Elkhorn Slough (ELK) NERR Nutrient Metadata January 2024 to December 2024

Latest Update: April 23, 2025

Note: This is a provisional metadata document; it has not been authenticated as of its download date. Contents of this document are subject to change throughout the QAQC process and it should not be considered a final record of data documentation until that process is complete. Contact the CDMO (cdmosupport@baruch.sc.edu) or reserve with any additional questions.

I. Data Set and Research Descriptors

1) Principal investigator(s) and contact persons

a) Primary Contact Reserve, Data management + Laboratory

Rikke Jeppesen

Estuarine Ecologist

Elkhorn Slough NERR

1700 Elkhorn Rd

Watsonville, California 95076

Phone: 831-728-2822 Ext. 326

Cell: 408-981-7447

E-mail: rikke@elkhornslough.org

b) Laboratory Contact

Steven Cunningham

Laboratory Analyst

Moss Landing Marine Labs

8272 Moss Landing Rd.

Moss Landing, California 94939

Phone: 916-730-9299

E-mail: steven.cunningham@sjsu.edu

c) Secondary Reserve Contact

John Haskins

Water Quality Scientist

Elkhorn Slough NERR

1700 Elkhorn Rd

Watsonville, California 95076

Phone: 831-728-2822

E-mail: john@elkhornslough.org

d) Research Coordinator

Kerstin Wasson

Elkhorn Slough NERR

1700 Elkhorn Rd

Watsonville, California 95076

Phone: 831-728-2822

E-mail: kerstin.wasson@gmail.com

2) Research objectives

Elkhorn Slough (ESNERR) is a unique estuary along the central Californian coast. ESNERR has fresh water inputs during the wet season (October through May) causing a brackish environment, while during the dry season (June through September) there is very little freshwater input resulting in a much more saline environment. The surrounding area is mostly farmlands, which causes concern, as farms may be a considerable

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

source of large amounts of nutrients entering the slough possibly causing eutrophication. With the monthly monitoring program, we are able to quantify the variability of nutrients in different areas of the slough and possibly correlate any changes in land use with changes in nutrient concentrations.

a) Monthly grab sampling program

To quantify the spatial variability of nutrient concentrations in Elkhorn Slough we collect monthly grab samples in the water column. The sampled sites represent the estuarine endpoints from the head to the mouth of Elkhorn Slough estuary and sites throughout the estuary.

b) Diel sampling program (mention if samples were taken over a lunar day)

To quantify the temporal variability of important nutrients in the water column as a function of tidal forcing we collect 14 water samples through a 24-hour tidal cycle once per month, at a permanent water quality station, South Marsh. Sample collection dates are January to December.

3) Research methods

During 2024 we continued to filter all samples at Elkhorn Slough Reserve. We also stored and analyzed all chlorophyll-a samples at Elkhorn Slough Reserve. All nutrient samples were stored and analyzed at Moss Landing Marine Laboratory. The 2024 samples were analyzed for phosphate, nitrate, nitrite, ammonia, and silicate at Moss Landing. For additional detail on QAQC of the equipment and data, send an inquiry to rikke@elkhornslough.org and ask for APP4_SOP_MLML.pdf and nutrient SOP official_2022.docx

Monthly grab sampling program Diel sampling program

a) Monthly grab sampling program

Monthly grab samples were taken at four stations within the Elkhorn Slough estuary. Samples were taken at the four principle ESNERR data sonde stations (Azevedo Pond, North Marsh, South Marsh and Vierra Mouth). All grab samples were taken on the same day in the time window of -4 to 0 hours before low tide. At each station either replicate samples were taken (n = 2) or at one of the four stations, a triplicate samples was taken (n = 3) and then only a single sample was taken at one of the other four stations. Each month, we grabbed a total of n = 8 samples at the four stations collectively. No distinction was made between neap and spring tide conditions. Grab samples were collected by hand at an approximate depth of 10 cm. At the time of sample collection, water temperature, salinity, specific conductivity, pH, chlorophyll, and dissolved oxygen was measured with a YSI EXO2 sonde and a hand held YSI unit. These field data are not included in this dataset, but are available directly through the Reserve if you contact John Haskins or Rikke Jeppesen. All samples were collected in amber, wide-mouth 250 mL Nalgene sample bottles that were previously acid washed (10% HCL), rinsed (3x) with deionized water, dried, and followed by rinsing (3x) with ambient water prior to collection of the sample. Samples were immediately placed on ice in the dark and returned to the laboratory. Once in the laboratory, samples were inverted, filtered and processed for nutrient and Chl-a analysis. The filtrate was transferred to 50mL Falcon tubes, refrigerated, and delivered to Moss Landing Marine Lab within a few hours after the last ISCO sample was filtered. The filter was placed in 90% acetone, in a 5ml test tube, shaken, and stored in the freezer until the sample was analyzed, less than 30 days later. Rikke Jeppesen or Melany Scannell was responsible for the chlorophyll analysis. Steven Cunningham, Elizabeth Allen, or Katie Graves at Moss Landing Marine Labs were responsible for the phosphate, nitrate, nitrite, ammonia, and silica analyses.

