/22	0.0022	5/15/2022
NO_2F	1/13/21	5/16/22	0.0005	1/13/21
NO_2F	5/16/22	12/31/22	0.0004	5/15/2022
$NO_{23}F$	1/13/21	5/16/22	0.0055	1/13/21
$NO_{23}F$	5/16/22	12/31/22	0.0064	5/15/2022
CHLA_N	01/01/22	12/31/22	1.00	01/13/2022
PHEA	01/01/22	12/31/22	1.00	01/13/2022
TDN	01/01/22	12/31/22	0.0285	01/13/2022
TDP	01/01/22	12/31/22	0.0095	01/13/2022

13) Laboratory methods – Note that TDN and TDP are analyzed by the VIMS analytical laboratory. PO4F, NH4F, NO2F, NO23F, CHLA and PHEA are analyzed by the CBV NERR analytical lab.

a) Parameter: NH_4F

i) Method Summary: Ammonia in the sample is analyzed using a modified Solorzano (1969) method. Ammonia in the sample reacts to alkaline phenol and hypochlorite to form indophenol blue. The blue color is then intensified by the addition of sodium nitroprusside. A three-reagent procedure is followed; using tri-sodium citrate reagent, a combined phenol disodium nitroprusside dihydrate reagent and a dichloroisocyanuric acid reagent. After reagents are added to the water samples, they are set in the dark for a minimum of 6 hours and less than 24 hours. Samples are read spectrophotometrically at 630 μm using 1 cm cell.

ii) Method Reference(s):

Solorzano, L. 1969. Determination of ammonia in natural waters by phenol hypochlorite method. Limno. Oceanogr. 14 (1969), pp. 799–801

iii) Preservation Method: Samples are immediately filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter upon return to the laboratory from the field and stored at –20°C until analysis. Maximum holding time is 28 days.

b) Parameter: $NO_{23}F$

i) Method Summary: Nitrate is reduced to nitrite using a cadmium-copper column. The nitrite produced reacts with sulfanilamide in an acid solution. The resulting diazonium compound is coupled with N-(naphthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride to form a colored azo dye, the extinction of which can be measured spectrophotometrically. A correction must be made for any nitrite initially present in the sample

ii) Method Reference(s):

Strickland, J.D.H. and Parsons, T.R. 1968. Determination of reactive nitrite. In: *A Practical Handbook of Seawater Analysis*. Fisheries Research Board of Canada Bulletin 167, 71-75.

Wetzel, R.G. and Likens, G.E. 1991. *Limnological analysis*. 2nd Edition. Pgs 84-87. New York: Springer.

Johnstone, R. and Preston, M. 1993. Determination of nitrite. In: Nutrient analysis in tropical marine waters: Practical guidance and safety notes for the performance of dissolved micronutrient analysis in sea water with particular reference to tropical waters. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission. Manual and Guides 28. UNESCO.

iii) Preservation Method: Samples are immediately filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter upon return to the laboratory from the field and stored at –20°C until analysis. Maximum holding time is 28 days.

c) Parameter: NO_2F

i) Method Summary: The determination of nitrite is based on the method of Strickland and Parsons (1968). Nitrite reacts with sulfanilamide in an acid solution resulting in a diazonium compound. This is then coupled with N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride to form a highly colored azo dye, the extinction of which is measured in the spectrophotometer.

ii) Method Reference(s):

Strickland, J.D.H. and Parsons, T.R. 1968. Determination of reactive nitrite. In: *A Practical Handbook of Seawater Analysis*. Fisheries Research Board of Canada Bulletin 167, 71-75.

Wetzel, R.G. and Likens, G.E. 1991. *Limnological analysis*. 2nd Edition. Pgs 84-87. New York: Springer.

Johnstone, R. and Preston, M. 1993. Determination of nitrite. In: Nutrient analysis in tropical marine waters: Practical guidance and safety notes for the performance of dissolved micronutrient analysis in sea water with particular reference to tropical waters. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission. Manual and Guides 28. UNESCO.

iii) Preservation Method: Samples are immediately filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter upon return to the laboratory from the field and stored at –20°C until analysis. Maximum holding time is 28 days.

d) Parameter: TDN

i. Method Summary: The sample is autoclaved in the presence of alkaline potassium persulfate. Following digestion, the sample is then buffered and analyzed for nitrate. It should be noted that this is an adaption of D'Elia's method of 1977.

ii) Method Reference(s):

D'Elia, C.F.; Steudler, P.A. and Corwin N. 1977. Determination of total nitrogen in aqueous samples using persulfate digestion. *Limnology and Oceanography* 22: 760-764.

