Delaware (DEL) NERR Nutrient Metadata January 01, 2012 - December 31, 2012

Latest Update: March 27, 2015

I. Data Set and Research Descriptors

1) Principal investigator(s) and contact persons –

a) Reserve Contacts:

Robert Scarborough (P.I)

Dept. of Natural Resources & Environmental Control

Division of Soil & Water Conservation

Delaware Coastal Programs/ National Estuarine Research Reserve Program

818 Kitts Hummock Road

Dover, Delaware 19901

Phone: 302-739-3436

e-mail: bob.scarborough@state.de.us

Michael G. Mensinger

Dept. of Natural Resources & Environmental Control

Division of Soil & Water Conservation

Delaware Coastal Programs/National Estuarine Research Reserve Program

818 Kitts Hummock Road

Dover, Delaware 19901

Phone: 302-739-3436

e-mail: mike.mensinger@state.de.us

b) Laboratory Contact:

Carol Pollard

Rt. 1208 Greate Road

Nutrient Analytical Laboratory

Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062

Phone: 804-684-7213

e-mail: pollard@VIMS.edu

c) Other Contacts and Programs: None

Michael G. Mensinger is responsible for the collection, implementation, and data management related to the DNERR nutrient program. Carol Pollard (VIMS) is responsible for sample processing, analyses, and data output.

2) Research objectives –

a) Monthly Grab Program:

The objective of this monitoring program is to provide baseline information on inorganic nutrient and Chla water quality status in the Delaware NERR while also contributing to

baseline information nationally. The five sites chosen for monitoring will assist in understanding the impacts of both urban and agricultural impacts on the watersheds.

b) Diel Sampling Program:

The objective of this monitoring program is to provide baseline information on inorganic nutrient and Chla water quality status in the Delaware NERR. The diel sampling program attempts to capture a more comprehensive view by assessing fluctuating nutrient amounts throughout a lunar tidal cycle. The site chosen for monitoring will assist in understanding the impacts of both urban and agricultural impacts on the watersheds.

3) Research methods -

a) Monthly Grab Sampling Program:

Monthly grab samples are taken at 3 sites in the St. Jones River watershed and 2 sites in the Blackbird watershed. These sites coincide with the five datasonde sites: Scotton Landing, Lebanon Landing, Division Street, Blackbird Landing, and Beaver Branch (non-SWMP water quality site). All grab samples are taken on the same day between +/- 3 hours slack-low tide. No distinction is made between neap and spring tide conditions. Efforts are made to allow for an antecedent dry period of 72 hours prior to sampling, however this was not always possible due to staffing limitations and extensive periods of inclement weather. Sampling events are staggered 30 days apart to the best of the research staff's ability. Replicate (N=2) samples are collected with a Wildco grab sampler at an approximate depth of 30 cm above the bottom. All samples are collected in wide-mouth, nalgene sample bottles that were previously acid washed (10%), rinsed (3x) with distilled-deionized water, dried, and rinsed (2x) with ambient water prior to collection of the sample. Samples are immediately placed on ice, in a dark cooler and returned to the laboratory.

Once in the DNERR laboratory, samples are shaken and processed for nutrient and Chla analysis. Sample processing includes the filtration of samples since all analysis takes place at the Virginia Institute for Marine Science (VIMS). The filtering methods differ between samples for Chla analysis and other nutrient parameter analysis. Chl-a processing included filtering a 100ml sample through a 47mm Whatman GF/F filters using a vacuum-pump and filter flask apparatus. The Whatman type GF/F filter is folded immediately after sample filtering, enclosed in tinfoil, placed in a sealed bag, and placed in the freezer until it is sent off for analysis the following day. Sample processing for other parameters includes filtering 100ml of a sample through 0.45m Millipore filters using a vacuum-pump and a filtering flask apparatus. If samples are extremely dirty a 47mm GF/C filter may be used to filter the sample prior to filtering through the 0.45m Millipore filter. The liquid volume of the filtered sample is collected into a Nalgene bottle and placed in the freezer until shipment time arrives the following day. All lab glassware is acid washed (10% HCl) and rinsed (6x) using distilled-deionized water between samples to avoid any contamination.

b) Diel Sampling Program:

Diel samples are collected once a month at Scotton Landing, a site located along the St. Jones River. An Isco 6700 automated sampler takes samples at 2.5-hour intervals over a 25-hour cycle, thus resulting in 11 samples per event. Diel sampling starts between +/- 3 hours slacklow tide. No distinction is made between neap and spring tide conditions. Efforts are made to allow for an antecedent dry period of 72 hours prior to starting the sampler, however this was

not always possible due to staffing limitations and extensive periods of inclement weather. Sampling events are staggered 30 days apart to the best of the research staff's ability. Samples are collected at an approximate depth of 30 cm coinciding with the vertical placement of the data sonde. All samples are collected in wide-mouth, Nalgene sampler bottles that were previously acid washed (10%), rinsed (3x) with distilled-deionized water, and dried. Samples are immediately placed on ice, inside the ice-filled sampler. Samples are processed in the same manner illustrated in the "Monthly Grab Sampling Program" portion of this section.