b) Diel sampling program

Within the same 24-hour period of grab sample collection, we deploy an ISCO water sampler from the bank of the marsh at South Marsh. This device automatically samples 500 ml of water every 2 hrs (Jan.-Dec). All samples are pumped into polyethylene sample bottles that were previously acid washed (10% HCL), rinsed (3x) with distilled-deionized water and dried. Samples are kept cold with ice until the end of the 24 hr period; the 14 samples are

collected within 1 hr after the last sample has been dispensed returned to the laboratory for immediate filtering, unless there are problems with any equipment. After sampling, if they can't be analyzed immediately, they are stored in a freezer at -4 C. From January to December, samples were collected every other hour through a 24-hour tidal cycle. Rikke Jeppesen or Melany Scannell was responsible for the chlorophyll analysis. Steven Cunningham or Elizabeth Allen at Moss Landing Marine Labs were responsible for the phosphate, nitrate, nitrite, ammonia, and silica analyses.

4) Site location and character

Azevedo Pond (AP)(36°50'44.64"N, 121°45'13.24"W) is in a pond that receives fertilizer and pesticide run-off from a strawberry field in year-round production. The sample station is located about 10m from a tidal control structure in front of a culvert connecting the pond to the slough. In 2024, the tide ranged from 1.21 m to 2.48 meters at this site and salinity ranged from 5.4 ppt during heavy run-off to 40.3 ppt during strong evaporation. The YSI sonde associated with this site (collecting readings for the water quality dataset) is located approximately 30 cm off the bottom, which is composed of silty mud. An EXO2 sonde is deployed at this site.

North Marsh (NM)(36°50'04.75"N, 121°44'18. 33"W) is located in-between South Marsh and Azevedo Pond. This site is impacted by both agricultural and urban run-off. In 2024, the tide ranged from approximately 0.69 m to 1.44 meters at this site. Salinity ranged between 4.6 and 40.0 ppt and is affected by freshwater run-off from agriculture and upland run-off. The YSI sonde associated with this site (WQ dataset) is approximately 30 cm off the bottom, which is composed of silty mud. An EXO2 sonde is deployed at this site.

South Marsh (SM)(36°49'05.00"N, 121°44'21.83"W) which is located approximately 3 km south of NM and is surrounded by mostly reserve land, is in a side channel of the slough and is relatively free from impact by anthropogenic influence. This site receives run-off mostly from uplands with some run-off coming from cattle ranches. This site receives the least amount of pollution. In 2024, the tidal range was from -0.50 to 2.15 meters at this site and the salinity range was from 22.8 to 34.9 ppt. The YSI sonde associated with this site (collecting readings for the water quality dataset) is approximately 30 cm off the bottom, which is composed of compacted silty mud. An EXO2 sonde is deployed at this site.

The fourth site Vierra Mouth (VM) (36°48'39.95"N, 121°46'45.22"W) is located at the mouth of the slough and is used to identify oceanic influence. In 2024, the tidal range was from -0.46 m to 2.26 meters at this site and salinity ranged from 10.4 to 34.9 ppt. The YSI sonde associated with this site (collecting readings for the water quality dataset) is located approximately 30 cm off the bottom which is composed of compacted mud and sand due to strong tidal currents. This site receives drainage from the entire watershed due to its location at the mouth. There are several auto wrecking yards located approximately 2 km east of this site. An EXO2 sonde is deployed at this site.