EPA 600/R-97/072 Method 353.4. Determination of nitrate and nitrite in estuarine and coastal waters by gas segmented flow colorimetric analysis. In: *Methods for the Determination of Chemical Substances in Marine and Estuarine Environmental Matrices*. 2nd Edition. National Exposure Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268.

iii) Preservation Method: Samples are immediately filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter upon return to the laboratory from the field and stored at –20°C until analysis. Maximum holding time is 28 days.

e) Parameter: PO_4F

i) Method Summary: Ammonium molybdate and potassium antimonyl tartrate react with orthophosphate in an acid medium to form an antimony-phosphomolybdate complex, which, on reduction with ascorbic acid yields an intense blue color suitable for photometric measurement.

ii) Method Reference(s):

Eaton, A. D.; Clesceri, L.S.; Rice, E.W. and Greenberg A.E. 2005. 4500-P E. Ascorbic Acid Method. In: *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. American Water Works Association.

iii) Preservation Method: Samples are immediately filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter upon return to the laboratory from the field and stored at –20°C until analysis. Maximum holding time is 28 days.

f) Parameter: TDP

i) Method Summary: The sample is autoclaved in the presence of alkaline potassium persulfate. Following digestion, the sample is then buffered and analyzed for orthophosphate. It should be noted that this is an adaptation of D'Elia's method of 1977.

ii) Method Reference(s):

EPA 600/R-97/072 Method 365.5 Determination of orthophosphate in estuarine and coastal waters by automated colorimetric analysis. In: *Methods for the determination of chemical substances in marine and estuarine environmental matrices*. 2nd Edition. National Exposure Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development. U.S. EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268.

D'Elia, C.F.; Steudler, P.A. and Corwin N. 1977. Determination of Total Nitrogen in Aqueous Samples using Persulfate Digestion. *Limnology and Oceanography* 22: 760-764.

iii) Preservation Method: Samples are immediately filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter upon return to the laboratory from the field and stored at –20°C until analysis. Maximum holding time is 28 days.

g) Parameter: CHLA_N

i) Method Summary: The method used requires filtering a known quantity of water through a glass fiber filter (4.7 cm GF/F). This filter is later ground with a tissue grinder made of teflon/glass. Approximately 1-3 ml of 90% acetone is added to the filter before grinding. Acetone is also used to wash the filter into a 17 x 150 test tube with tight fitting cap. The sample is steeped at least 2 hours and not exceeding 24 hours at 4°C, in the dark. The samples are stirred and read on a fluorometer. If the samples cannot be read within that time period, storage in the freezer at -20°C for a few days is acceptable.

iii) Method Reference(s):

Arar E.J. and Collins. G.B. 1997. Method 445.0. *In Vitro* determination of chlorophyll a and pheophytin a in marine and freshwater algae by fluorescence. Revision 1.2. National Exposure Research Laboratory. Office of Research and Development. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

iii) Preservation Method: Samples are immediately filtered with a 0.7 μm glass fiber filter upon return to the laboratory. The filter is drawn dry, folded, sealed in an aluminum foil packet and stored at –20°C until analysis. Maximum holding time is 28 days.

h) Parameter: PHEA

i) Method Summary: The method used requires filtering a known quantity of water through a glass fiber filter (4.7 cm GF/F). This filter is later ground with a tissue grinder made of teflon/glass. Approximately 1-3 ml of 90% acetone is added to the filter before grinding. Acetone is also used to wash the filter into a 17 x 150 test tube with tight fitting cap. The sample is steeped at least 2 hours and not exceeding 24 hours at 4°C, in the dark. The samples are stirred and read on a fluorometer. For pheophytin measurements, the sample is acidified and read again. If the samples cannot be read within that time period, storage in the freezer at -20°C for a few days is acceptable.

ii) Method Reference(s):

Arar E.J. and Collins. G.B. 1997. Method 445.0. *In Vitro* determination of chlorophyll a and pheophytin a in marine and freshwater algae by fluorescence. Revision 1.2. National Exposure Research Laboratory. Office of Research and Development. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

iii) Preservation Method: Samples are immediately filtered with a 0.7 µm glass fiber filter upon return to the laboratory. The filter is drawn dry, folded, sealed in an aluminum foil packet and stored at –20°C until analysis. Maximum holding time is 28 days.