4) Site location and character –

The Delaware National Estuarine Research Reserve is comprised of two component sites, the St. Jones River and Blackbird Creek components. Both components are located along the Delaware Bay Coast. The St. Jones River Component is located in central Kent County Delaware, east of the State Capitol City, Dover. The Blackbird Creek component is located in the unincorporated area of Southern New Castle County. There are five sampling sites, three located in the St. Jones component and two in the Blackbird Creek component.

1) Scotton Landing (SL) - is located in the Lower St. Jones River at the Scotton Landing Public Fishing Pier, just up stream of Delaware Route 113. The river is 22.3 km long (mainstream linear dimension), has an average depth of 4m MHW and the width is 50 m. At the sampling site, the depth is 3.2 m MHW and the width is 40 m. The sediment is clayey silt with no bottom vegetation. The St. Jones watershed drainage area is 228.1 km2 (22810 ha) and Scotton Landing's drainage area is 196.2 km2 (19620 ha). The site is influenced by freshwater runoff from the relatively urbanized area upstream. Pollutants in the area include PCB's.

Salinity ranges from 1-30 ppt.

Tidal Range: Spring Mean (m) – 1.26

Neap Mean (m) - 1.13

Position: Latitude 39 degree 05' 05.9160" N

Longitude 75 degree 27' 38.1049" W

2) Blackbird Landing (BL) - is located in the upper Blackbird Creek at Blackbird Landing Road. The creek is 25.8 km long (mainstream linear dimension), has an average depth of 3 m MHW, and an average width of 90 m. At the sampling site, the depth is 1.8 m MHW and width is 110 m. The sediment is silty clay with no bottom vegetation. The Blackbird watershed drainage area is 90.6 km2 (9060 ha) and Blackbird Landing's drainage area is 48.3 km2 (4830 ha). The site is influenced by freshwater runoff from unimpacted forested areas intermixed with agricultural land uses and a small amount of low-density development. There is very little pollutant presence in the area.

Salinity ranges from 0-9 ppt.

Tidal Range: Spring Mean (m) – 1.12

Neap Mean (m) - 1.13

Position: Latitude 39 degree 23' 19.5196" N

Longitude 75 degree 38' 09.5882" W

3) Lebanon Landing (LL) - is located in the mid portion of the St. Jones River at the Lebanon Landing Public Fishing Pier, farther upstream from the Scotton Landing monitoring site. The St.

Jones River is 22.3 km long (mainstream linear dimension), has an average depth of 4m MHW and the width is 50 m. At the sampling site, the depth is 3.0 m MHW and the width is 28 m. The sediment is clayey silt with no bottom vegetation. The St. Jones watershed drainage area is 228.1 km2 (22810 ha) and Lebanon Landing's drainage area is 171.6 km2 (17160 ha). The site is influenced by freshwater runoff from the relatively urbanized area upstream. Pollutants in the area include PCB's.

Salinity ranges from 0 to 28ppt.

Tidal Range: Spring Mean (m) – 0.855

Neap Mean (m) - 0.671

Position: Latitude 39° 06' 51.8" N Longitude 75° 29' 57.1" W

4) Division Street (DS) - is located in the upper portion of the St. Jones River near the USGS station on Division Street. The site is influenced by runoff from the urbanized surroundings. The St. Jones River is 22.3 km long (mainstream linear dimension), has an average depth of 4m MHW and the width is 50 m. At the sampling site, the depth is 0.6m MHW and the width is 26 m. The sediment is clayey silt with no bottom vegetation. The St. Jones watershed drainage area is 228.1 km2 (22810 ha) and Division Street's drainage area is 81.2 km2 (8120 ha). The site is fresh water and is influenced by urban freshwater runoff.

Salinity Range: Fresh water (0.1 ppt)
Tidal Range: Not Applicable, freshwater
Position: Latitude 39° 09' 49.4" N
Longitude 75° 31' 08.7" W

5) Beaver Branch (BB) - is located in the upper Blackbird Creek. The sampling site is situated on the south side of a Union Church Road bridge. The creek is 1.5 km long (mainstream linear dimension), has an average depth of 1.5m MHW, and an average width of 37m. At the sampling site, the depth is 1.4m MHW and width is 12.8 m. The site is influenced by freshwater runoff from unimpacted forested areas intermixed with agricultural land uses and increasing amounts of development. The sediment is silty clay with no bottom vegetation. Some emergent vegetation exists near the western bank. The Blackbird watershed drainage area is 90.6 km2 (9060 ha) and Beaver Branch's drainage area is 4.8 km2 (480 ha). There is very little pollutant presence in the area.

Salinity Range: 0.5-10.0 ppt

Tidal Range: Spring Mean (m) - 0.82

Neap Mean (m)-0.72

Position: Latitude 39 degree 24' 08.6" N

Longitude 75 degree 37' 40.7" W

6) Taylor's Bridge (TB) - is located in the upper Blackbird Creek. The sampling site is situated on the east side of Taylor's Bridge on Route 9. The creek is 25.8 km long (mainstream linear dimension), has an average depth of 3 m MHW, and an average width of 90 m. At the sampling site, the depth is 1.8 m MHW and width is 110 m. The sediment is silty clay with no bottom vegetation. The Blackbird watershed drainage area is 90.6 km2 (9060 ha) and Taylor's Bridge's drainage area is 63.6 km2 (6360 ha). The site is influenced by freshwater runoff from unimpacted forested areas intermixed with agricultural land uses and a small amount of low-density development. There is very little pollutant presence in the area.