| Site name | Azevedo Pond, AP |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Latitude and longitude | 36°50'44.64"N, 121°45'13.24"W |
| Tidal range (meters) | 1.21-2.48 m |
| Salinity range (psu) | 5.4-40.3 psu |
| Type and amount of freshwater input | heavy run-off and fresh water input during rainy season (Oct-Mar) and strong evaporation during summer (June-Sept). |

| Water depth (meters, MLW) | 1.345 m, calculated average of the daily lowest tide level | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Sonde distance from bottom (meters) | 0.30 m | | | |
| Bottom habitat or type | Soft sediment, silty mud | | | |
| Pollutants in area | fertilizer and pesticide run-off from a strawberry field in year-round production. | | | |
| Description of watershed The sample station is located about 10m from a tidal contro in front of a culvert connecting the pond to the main channel slough. | | | | |

| Site name | North Marsh, NM | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Latitude and longitude | 36°50'04.75"N, 121°44'18. 33"W | | |
| Tidal range (meters) | 0.69-1.44 m | | |
| Salinity range (psu) | 4.6-40.0 psu | | |
| Type and amount of freshwater input | heavy run-off and fresh water input during rainy season (Oct-Mar) as strong evaporation during summer (June-Sept). | | |
| Water depth (meters, MLW) | 0.972 m, calculated average of the daily lowest tide level | | |
| Sonde distance from bottom (meters) | 0.30 m | | |
| Bottom habitat or type | Soft sediment, silty mud | | |
| Pollutants in area | freshwater run-off from agriculture and upland run-off | | |
| Description of watershed | located in-between South Marsh and Azevedo Pond. This site is impacted by both agricultural and urban run-off. | | |

| Site name | South Marsh, SM | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Latitude and longitude | 36°49'05.00"N, 121°44'21.83"W | | | |
| Tidal range (meters) | -0.50-2.15 m | | | |
| Salinity range (psu) | 22.8-34.9 psu | | | |
| Type and amount of freshwater input | Some run-off and fresh water input during rainy season (Oct-Mar) and very low evaporation during summer (June-Sept). | | | |
| Water depth (meters, MLW) | 0.140 m, calculated average of the daily lowest tide level | | | |
| Sonde distance from bottom (meters) | 0.30 m | | | |
| Bottom habitat or type | Soft sediment, compacted silty mud | | | |

| Pollutants in area | This site receives run-off mostly from uplands with some run-off coming from cattle ranches. | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Description of watershed | surrounded by mostly reserve land, is in a side channel of the slough and is relatively free from impact by anthropogenic influence. This site receives the least amount of pollution. | |

| Site name | Vierra Mouth, VM | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Latitude and longitude | 36°48'39.95"N, 121°46'45.22"W | | |
| Tidal range (meters) | -0.46-2.26 m | | |
| Salinity range (psu) | 10.4-34.0 psu | | |
| Type and amount of freshwater input | Some run-off and fresh water input during rainy season (Oct-Mar) and minimal evaporation during summer (June-Sept). | | |
| Water depth (meters, MLW) | 0.059 m, calculated average of the daily lowest tide level | | |
| Sonde distance from bottom (meters) | 0.30 m | | |
| Bottom habitat or type | compacted mud and sand | | |
| Pollutants in area | There are several auto wrecking yards located approximately 2 km east of this site. | | |
| Description of watershed | This site receives drainage from the entire watershed due to its location at the mouth. | | |

All ELK NERR historical nutrient/pigment monitoring stations:

| Station code | SWMP status | Station name | Location | Active dates | Reason decommissioned | Notes |
|--------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| AP | Р | Azevedo Pond | 36°50'44.64"N, 121°45'13.24"W | June 1995- current | NA | NA |
| NM | P | North Marsh | 36°50'04.75"N, 121°44'18. 33"W | April 1999 - current | NA | NA |
| SM | Р | South Marsh | 36°49'05.00"N, 121°44'21.83"W | June 1995- current | NA | NA |
| VM | Р | Vierra Mouth | 36°48'39.95"N, 121°46'45.22"W | March 2001 - current | NA | NA |

5) Coded variable definitions elkapnut = Elkhorn Slough Reserve Azevedo Pond Site nutrient data elknmnut = Elkhorn Slough Reserve North Marsh Site nutrient data

elksmnut = Elkhorn Slough Reserve South Marsh Site nutrient data elkvmnut = Elkhorn Slough Reserve Vierra Mouth Site nutrient data Monthly grab sample program= 1 Diel grab sample program= 2