14) Field and Laboratory QAQC programs

a) Precision

i) Field variability: CBV NERR collects two successive grab samples for the monthly grab sample program.
ii) Laboratory variability: The CBV NERR nutrient lab and the VIMS Analytical Service Center for Nutrients analyzes a laboratory duplicate once for every ten samples.
iii) Inter-organizational splits: None

b) Accuracy

i) Sample spikes: The VIMS Analytical Service Center for Nutrients analyzes a matrix spike once for every ten samples.
ii) Standard reference material analysis: CBV NERR has participated in the 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 NOAA/NERR Inter-laboratory Comparison Studies. VIMS Analytical Service Center results have all fallen within acceptable control limits. For details, contact the Reserve Research Coordinator.
iii) Cross calibration exercises: CBV NERR participates in cross calibration exercises. Cross calibration exercises include the Chesapeake Bay Quarterly Split Sample Program and the US EPA Method Validation Studies.

15) QAQC flag definitions

QAQC flags provide documentation of the data and are applied to individual data points by insertion into the parameter's associated flag column (header preceded by an F_). QAQC flags are applied to the nutrient data during secondary QAQC to indicate data that are out of sensor range low (-4), rejected due to QAQC checks (-3), missing (-2), optional and were not collected (-1), suspect (1), and that have been corrected (5). All remaining data are flagged as having passed initial QAQC checks (0) when the data are uploaded and assimilated into the CDMO ODIS as provisional plus data. The historical data flag (4) is used to indicate data that were submitted to the CDMO prior to the initiation of secondary QAQC flags and codes (and the use of the automated primary QAQC system for WQ and MET data). This flag is only present in historical data that are exported from the CDMO ODIS.

-4 Outside Low Sensor Range
-3 Data Rejected due to QAQC
-2 Missing Data
-1 Optional SWMP Supported Parameter
0 Data Passed Initial QAQC Checks
1 Suspect Data

4 Historical Data: Pre-Auto QAQC
5 Corrected Data

16) QAQC code definitions

QAQC codes are used in conjunction with QAQC flags to provide further documentation of the data and are also applied by insertion into the associated flag column. There are three (3) different code categories, general, sensor, and comment. General errors document general problems with the sample or sample collection, sensor errors document common sensor or parameter specific problems, and comment codes are used to further document conditions or a problem with the data. Only one general or sensor error and one comment code can be applied to a particular data point. However, a record flag column (F_Record) in the nutrient data allows multiple comment codes to be applied to the entire data record.

General errors

GCM	Calculated value could not be determined due to missing data
GCR	Calculated value could not be determined due to rejected data
GDM	Data missing or sample never collected
GQD	Data rejected due to QA/QC checks
GQS	Data suspect due to QA/QC checks
GSM	See metadata

Sensor errors

SBL	Value below minimum limit of method detection
SCB	Calculated value could not be determined due to a below MDL component
SCC	Calculation with this component resulted in a negative value
SNV	Calculated value is negative
SRD	Replicate values differ substantially
SUL	Value above upper limit of method detection

Parameter Comments

CAB	Algal bloom
CDR	Sample diluted and rerun
CHB	Sample held beyond specified holding time
CIP	Ice present in sample vicinity
CIF	Flotsam present in sample vicinity
CLE	Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled
CRE	Significant rain event
CSM	See metadata
CUS	Lab analysis from unpreserved sample

Record comments

CAB	Algal bloom
CHB	Sample held beyond specified holding time
CIP	Ice present in sample vicinity
CIF	Flotsam present in sample vicinity
CLE	Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled
CRE	Significant rain event
CSM	See metadata
CUS	Lab analysis from unpreserved sample

Cloud cover

CCL	clear (0-10%)

CSP	scattered to partly cloudy (10-50%)
CPB	partly to broken (50-90%)
COC	overcast (>90%)
CFY	foggy
CHY	hazy
CCC	cloud (no percentage)

Precipitation

PNP	none
PDR	drizzle
PLR	light rain
PHR	heavy rain
PSQ	squally
PFQ	frozen precipitation (sleet/snow/freezing rain)
PSR	mixed rain and snow