Salinity Range: 0.1-10.2 ppt

Tidal Range: Spring Mean (m) – 1.31

Neap Mean (m)-0.91

Position: Latitude 39 degree 24' 17.8" N

Longitude 75 degree 35' 58.1" W

5) Code variable definitions –

Each individual sample is given a 3 part name code in addition to other codes. The 3 part name code, "delslnut" for example, gives the reserve name (del = Delaware), station name (sl = Scotton Landing, etc), and SWMP program code (nut = nutrient monitoring program).

Sampling Site Codes:

delslnut = Delaware Reserve nutrient data for Scotton Landing delblnut = Delaware Reserve nutrient data for Blackbird Landing delllnut = Delaware Reserve nutrient data for Lebanon Landing deldsnut = Delaware Reserve nutrient data for Division Street delbbnut= Delaware Reserve nutrient data for Beaver Branch deltbnut= Delaware Reserve nutrient data for Taylor's Bridge

The monitoring codes are set as "1" to indicate grab samples and "2" to indicate diel samples. Replicates are also given specific codes. Grab samples in which triplicates sample are taken utilize a "1" for the first sample, a "2" for the second sample, and a "3" for the third sample. Diel samples are always labeled with a "1" since only one sample is taken at each 2.5 hr interval.

6) Data collection period –

Diel Sampling (All times in EST)

Site Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time
SL 01/25/2012	09:00	01/26/2012	10:00
SL 02/22/2012	08:30	02/23/2012	09:30
SL 03/27/2012	08:00	03/28/2012	09:00
SL 04/25/2012	08:00	04/26/2012	09:00
SL 05/24/2012	10:30	05/25/2012	11:30
SL 06/21/2012	08:00	06/22/2012	09:00
SL 07/24/2012	08:30	07/25/2012	09:30
SL 08/29/2012	08:00	08/30/2012	09:00
SL 09/19/2012	08:00	09/20/2012	09:00
SL 10/31/2012	08:00	11/01/2012	09:00
SL 11/19/2012	09:30	11/20/2012	10:30
SL 12/20/2012	09:00	12/21/2012	10:00

Grab Sampling (All times in EST)

Site Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time
SL 01/31/2012	11:18	01/31/2012	11:18
SL 02/27/2012	08:40	02/27/2012	08:40

SL 03/05/2012	13:56	03/05/2012	13:56
SL 04/25/2012	08:05	04/25/2012	08:12
SL 05/24/2012	07:36	05/24/2012	07:36
SL 06/05/2012	08:27	06/05/2012	08:38
SL 07/06/2012	10:19	07/06/2012	10:19
		08/20/2012	
SL 08/20/2012	06:15		06:15
SL 09/17/2012	07:47	09/17/2012	07:47
SL 10/26/2012	11:15	10/26/2012	11:15
SL 11/05/2012	09:20	11/05/2012	09:20
SL 12/27/2012	13:41	12/27/2012	13:41
Sita Start Data	Start Times	End Data	End Time
Site Start Date	Start Time	End Date	
LL 01/31/2012	11:27	01/31/2012	11:27
LL 02/27/2012	08:51	02/27/2012	08:55
LL 03/05/2012	14:08	03/05/2012	14:08
LL 04/25/2012	08:24	04/25/2012	08:24
LL 05/24/2012	08:26	05/24/2012	08:26
LL 06/05/2012	08:55	06/05/2012	08:55
LL 07/06/2012	10:40	07/06/2012	10:40
	06:35		06:39
LL 08/20/2012		08/20/2012	
LL 09/17/2012	07:58	09/17/2012	07:58
LL 10/26/2012	11:34	10/26/2012	11:34
LL 11/05/2012	09:29	11/05/2012	09:29
LL 12/27/2012	13:51	12/27/2012	13:51
LL 12/2//2012	15:51	12/2//2012	15:51
Sita Start Data	Start Time	End Data	End Time
Site Start Date	Start Time	End Date	
DS 01/31/2012	11:42	01/31/2012	11:42
DS 02/27/2012	09:07	02/27/2012	09:07
DS 03/05/2012	14:22	03/05/2012	14:22
DS 04/25/2012	08:35	04/25/2012	08:35
DS 05/24/2012	08:41	05/24/2012	08:41
DS 06/05/2012	09:13	06/05/2012	09:13
DS 07/06/2012	11:19	07/06/2012	11:19
DS 08/20/2012	06:58	08/20/2012	06:58
DS 09/17/2012	08:17	09/17/2012	08:17
DS 10/26/2012	12:12	10/26/2012	12:17
DS 11/05/2012	09:42	11/05/2012	09:42
DS 12/27/2012	14:05	12/27/2012	14:12
DS 12/2//2012	14.03	12/2//2012	17.12
Site Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time
BL 01/31/2012		01/31/2012	12:10
	12:10		
BL 02/27/2012	09:33	02/27/2012	09:33
BL 03/05/2012	14:51	03/05/2012	14:51
BL 04/25/2012	09:06	04/25/2012	09:06
BL 05/24/2012	09:12	05/24/2012	09:12
BL 06/05/2012	07:08	06/05/2012	07:08
BL 07/06/2012	12:49	07/06/2012	12:49
BL 08/20/2012	07:32	08/20/2012	07:32
BL 09/17/2012	08:44	09/17/2012	08:44
BL 10/26/2012	14:44	10/26/2012	14:44
BL 10/26/2012 BL 11/05/2012			