6) Data collection period

| Diel sampl | ing | | | | |
|------------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|------------|
| Site | Start date | Start time | End date | End time | |
| elksmnut | 1/9/2024 | 6:00 | 1/10/2024 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 2/6/2024 | 6:00 | 2/7/2024 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 3/5/2024 | 6:00 | 3/6/2024 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 4/2/2024 | 6:00 | 3/3/2023 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 5/7/2024 | 6:00 | 5/8/2024 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 6/4/2024 | 6:00 | 6/5/2024 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 7/2/2024 | 6:00 | 7/3/2024 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 8/13/2024 | 6:00 | 8/14/2024 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 9/12/2024 | 6:00 | 9/13/2024 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 10/1/2024 | 6:00 | 10/2/2024 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 11/12/2024 | 6:00 | 11/13/2024 | 8:00 | |
| elksmnut | 12/2/2024 | 6:00 | 12/3/2024 | 8:00 | |
| | | | | | |
| Grab samp | ling | | | | |
| Site | Start date | Start time | End date | End time | replicates |
| elkapnut | 1/9/2024 | 12:22 | 1/9/2024 | 12:23 | 2 |
| elkapnut | 2/6/2024 | 12:42 | 2/6/2024 | 12:43 | 2 |
| elkapnut | 3/5/2024 | 11:14 | 3/5/2024 | 11:15 | 2 |
| elkapnut | 4/2/2024 | 9:47 | 4/2/2024 | 9:49 | 3 |
| elkapnut | 5/7/2024 | 13:04 | 5/7/2024 | 13:05 | 2 |
| elkapnut | 6/4/2024 | 12:13 | 6/4/2024 | 12:14 | 2 |
| elkapnut | 7/2/2024 | 10:18 | 7/2/2024 | 10:19 | 2 |
| elkapnut | 8/13/2024 | 7:52 | 8/14/2024 | 7:54 | 3 |
| elkapnut | 9/12/2024 | 9:38 | 9/12/2024 | 9:39 | 2 |
| elkapnut | 10/1/2024 | 13:13 | 10/1/2024 | 13:14 | 2 |
| elkapnut | 11/12/2024 | 11:14 | 11/12/2024 | 11:15 | 2 |
| elkapnut | 12/2/2024 | 10:35 | 12/2/2024 | 10:37 | 3 |
| | | | | | |
| Grab samp | ling | | | | |
| Site | Start date | Start time | End date | End time | |
| elknmnut | 1/9/2024 | 11:28 | 1/9/2024 | 11:28 | 1 |
| elknmnut | 2/6/2024 | 11:34 | 2/6/2024 | 11:35 | 2 |
| elknmnut | 3/5/2024 | 10:07 | 3/5/2024 | 10:09 | 3 |
| elknmnut | 4/2/2024 | 8:30 | 4/2/2024 | 8:30 | 1 |
| elknmnut | 5/7/2024 | 12:05 | 5/7/2024 | 12:05 | 1 |
| elknmnut | 6/4/2024 | 11:08 | 6/4/2024 | 11:09 | 2 |
| | 0, ., _0 | | 0, ., 202 . | | _ |