Tide stage

TSE	ebb tide
TSF	flood tide
TSH	high tide
TSL	low tide

Wave height

WH0	0 to <0.1 meters
WH1	0.1 to 0.3 meters
WH2	0.3 to 0.6 meters
WH3	0.6 to > 1.0 meters
WH4	1.0 to 1.3 meters
WH5	1.3 or greater meters

Wind direction

N	from the north
NNE	from the north northeast
NE	from the northeast
ENE	from the east northeast
E	from the east
ESE	from the east southeast
SE	from the southeast
SSE	from the south southeast
S	from the south
SSW	from the south southwest
SW	from the southwest
WSW	from the west southwest
W	from the west
WNW	from the west northwest
NW	from the northwest
NNW	from the north northwest

Wind speed

WS0	0 to 1 knot
WS1	> 1 to 10 knots
WS2	> 10 to 20 knots
WS3	> 20 to 30 knots
WS4	> 30 to 40 knots
WS5	> 40 knots

17) Other remarks/notes

Data may be missing due to problems with sample collection or processing. Laboratories in the NERRS System submit data that are censored at a lower detection rate limit, called the Method Detection Limit or MDL. MDLs for specific parameters are listed in the Laboratory Methods and Detection Limits Section (Section II, Part 12) of this document. Concentrations that are less than this limit are censored with the use of a QAQC flag and code, and the reported value is the method detection limit itself rather than a measured value. For example, if the measured concentration of NO23F was 0.0005 mg/l as N (MDL=0.0008), the reported value would be 0.0008 and would be flagged as out of sensor range low (-4) and coded SBL. In addition, if any of the components used to calculate a variable are below the MDL, the calculated variable is removed and flagged/coded -4 SCB. If a calculated value is negative, it is rejected and all measured components are marked suspect. If additional information on MDL's or missing, suspect, or rejected data is needed, contact the Research Coordinator at the reserve submitting the data.

Note: The way below MDL values are handled in the NERRS SWMP dataset was changed in November of 2011. Previously, below MDL data from 2007-2010 were also flagged/coded, but either reported as the measured value or a blank cell. Any 2007-2011 nutrient/pigment data downloaded from the CDMO prior to November of 2011 will reflect this difference.

a) Precipitation data for the sampling date and three days prior to the monthly grab and Diel sampling programs. Data are presented for two meteorological stations, locations are the Sweet Hall Marsh (SH) reserve and the Taskinas Creek (TC) reserve. Data units are in mm. Sampling dates are highlighted orange.

Type of Sampling	Dates	TC precip. (mm)	SH precip. (mm)
Monthly Grab & Diel	1/9/22	0.00	8.10
Monthly Grab & Diel	1/10/22	0.90	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	1/11/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	1/12/22	0.00	0.00
Diel	1/13/22	0.00	
Monthly Grab & Diel	2/6/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	2/7/22	12.95	10.20
Monthly Grab & Diel	2/8/22	0.30	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	2/9/22	0.00	0.00
Diel	2/10/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	3/7/22	0.00	2.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	3/8/22	0.50	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	3/9/22	24.98	33.30
Monthly Grab & Diel	3/10/22	0.00	0.00
Diel	3/11/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	4/8/22	0.76	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	4/9/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	4/10/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	4/11/22	0.00	0.00
Diel	4/12/22	0.00	
Diel	5/6/22	17.02	

Diel	5/7/22	1.27	
Diel	5/8/22	1.52	
Monthly Grab & Diel	5/9/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	5/10/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab	5/11/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab	5/12/22	0.00	2.10
Monthly Grab & Diel	6/5/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	6/6/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	6/7/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	6/8/22	0.00	0.00
Diel	6/9/22	0.00	
Monthly Grab & Diel	7/4/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	7/5/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	7/6/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	7/7/22	0.00	0.00
Diel	7/8/22	0.00	
Monthly Grab & Diel	8/5/22	10.41	42.20
Monthly Grab & Diel	8/6/22	10.41	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	8/7/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	8/8/22	0.00	0.00
Diel	8/9/22	0.00	
Monthly Grab & Diel	9/3/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	9/4/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	9/5/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	9/6/22	0.00	0.00
Diel	9/7/22	0.00	
Monthly Grab & Diel	10/14/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	10/15/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	10/16/22	32.00	14.20
Monthly Grab & Diel	10/17/22	19.30	9.90
Diel	10/18/22	0.00	
Monthly Grab & Diel	10/29/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	10/30/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	10/31/22	3.60	9.90
Monthly Grab & Diel	11/1/22	5.80	0.30
Diel	11/2/22	0.00	
Monthly Grab & Diel	11/28/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	11/29/22	0.00	0.00
Monthly Grab & Diel	11/30/22	12.20	10.40