BL 12/27/2012	Not Collected	12/27/2012	Not Collected
Site Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time
BB 01/31/2012	12:17	01/31/2012	12:17
BB 02/27/2012	09:40	02/27/2012	09:40
BB 03/05/2012	14:57	03/05/2012	14:57
BB 04/25/2012	09:12	04/25/2012	09:12
BB 05/24/2012	09:18	05/24/2012	09:18
BB 06/05/2012	07:15	06/05/2012	07:15
BB 07/06/2012	13:05	07/06/2012	13:05
BB 08/20/2012	07:41	08/20/2012	07:41
BB 09/17/2012	08:53	09/17/2012	08:53
BB 10/26/2012	14:51	10/26/2012	14:51
BB 11/05/2012	10:16	11/05/2012	10:16
BB 12/27/2012	Not Collected	12/27/2012	Not Collected
SiteStart Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time
TB 01/31/2012	12:22	01/31/2012	12:22
TB 02/27/2012	09:47	02/27/2012	09:47
TB 03/05/2012	15:02	03/05/2012	15:02
TB 04/25/2012	09:19	04/25/2012	09:19
TB 05/24/2012	09:25	05/24/2012	09:25
TB 06/05/2012	07:22	06/05/2012	07:22
TB 07/06/2012	13:20	07/06/2012	13:20
TB 08/20/2012	07:48	08/20/2012	07:48
TB 09/17/2012	09:01	09/17/2012	09:01
TB 10/26/2012	15:01	10/26/2012	15:01
TB 11/05/2012	10:26	11/05/2012	10:26
TB 12/27/2012	Not Collected	12/27/2012	Not Collected

7) Associated researchers and projects –

The DNERR water quality monitoring program occurs at the corresponding nutrient sample sites. A YSI 6600 datasonde is deployed at each site measuring: dissolved oxygen, salinity, water temperature, depth, turbidity, and pH. Weather data is collected in both the St. Jones River and Blackbird Creek watershed near nutrient/water quality sites as another portion of the NERRS SWMP program. An additional stormwater sampling program is underway to analyze the impact of agricultural BMP's at sites in the Blackbird Creek Watershed, St. Jones River Watershed.

8) Distribution -

NOAA retains the right to analyze, synthesize and publish summaries of the NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program data. The NERRS retains the right to be fully credited for having collected and process the data. Following academic courtesy standards, the NERR site where the data were collected should be contacted and fully acknowledged in any subsequent publications in which any part of the data are used. The data set enclosed within this package/transmission is only as good as the quality assurance and quality control procedures outlined by the enclosed metadata reporting statement. The user bears all responsibility for its subsequent use/misuse in any further analyses or comparisons. The Federal government does not assume liability to the Recipient or third

persons, nor will the Federal government reimburse or indemnify the Recipient for its liability due to any losses resulting in any way from the use of this data.

Requested citation format:

National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS). 2012. System-wide Monitoring Program. Data accessed from the NOAA NERRS Centralized Data Management Office website: www.nerrsdata.org; *accessed* 12 October 2012.

NERR nutrient data and metadata can be obtained from the Research Coordinator at the individual NERR site (please see Principal investigators and contact persons), from the Data Manager at the Centralized Data Management Office (please see personnel directory under the general information link on the CDMO home page) and online at the CDMO home page www.nerrsdata.org. Data are available in comma separated version format.

II. Physical Structure Descriptors:

9) Entry verification –

Nutrient data are entered into a Microsoft Excel worksheet and processed using the NutrientQAQC Excel macro. The NutrientQAQC macro sets up the data worksheet, metadata worksheets, and MDL worksheet; adds chosen parameters and facilitates data entry; allows the user to set the number of significant figures to be reported for each parameter and rounds using banker's rounding rules; allows the user to input MDL values and then automatically flags/codes measured values below MDL and inserts the MDL; calculates parameters chosen by the user and automatically flags/codes for component values below MDL, negative calculated values, and missing data; allows the user to apply QAQC flags and codes to the data; produces summary statistics; graphs selected parameters for review; and exports the resulting data file to the CDMO for tertiary QAQC and assimilation into the CDMO's authoritative online database.

Michael G. Mensinger is also responsible for all data entry and QA/QC procedures related to the Delaware NERR dataset. The original Excel files received from VIMS are archived on the State of Delaware server. Edited files containing additional calculated parameters are archived on the State of Delaware server and sent to the CDMO for additional archiving.