| elknmnut | 7/2/2024 | 9:37 | 7/2/2024 | 9:39 | 3 |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---|
| elknmnut | 8/13/2024 | 6:54 | 8/13/2024 | 6:54 | 1 |
| elknmnut | 9/12/2024 | 8:34 | 9/12/2024 | 8:34 | 1 |
| elknmnut | 10/1/2024 | 11:20 | 10/1/2024 | 11:21 | 2 |
| elknmnut | 11/12/2024 | 10:31 | 11/12/2024 | 10:33 | 3 |
| elknmnut | 12/2/2024 | 10:01 | 12/2/2024 | 10:01 | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Grab samp | • | | | | |
| Site | Start date | Start time | End date | End time | |
| elksmnut | 1/9/2024 | 10:46 | 1/9/2024 | 10:47 | 2 |
| elksmnut | 2/6/2024 | 10:46 | 2/6/2024 | 10:48 | 3 |
| elksmnut | 3/5/2024 | 10:05 | 3/5/2024 | 10:06 | 2 |
| elksmnut | 4/2/2024 | 7:44 | 4/2/2024 | 7:45 | 2 |
| elksmnut | 5/7/2024 | 11:16 | 5/7/2024 | 11:17 | 2 |
| elksmnut | 6/4/2024 | 10:07 | 6/4/2024 | 10:09 | 3 |
| elksmnut | 7/2/2024 | 9:36 | 7/2/2024 | 9:37 | 2 |
| elksmnut | 8/13/2024 | 6:04 | 8/13/2024 | 6:05 | 2 |
| elksmnut | 9/12/2024 | 7:46 | 9/12/2024 | 7:47 | 2 |
| elksmnut | 10/1/2024 | 12:10 | 10/1/2024 | 12:12 | 3 |
| elksmnut | 11/12/2024 | 9:46 | 11/12/2024 | 9:47 | 2 |
| elksmnut | 12/2/2024 | 9:17 | 12/2/2024 | 9:18 | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Grab samp | • | 6. | . | 5 1 | |
| Site | Start date | Start time | End date | End time | _ |
| elkvmnut | 1/9/2024 | 13:17 | 1/9/2024 | 13:19 | 3 |
| elkvmnut | 2/6/2024 | 13:14 | 2/6/2024 | 13:14 | 1 |
| elkvmnut | 3/5/2024 | 11:37 | 3/5/2024 | 11:37 | 1 |
| elkvmnut | 4/2/2024 | 10:19 | 4/2/2024 | 10:20 | 2 |
| elkvmnut | 5/7/2024 | 13:28 | 5/7/2024 | 13:30 | 3 |
| elkvmnut | 6/4/2024 | 12:31 | 6/4/2024 | 12:31 | 1 |
| elkvmnut | 7/2/2024 | 11:34 | 7/2/2024 | 11:34 | 1 |
| elkvmnut | 8/13/2024 | 8:52 | 8/13/2024 | 8:53 | 2 |
| elkvmnut | 9/12/2024 | 10:26 | 9/12/2024 | 10:28 | 3 |
| elkvmnut | 10/1/2024 | 14:16 | 10/1/2024 | 14:16 | 1 |
| elkvmnut | 11/12/2024 | 12:15 | 11/12/2024 | 12:15 | 1 |
| elkvmnut | 12/2/2024 | 11:23 | 12/2/2024 | 11:24 | 2 |
| | | | | | |

7) Associated researchers and projects

As part of the SWMP long-term monitoring program, ELK NERR also monitors 15-minute meteorological and water quality data which may be correlated with this nutrient/pigment dataset. These data are available at www.nerrsdata.org.

In addition to our reserve staff, multiple researchers from multiple institutions conduct research that makes use of our long-term monitoring data. The researchers are listed below.

Research conducted by reserve staff

Susie Fork conducts field survey monitoring of shorebirds; egret and heron rookery, bird nest boxes, raptors, and invertebrate populations.

Rikke Jeppesen and Susie Fork conduct annual crab trapping in order to track crab populations, particularly invasions by non-native European crabs. Additionally, Susie Fork conducts annual invertebrate surveys on mudflats, in permanent transects. The surveys include various clam and shrimp species, in addition to fat innkeeper worms.

Charlie Endris works on remote-sensing using GIS to analyze habitat change and NERR bio-monitoring pilot studies for Tier 1, emergent vegetation.

Kerstin Wasson monitors oyster recruitment and conducts experiments to determine the status and trajectory of native oyster populations.

Rikke Jeppesen, John Haskins, and Melany Scannell conduct water quality research currently focusing on eutrophication in the slough and are managing the SWMP weather monitoring and the SWMP water quality programs, from which the data are used in conjunction with eutrophication research.

The following researchers are affiliated with other institutions.

Aiello, Ivano, Moss Landing Marine Laboratories: examines sediment characteristics relevant to Hester Marsh restoration by collecting sediment cores using a Vibrocore

Apodaca, Alec, Amah Mutsun Land Trust: conduct non-invasive archeological surveys to train the next generation of archaeology and historical ecology students in conducting Tribal-centered research.

Carswell, Lilian and Mayer, Karl, University of California Santa Cruz; capture/tag sea otters and track them to detect social network in sea otters and stress responses.

DeAngelis, Bryan; Racine, Phoebe; Harris, Jacob; Gardner Luke; Fitzgerald, Devin and Grimes, Ben, TNC, AMLT, Sea Grant: measure direct setting of oysters on substrates in tanks and deploy shell substrates to figure out best ways to scale up hatchery-based oyster restoration.

Dietze, Michael, University of Göttingen & MBARI: deploy and maintain seismic sensors to detect whether seismic signals of sediment flow events in Monterey Canyon can be detected on land.