Monthly Grab & Diel	12/1/22	0.00	0.00
Diel	12/2/22	0.00	

b) Holding Time: Samples are held at -20°C. NERRS SOP allows nutrient samples to be held for up to 28 days (CHLA for 30) at -20°C, plus allows for up to 5 days for collecting, processing, and shipping samples. TDN/TDP may be held up to 6 months. Samples held beyond that time period are flagged suspect <1> and coded (CHB). If measured values were below MDL, this resulted in <-4> [SBL] (CHB) flagging/coding.

Parameter	Method	Holding Time
PO4F	Filter 0.45 µm, freeze -20°C	28 days
NH4F	Filter 0.45 µm, freeze -20°C	28 days
NO2F	Filter 0.45 µm, freeze -20°C	28 days
NO23F	Filter 0.45 µm, freeze -20°C	28 days
CHLA_N	Filter 0.45 µm, freeze filter -20°C	30 days
PHEA	Filter 0.45 µm, freeze filter -20°C	30 days
TDN	Filter 0.45 µm, freeze -20°C	6 months
TDP	Filter 0.45 µm, freeze -20°C	6 months

c) Dates samples were Processed (Grab and Diel samples are analyzed together)

Date Collected		PO4F	NH4F	NO2F	NO23F	CHLA_N	PHEA	TDN	TDP
1/12/2022	Date Run	1/18/2022	1/18/2022	1/18/2022	1/18/2022	1/18/2022	1/18/2022	3/25/2022	3/25/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2/9/2022	Date Run	2/14/2022	2/14/2022	2/14/2022	2/14/2022	2/14/2022	2/14/2022	3/31/2022	3/31/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3/10/2022	Date Run	3/15/2022	3/15/2022	3/15/2022	3/17/2022	3/21/2022	3/21/2022	4/12/2022	4/12/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4/11/2022	Date Run	4/15/2022	4/15/2022	4/15/2022	4/18/2022	4/18/2022	4/18/2022	5/09/2022	5/09/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5/9/2022	Date Run	5/16/22	5/16/22	5/16/22	5/25/22	5/16/22	5/16/22	6/07/2022	6/07/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5/12/2022	Date Run	5/16/22	5/16/22	5/16/22	5/25/22	5/16/22	5/16/22	6/07/2022	6/07/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6/8/2022	Date Run	6/10/2022	6/10/2022	6/10/2022	6/14/2022	6/21/2022	6/21/2022	6/22/2022	6/22/2022
Days over		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

holding time									
7/7/2022	Date Run	7/18/2022	7/18/2022	7/18/2022	7/18/2022	7/18/2022	7/18/2022	8/25/2022	8/25/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8/8/2022	Date Run	8/17/2022	8/17/2022	8/17/2022	8/22/2022	8/22/2022	8/22/2022	9/27/2022	9/27/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9/6/2022	Date Run	9/9/2022	9/9/2022	9/9/2022	9/12/2022	9/12/2022	9/12/2022	10/5/2022	10/5/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10/17/2022	Date Run	10/24/2022	10/24/2022	10/24/2022	10/24/2022	10/24/2022	10/24/2022	11/10/2022	11/10/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11/01/2022	Date Run	11/03/2022	11/03/2022	11/03/2022	11/07/2022	11/07/2022	11/07/2022	12/02/2022	12/02/2022
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12/01/2022	Date Run	12/05/2022	12/05/2022	12/05/2022	12/05/2022	12/05/2022	12/05/2022	1/09/2023	1/09/2023
Days over holding time		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

d) Algae Bloom

During the months of July, August and September the York River System experienced algae blooms. The dominant bloom organism was *Alexandrium monilatum and Margalefidinium polykrikoides*. In addition, late summer bloom of *Margalefidinium polykrikoides* was seen in the York

e) Notes regarding codes in data

Station Code	DateTimeStamp	Monitoring Program	Rep	Parameter	Comment
cbvspnut	12/01/22	1	1, 2	All	The sample could not be filtered given the amount of TSS on the sample
cbvtcnut	9/7/22 14:48	2		All	No sample; ISCO failed to collect last sample