10) Parameter Titles and Variable Names by Data Category –

Required NOAA/NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program water quality parameters are denoted by an asterisks "*".

Data Category	Parameter	Variable Name	Units of Measure
i) Phosphorus:	*Orthophosphate, Filtered	PO4F	mg/L as P
ii) Nitrogen:	*Nitrite + Nitrate, Filtered *Nitrite, Filtered *Nitrate, Filtered *Ammonium, Filtered	NO23F NO2F NO3F NH4F	mg/L as N mg/L as N mg/L as N mg/L as N

	Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen	DIN	mg/L as N
iii) Plant Pigments:	*Chlorophyll a Phaeophytin	CHLA_N PHEA	μg/L μg/L
iv) Other Lab Paran	neters: Silicate, Filtered	SiO4F	mg/L as SI
	Silicate, Filicieu	31041	mg/L as Si

iv) Field Parameters: none

Notes:

- 1. Time is coded based on a 2400 hour clock and is referenced to Eastern Standard Time (EST).
- 2. Reserves have the option of measuring either NO2 and NO3 or they may substitute NO23 for individual analyses if they can show that NO2 is a minor component relative to NO3.

11) Measured and Calculate Laboratory Parameters –

i) Variables Measured Directly:

Nitrogen Species: NO2F, NO23F, NH4F

Phosphorus: PO4F

Other: CHLA N, PHEA, SiO4F

ii) Computed Variables:

Nitrogen Species: NO3F: (NO23F-NO2F)

DIN: (NO23F+NH4F)

12) Limits of Detection –

Method Detection Limits (MDL), the lowest concentration of a parameter that an analytical procedure can reliably detect, have been established by the VIMS Nutrient Analytical Laboratory. The MDL is determined as 3 times the standard deviation of a minimum of 7 replicates of a single low concentration sample. Table 1 presents the current MDL's; these values are reviewed and revised periodically.

Table 1. Method Detection Limits (MDL) for measured water quality parameters.

Parameter	Variable	Method Detection Limit	t Dates in Use
Ammonium	NH4F	0.0056 mg/L as N	01/01/2012-12/31/2012
Nitrite	NO2F	0.0016 mg/L as N	01/01/2012 - 12/31/2012
Orthophosphate	PO4F	0.0020 mg/L as P	01/01/2012 - 12/31/2012
Nitrite + Nitrate, filtered	l NO23F	0.0047 mg/L as N	01/01/2012 - 12/31/2012
Chlorophyll a	CHLA	0.50 ug/L	01/01/2012 - 12/31/2012
Phaeophytin	PHEA	0.50 ug/L	01/01/2012 - 12/31/2012
Silica	SiO4F	0.0800 mg/L	01/01/2012 - 12/31/2012

13) Laboratory Methods –

i) Parameter: Orthophosphate

Method References:

Virginia Institute of Marine Science Analytical Service Center.

SKALAR Method: O-Phosphate / Total Phosphate Catnr. 503-365.1, issue 042993/MH/93-Demo1.

Murphy, J. and J.P. Riley. 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. Analytica Chim. Acta 27: 31-36.

EPA 600/R-97/072 Method 365.5 Determination of Orthophosphate in Estuarine and Coastal Waters by Automated Colorimetric Analysis. IN: Methods for the Determination of Chemical Substances in Marine and Estuarine Environmental Matrices - 2nd Edition. National Exposure Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development . U.S. EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268.

Method Descriptor:

Instrumentation: SKALAR San-Plus continuous flow autoanalyzer.

Ammonium molybdate and antimony potassium tartrate react in a sulfuric acid environment to form an antimony-phospho-molybdo complex, which is reduced to a blue colored complex by ascorbic acid. Reaction is heat catalizyed at 40°C and measured colorimetrically at 880nm. The range is 1-50 ppb.

Preservation Method:

100ml of a sample is filtered through 0.45um Millipore filters using a vaccum-pump and a filtering flask apparatus. If samples are extremely dirty a 47mm GF/C filter may be used to filter the sample prior to filtering through the 0.45um Millipore filter. The liquid volume of the filtered sample is collected into a Nalgene bottle and placed in the freezer until shipment time arrives the following day.

ii) Parameter: Nitrite

Method References:

Virginia Institute of Marine Science Analytical Service Center.

SKALAR Method 467

Method Descriptor:

Instrumentation: SKALAR San-Plus continuous flow autoanalyzer.

An adaptation of the diazotization method. Under acidic conditions, nitrite ion reacts with sulfanilimide to yield a diazo compound which couples with

N-1-napthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride to form a soluble dye which is measured colorimetrically at 540nm. The range is 0.001 to 0.050 mg/L.

Preservation Method:

100ml of a sample is filtered through 0.45um Millipore filters using a vaccum-pump and a filtering flask apparatus. If samples are extremely dirty a 47mm GF/C filter may be used to filter the sample prior to filtering through the 0.45um Millipore filter. The liquid volume of the filtered sample is collected into a Nalgene bottle and placed in the freezer until shipment time arrives the following day.

iii) Parameter: Nitrate + Nitrite

Method References:

Virginia Institute of Marine Science Analytical Service Center.