Fujii, Jessica; Monterey Bay Aquarium: supports otters rehabilitated and released by the aquarium.

Goodman, Daniel and Paytan, Adina, Carboculture: install and monitor marsh restoration plots to investigate whether increasing marsh elevation with biochar or eucalyptus woodchips is beneficial.

Guillas, Kennan; Moss Landing Marine Laboratories: collects orange sponge to study rythmic contracts and waste elimination in Hymeniacidon

Joergensen, Salvador, California State University Monterey Bay: catches & tags/releases sharks; retrieve tags when they pop off; install and maintain antenna on barn in order to track leopard shark movement and is looking at shark and water quality condition correlations

Meredith, Paul, ESNERR volunteer; Catch and release bumblebees to contribute to bumblebee diversity atlas

Monismith, Stephen, Stanford University; deploys and maintains ADCPs and CTPs in Hester and Yampah creeks to characterize hydrodynamics around Hester and Yampah, to complement Paytan's eddy covariance towers

O'Connor, Kevin, Central Coast Wetlands Group: seine and trap for fish to characterize fish communities of the Slough.

Paytan, Adina; University of California, Santa Cruz: monitors greenhouse gas flux with eddy covariance towers

Searcy, Chris; Stemle, Leyna; Mosserman, Ariana: University of Miami: installs drift fences and checks pitfall traps to assess salamander metamorph success at Upper Cattail Pond.

Siegler, Katie, University of California, Davis: seines for topsmelt to study the levels of pesticide in indicator species

Ward, Melissa; Sellinger Elizabeth, UC Davis: take sediment cores and check plates at Hester & MLWA to look at carbon accumulation rates.

Yockman, Emma, UC Santa Cruz: maintain marsh experiments and collect herbarium specimens to determine ways to improve restoration success at Hester and expand UCSC herbarium collection of marsh plants.

Frequent docent researchers: Ron Eby (marsh, bird, otter monitoring). Celeste Stanik, Margie Kay, Ken Pollak, Kim Nock, Dominic Ypil (NUT monitoring field and lab work).

Frequent interns: Addie McIlroy until October 2024.

8) Distribution

NOAA retains the right to analyze, synthesize and publish summaries of the NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program data. The NERRS retains the right to be fully credited for having collected and processed the data. Following academic courtesy standards, the NERR site where the data were collected should be contacted and fully acknowledged in any subsequent publications in which any part of the data are used. The data set enclosed within this package/transmission is only as good as the quality assurance and quality control procedures outlined by the enclosed metadata reporting statement. The user bears all responsibility for its subsequent use/misuse in any further analyses or comparisons. The Federal government does not assume liability to the Recipient or third persons, nor will the Federal government reimburse or indemnify the Recipient for its liability due to any losses resulting in any way from the use of this data.

Requested citation format:

NOAA National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS). System-wide Monitoring Program. Data accessed from the NOAA NERRS Centralized Data Management Office website: www.nerrsdata.org; accessed 12 October 2022.

NERR nutrient data and metadata can be obtained from the Research Coordinator at the individual NERR site (please see Principal investigators and contact persons), from the Data Manager at the Centralized Data Management Office (please see personnel directory under the general information link on the CDMO home page) and online at the CDMO home page www.nerrsdata.org. Data are available in comma separated version format.

II. Physical Structure Descriptors

9) Entry verification

Monthly nutrient data are obtained by filtering grab and diel samples at Moss Landing Marine Laboratory, Moss Landing, CA. John Haskins, Rikke Jeppesen, Ken Pollak, Kim Nock, Melany Scannell, and Celeste Stanik were responsible for filtering all samples and for analyzing the samples to determine chlorophyll concentration.

Steven Cunningham, Katie Graves, or Elizabeth Allen, Moss Landing Marine Laboratories was responsible for measuring nitrite, nitrite + nitrate, ammonia, orthophosphate, and silicate concentrations.

For nutrient analyses, 60 mL aliquots of grab sample water were filtered (0.45 micron) in the lab, and stored in dark and cool conditions (refrigerator) until analysis. Ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, silica, and soluble reactive phosphate were measured by colorimetric methods on a flow injection auto analyzer (FIA, Lachat Instruments Model QuickChem 8000).