SKALAR Method: Nitrate + Nitrite/ Total Dissolved Nitrogen Catnr. 461-353.2 issue 120293/MH/93128060.

207 -212.

Wood, E.D., F.A.G. Armstrong and F.A. Richards. 1967. Determination of nitrate in seawater by cadmium-copper reduction to nitrite. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K. 47: 23.

Grasshoff, K., M. Ehrhardt and K. Kremling. 1983. <u>Methods of Seawater Analysis</u>. Verlag Chemie, Federal Republic of Germany. 419 pp.

EPA 600/R-97/072 Method 353.4 Determination of Nitrate and Nitrite in Estuarine and Coastal Waters by Gas Segmented Flow Colorimetric Analysis. IN: Methods for the Determination of Chemical Substances in Marine and Estuarine Environmental Matrices - 2nd Edition. National Exposure Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development U.S. EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268.

Method Descriptor:

Instrumentation: SKALAR San-Plus continuous flow autoanalyzer.

Nitrate is reduced to nitrite by a copper/cadmium reductor column. The nitrite ion then reacts with sulfanilimide to form a diazo compound. This compound then couples with n-1-napthylenediamine dihydrochloride to form a reddish/purple azo dye and is read colorimetrical at 540 nm. Nitrate concentration is obtained by subtracting the corresponding nitrite value from the NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻ concentration. The color development chemistry is the same as that used in Nitrite. Range is 0 -1.2 mg/L.

Preservation Method:

100ml of a sample is filtered through 0.45um Millipore filters using a vaccum-pump and a filtering flask apparatus. If samples are extremely dirty a 47mm GF/C filter may be used to filter the sample prior to filtering through the 0.45um Millipore filter. The liquid volume of the filtered sample is collected into a Nalgene bottle and placed in the freezer until shipment time arrives the following day.

iv) Parameter: Ammonia

Method References:

Virginia Institute of Marine Science Analytical Service Center.

U.S. EPA. 1974. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, pp. 168-174. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 14th edition. p 410. Method 418A and 418B (1975).

Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Part 31. "Water", Standard 1426-74, Method A, p 237 (1976). EPA 600/R-97/072 Method 349.0. Determination of Ammonia in Estuarine and Coastal Waters by Gas Segmented Continuous Flow Colorimetric Analysis. IN: Methods for the Determination of Chemical Substances in Marine and Estuarine Environmental Matrices - 2nd Edition. National Exposure Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development U.S. EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268.

<u>Method Descriptor</u>:

Instrumentation: SKALAR San-Plus continuous flow autoanalyzer.

Alkaline phenol and hypochlorite react with ammonia to form indophenol blue that is proportional to the ammonia concentration. The blue color formed is intensified with sodium nitroprusside. Reaction is heat catalyzed at 37°C and is measured colorimetrically at 660 nm. The range is 0.01 - 2.0 mg/L.

Preservation Method:

100ml of a sample is filtered through 0.45um Millipore filters using a vaccum-pump and a filtering flask apparatus. If samples are extremely dirty a 47mm GF/C filter may be used to filter the sample prior to filtering through the 0.45um Millipore filter. The liquid volume of the filtered sample is collected into a Nalgene bottle and placed in the freezer until shipment time arrives the following day.

v) Parameter: Chlorophyll and Pheophytin

Method References:

Virginia Institute of Marine Science Analytical Service Center.

Strickland, J.D.H., and Parson, T.R. 1972. <u>A Practical Handbook of Seawater Analysis</u>. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 167:310.

<u>TD-700 Laboratory Fluorometer Operating Manual.</u> Version 1.8. July 7, 1999. Turner Designs, 845 West Maude Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94086.

EPA /600/ R-97/072 - Method 445.0. In Vitro Determination of Chlorophyll a and Pheophytin a in Marine and Freshwater Algae by Fluoresence. Methods for the Determination of Chemical Substances in Marine and Estuarine Environmental Matrices Revision 1.2. September 1997.

<u>Using the Turner Designs Model 10 Analog, The 10AU Digital, Or the TD-700 Fluorometer with EPA Method 445.0</u>. January 19, 1999. Turner Designs, 845 West Maude Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94086.

Method Descriptor:

Instrumentation: Milton Roy Spectronic 1201 spectrophometer or Turner Designs TD-700 fluorometer. The two methods for determining Chlorophyll a given here are with 1) a scanning spectrophotometer and 2) a Turner Design fluorometer. The method used requires filtering a known quantity of water through a

glass fiber filter (4.7 cm GF/F). This filter is later ground with a tissue grinder made of teflon/glass. Approximately 1-3mLs of 90% acetone are added to the filter before grinding. Acetone is also used to wash the filter into 17 x 150 test tube with tight fitting cap. The sample is steeped at least 2 hours and not exceeding 24 hours at 4°C, in the dark. The samples are centrifuged and read on a spectrophotometer or fluorometer. If the samples can not be read within that time period, storage in the freezer at -20°C for a few days is acceptable. If pheophytin measurements are desired, the sample is acidified and read again.

Preservation Method:

A 100ml sample is filtered through a 47mm Whatman GF/F filters using a vaccum-pump and filter flask apparatus. The Whatman type GF/F filter is folded immediately after sample filtering, enclosed in tinfoil, placed in a sealed bag, and placed in the freezer until it is sent off for analysis the following day.

vi) Parameter: Silicate

Method References:

Virginia Institute of Marine Science Analytical Service Center.

Technicon Industrial Systems Method: Silica. 1973. Technicon Auto-analyzer II Industrial Method No. 186-72W, Silicates in Water and Seawater.

U.S. EPA. 1982. <u>Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastewater</u>, 18th edition. Method 4500-Si F. Automated Method for Molybdate-Reactive Silica. pp. 4-122 through 4-123. Grasshoff, K., M. Ehrhardt and K. Kremling. 1983. <u>Methods of Seawater Analysis</u>. Verlag Chemie, Federal Republic of Germany. pp. 175-180.

Method Descriptor:

Instrumentation: SKALAR San-Plus continuous flow autoanalyzer.

The determination of soluble silica is based on the reduction

Preservation Method:

100ml of a sample is filtered through 0.45um Millipore filters using a vaccum-pump and a filtering flask apparatus. If samples are extremely dirty a 47mm GF/C filter may be used to filter the sample prior to filtering through the 0.45um Millipore filter. The liquid volume of the filtered sample is collected into a Nalgene bottle and placed in the refrigerator until shipment time arrives the following day. Samples may be kept up to 28 days.

14) **QA/QC Programs** – [This section describes field variability, laboratory variability, the use of interorganizational splits, sample spikes, standards and cross calibration exercises.]

a) Precision:

- i) **Field Variability** True field replicates are taken at a single site every other month during grab sampling. The replicates are successive grabs. Sample #1 is taken and the sampler emptied. The grab sampler is deployed once again to acquire XXXXXX-G2, and then again for replicate #3. During months when replicates are not taken, a single sample is collected from each site.
- ii) **Laboratory Variability** The VIMS Analytical Service Center for Nutrients analyzes a laboratory duplicate once for every ten samples.

iii) Inter-organizational splits – none

- b) Accuracy:
- i) **Sample Spikes** The VIMS Analytical Service Center for Nutrients analyzed a matrix spike once for every ten samples.
- ii) Standard Reference Material Analysis -information unavailable
- iii) Cross Calibration Exercises none

15) QAQC flag definitions

QAQC flags provide documentation of the data and are applied to individual data points by insertion into the parameter's associated flag column (header preceded by an F_). QAQC flags are applied to the nutrient data during secondary QAQC to indicate data that are out of sensor range low (-4), rejected due to QAQC checks (-3), missing (-2), optional and were not collected (-1), suspect (1), and that have been corrected (5). All remaining data are flagged as having passed initial QAQC checks (0) when the data are uploaded and assimilated into the CDMO ODIS as provisional plus data. The historical data flag (4) is used to indicate data that were submitted to the CDMO prior to the initiation of secondary QAQC flags and codes (and the use of the automated primary QAQC system for WQ and MET data). This flag is only present in historical data that are exported from the CDMO ODIS.

- -4 Outside Low Sensor Range
- -3 Data Rejected due to QAQC
- -2 Missing Data
- -1 Optional SWMP Supported Parameter
- 0 Data Passed Initial QAQC Checks
- 1 Suspect Data
- 4 Historical Data: Pre-Auto QAQC
- 5 Corrected Data

16) QAQC code definitions –

QAQC codes are used in conjunction with QAQC flags to provide further documentation of the data and are also applied by insertion into the associated flag column. There are three (3) different code categories, general, sensor, and comment. General errors document general problems with the sample or sample collection, sensor errors document common sensor or parameter specific problems, and comment codes are used to further document conditions or a problem with the data. Only one general or sensor error and one comment code can be applied to a particular data point. However, a record flag column (F_Record) in the nutrient data allows multiple comment codes to be applied to the entire data record.

General errors

GCM	Calculated value could not be determined due to missing data
GCR	Calculated value could not be determined due to rejected data
GDM	Data missing or sample never collected
GQD	Data rejected due to QA/QC checks
GQS	Data suspect due to QA/QC checks

Sensor errors

SBL	Value below minimum limit of method detection
SCB	Calculated value could not be determined due to a below MDL
SCC	Calculation with this component resulted in a negative value
SNV	Calculated value is negative
SRD	Replicate values differ substantially
SUL	Value above upper limit of method detection

```
Parameter Comments
             Algal bloom
   CAB
   CDR
             Sample diluted and rerun
   CHB
             Sample held beyond specified holding time
   CIP
             Ice present in sample vicinity
   CIF
             Flotsam present in sample vicinity
   CLE
             Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled
   CRE
             Significant rain event
             See metadata
   CSM
   CUS
             Lab analysis from unpreserved sample
Record comments
             Algal bloom
   CAB
   CHB
             Sample held beyond specified holding time
   CIP
             Ice present in sample vicinity
   CIF
             Flotsam present in sample vicinity
   CLE
             Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled
   CRE
             Significant rain event
   CSM
             See metadata
   CUS
             Lab analysis from unpreserved sample
 Cloud cover
             clear (0-10%)
   CCL
   CSP
             scattered to partly cloudy (10-50%)
   CPB
             partly to broken (50-90%)
   COC
             overcast (>90%)
   CFY
             foggy
   CHY
             hazy
   CCC
             cloud (no percentage)
 Precipitation
   PNP
             none
   PDR
             drizzle
   PLR
             light rain
   PHR
             heavy rain
   PSO
             squally
   PFQ
             frozen precipitation (sleet/snow/freezing rain)
   PSR
             mixed rain and snow
 Tide stage
             ebb tide
   TSE
   TSF
             flood tide
   TSH
             high tide
   TSL
             low tide
 Wave height
             0 to < 0.1 meters
   WH0
   WH1
             0.1 to 0.3 meters
```