Chlorophyll-a sample filters were extracted in 5mL acetone, stored in the freezer for at least 24 hrs, and analyzed on a Turner Trilogy fluorometer. The Trilogy was calibrated in May 2019, in December 2020, and in August 2023. All data were entered in an Excel spread sheet while processing the samples for chlorophyll-a. Steven Cunningham, Katie Graves, or Elizabeth Allen e-mailed the remaining results monthly, and these Moss Landing results were then entered into the same monthly nutrient Excel sheet by Rikke Jeppesen or Melany Scannell. The Excel file contains information of sampling station ID, date and time, and parameter values expressed in unit concentrations. Rikke Jeppesen verified all parameter values in the excel file by cross comparison with laboratory data sheets and by graphing the data and identifying anomalous data points or other problems. Monthly excel files were compiled into a yearly excel file. Missing data are verified through inspection of fields.

All parameter values at Moss Landing Marine Laboratories (MLML) are reported in mg/L. Chlorophyll-a was measured in RFU and ESNERR staff converts RFU to µg/L by using the following conversion:

(1.45*10-4 µg Chla/mL/RFU)*RFU_{measured}*dilution factor* (extraction volume/filtered volume)*1000mL/L

Nutrient data are entered into a Microsoft Excel worksheet and processed using the NutrientQAQC Excel macro. The NutrientQAQC macro sets up the data worksheet, metadata worksheets, and MDL worksheet; adds chosen parameters and facilitates data entry; allows the user to set the number of significant figures to be reported for each parameter and rounds using banker's rounding rules; allows the user to input MDL values and then automatically flags/codes measured values below MDL and inserts the MDL; calculates parameters chosen by the user and automatically flags/codes for component values below MDL, negative calculated values, and missing data; allows the user to apply QAQC flags and codes to the data; produces summary statistics; graphs selected parameters for review; and exports the resulting data file to the CDMO for tertiary QAQC and assimilation into the CDMO's authoritative online database.

10) Parameter titles and variable names by category

Required NOAA NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program nutrient parameters are denoted by an asterisk "*".

| Data Category | Parameter | Variable Name | Units of Measure |
|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Phosphorus and | d Nitrogen: | | |
| • | *Orthophosphate | PO4F | mg/L as P |
| | *Ammonium, Filtered | NH4F | mg/L as N |
| | *Nitrite, Filtered | NO2F | mg/L as N |
| | *Nitrate, Filtered | NO3F | mg/L as N |
| | *Nitrite + Nitrate, Filtered | NO23F | mg/L as N |
| | Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen | DIN | mg/L as N |
| Plant Pigments: | | | |
| | *Chlorophyll a | CHLA_ | _N μg/L |
| Carbon: | 1 7 | | - 10 |

Formatted: Not Highlight

Other Lab Parameters:

Silicate, Filtered SiO4F mg/L as SI

Notes:

1. Time is coded based on a 2400 clock and is referenced to Standard Time.

2. Reserves have the option of measuring either NO2 and NO3 or they may substitute NO23 for individual analyses if they can show that NO2 is a minor component relative to NO3.

11) Measured or calculated laboratory parameters

a) Parameters measured directly

Nitrogen species: NH4F, NO2F, NO23F

Phosphorus species: PO4F

Other: CHLA_N, SiO4F

b) Calculated parameters

NO3F NO23F-NO2F DIN NO23F+NH4F

12) Limits of detection

Method Detection Limits (MDL), the lowest concentration of a parameter that an analytical procedure can reliably detect, have been established by the ELK NERR for CHLA_N and by Moss Landing Marine Laboratory for all other parameters. The MDL is determined as 3 times the standard deviation of a minimum of 7 replicates of a single low concentration sample. These values are reviewed and revised periodically.

| Parameter | Start Date | End Date | MDL | Revisited |
|-----------|---------------|------------|---------|-----------|
| PO4F | 1/1/2024 | 12/31/2024 | 0.00353 | Monthly |
| NO2F | 1/1/2024 | 12/31/2024 | 0.00207 | Monthly |
| NO23F | 1/1/2024 | 12/31/2024 | 0.01721 | Monthly |
| NH4F | 1/1/2024 | 12/31/2024 | 0.00526 | Monthly |
| SiO4F | 1/1/2024 | 12/31/2024 | 0.01153 | Monthly |
| CHLA_N | 1/1/2024 | 12/31/2024 | 0.00285 | Monthly |

13) Laboratory methods

a) Parameter: NH4F

Moss Landing Marine Laboratory

Method Reference: EPA 350.1 / Lachat Quickchem Method 31-107-06-1-B

Method Descriptor: The method is based on the Berthelot reaction. Ammonia reacts in alkaline solution with hypochlorite to form monochloramine, which in the presence of phenol, nitroprusside, and hypochlorite gives indophenol blue. EDTA is added to the buffer to prevent precipitation of calcium and magnesium in high pH seawater. The indophenol blue is measured at 630 nm and is proportional to the original ammonia concentration.