 $\begin{array}{lll} WH2 & 0.3 \text{ to } 0.6 \text{ meters} \\ WH3 & 0.6 \text{ to } > 1.0 \text{ meters} \\ WH4 & 1.0 \text{ to } 1.3 \text{ meters} \\ WH5 & 1.3 \text{ or greater meters} \end{array}$

Wind direction

N from the north

NNE from the north northeast NE from the northeast ENE from the east northeast

E from the east

ESE from the east southeast
SE from the southeast

SSE from the south southeast

S from the south

SSW from the south southwest

SW from the southwest WSW from the west southwest

W from the west

WNW from the west northwest

NW from the northwest

NNW from the north northwest

Wind speed

WS0 0 to 1 knot WS1 > 1 to 10 knots WS2 > 10 to 20 knots WS3 > 20 to 30 knots WS4 > 30 to 40 knots WS5 > 40 knots

17) Other Remarks –

Data may be missing due to problems with sample collection or processing. Laboratories in the NERRS System submit data that are censored at a lower detection rate limit, called the Method Detection Limit or MDL. MDLs for specific parameters are listed in the Laboratory Methods and Detection Limits Section (Section II, Part 12) of this document. Concentrations that are less than this limit are censored with the use of a QAQC flag and code, and the reported value is the method detection limit itself rather than a measured value. For example, if the measured concentration of NO23F was 0.0005 mg/l as N (MDL=0.0008), the reported value would be 0.0008 and would be flagged as out of sensor range low (-4) and coded SBL. In addition, if any of the components used to calculate a variable are below the MDL, the calculated variable is removed and flagged/coded -4 SCB. If a calculated value is negative, it is rejected and all measured components are marked suspect. If additional information on MDL's or missing, suspect, or rejected data is needed, contact the Research Coordinator at the Reserve submitting the data.

Note: The way below MDL values are handled in the NERRS SWMP dataset was changed in November of 2011. Previously, below MDL data from 2007-2010 were also flagged/coded,

but either reported as the measured value or a blank cell. Any 2007-2011 nutrient/pigment data downloaded from the CDMO prior to November of 2011 will reflect this difference.

- a) Notes for <CSM> "See Metadata Code" usage with grab sample data:
 - 1. The NO3F (0.6415 mg/L and 0.884 mg/L) values from the Scotton Landing grab samples collected on 04/25/2012 (08:05 and 08:08 EST) are suspect due to suspect NO23F calculation components.
 - 2. The CHLA (183.67 ug/L) and PHEA (38.66 ug/L) values from the Beaver Branch grab sample collected on 05/24/2012 (09:18 EST) are suspect due to deviation outside the annual trend of this parameter.
 - 3. The CHLA (150.94 ug/L) and PHEA (96.30 ug/L) values from the Division Street grab sample collected on 07/06/2012 (11:15 EST) are suspect due to deviation outside the annual trend of this parameter.
 - 4. The PO4F value of 0.4194 mg/L from the Division Street grab sample collected on 08/20/2012 (06:58 EST) is rejected due to it falling outside the overall annual trend.
 - 5. The PO4F value of 0.4850 mg/L from the Scotton Landing diel sample collected on 08/29/2012 (10:30 EST) is suspect due to it falling outside the overall trend shown throughout the complete diel cycle.
 - 6. The CHLA (34.99 and 36.09 ug/L) and PHEA (19.88 and 20.83 ug/L) values from the Division Street grab sample collected on 10/26/2012 (12:12 and 12:14 EST) correspond better with each other than the triplicate sample which contained a 42.52 ug/L CHLA and a 27.57 ug/L PHEA value. The latter values were marked suspect as a result.
- b) Major rain/storm events (exceeding 25.4 mm (1 inch) of rainfall) during 2012 took place on the following dates (data originates from the Delaware NERR St. Jones meteorological station):

February 29 - March 01, 2012	(46.7 mm)
April 22 – 23, 2012	(52.9 mm)
July 20, 2012	(59.2 mm)
August 17 – 18, 2012	(25.9 mm)
September 07, 2012	(24.6 mm)
October 28 - 30, 2012	(216.9 mm)
December $07 - 08, 2012$	(42.7 mm)
December 20 – 21, 2012	(33.0 mm)
December 26 – 27, 2012	(50.6 mm)