Preservation Method: Samples filtered and stored at 4 °C up to 24 hours.

b) Parameter: NO23F

Moss Landing Marine Laboratory

Method Reference: EPA 353.2 / Lachat Quickchem Method 31-107-04-1-E

Method Descriptor: The water sample is first filtered then is passed through a cadmium column where the nitrate is reduced to nitrite. The nitrite is determined by diazotizing nitrite with sulfanilamide and coupling with N-(1-napthyl)-ehtylenediamine dihydrochloride to form a highly colored dye which is measured

colorimetrically where the absorbance is measured at 540 nm through a 10 mm flow cell. Nitrate concentration equals the NO23F (nitrate + nitrite) concentration minus the nitrite concentration. Thus NO3 is calculated by subtracting NO23F - N02F.

Preservation Method: Samples filtered and stored at 4 °C up to 24 hours.

c) Parameter: NO2F

Moss Landing Marine Laboratory

Method Reference: EPA 353.2 / Lachat Quickchem Method 31-107-05-1-A

Method Descriptor: Nitrite is measured by diazotization with sulfanilamide under acidic conditions to form diazonium ion. The diazonium ion is coupled with N-(1-napthyl)-ehtylenediamine dihydrochloride to form a highly colored dye which is measured colorimetrically. The pink dye absorbance is measured at 520 nm through a 10 mm flow cell.

Preservation Method: Samples filtered and stored at 4 °C up to 24 hours.

d) Parameter: PO4F

Moss Landing Marine Laboratory

Method Reference: Gordon, Jennings, and Ross 2001. A Suggested Protocol for Continuous Flow Automated Analysis of Seawater Nutrients Using the Alpkem Flow Solution System

Method Descriptor: Ammonium molybdate is added to a water sample to produce phosphomolybdic acid, which is then reduced to phosphomolybdous acid (a blue compound) following the addition of dihydrazine (or hydrazine) sulfate. The sample is passed through a 10 mm flow cell and absorbance is measured at 820 nm.

Preservation Method: Samples filtered and stored at 4 °C up to 24 hours.

e) Parameter: SiO4

Moss Landing Marine Laboratory

Method Reference: Lachat Quickchem Method 10-114-27-1-D

Method Descriptor: Soluble silica species react with molybdate at 37 C and a pH of 1.2 to form a yellow silicamolybdate complex. The complex is subsequently reduced with stannous chloride to form a blue complex that has an absorbance at 820 nm. The absorbance is proportional to the concentration of "molybdate reactive" silica.

Preservation Method: Samples filtered and stored at 4 °C up to 24 hours.

f) Parameter: ChlA

ELK NERR

Method Reference. EPA method 445.0*UNESCO* (1994) Protocols for the joint global ocean flux study (IGOFS) core measurements. pp. 97-100.

Method Descriptor: CHLA is extracted in 5 mL 90% acetone for 24 hrs and then fluorescence is measured and recorded (Fo).

Preservation Method: A known volume of sample is filtered onto a 25 mm GF/F filter, folded in half and placed in a known volume (5mL) of 90% acetone and then stored at -4°C until analysis, no more than 35 days.

14) Field and Laboratory QAQC programs

a) Precision

i) Field variability

Field replicates are successive grab samples, replicates split from a single field sample are considered laboratory replicates/splits.

Field replicates

| | AP | NM | SM | VM |
|-----|----|----|----|----|
| Jan | | 1 | | 3 |

Formatted: Not Highlight

| Feb | | | 3 | 1 |
|-----|---|---|---|---|
| Mar | | 3 | | 1 |
| Apr | 3 | 1 | | |
| May | | 1 | | 3 |
| Jun | | | 3 | 1 |
| Jul | | 3 | | 1 |
| Aug | 3 | 1 | | |
| Sep | | 1 | | 3 |
| Oct | | | 3 | 1 |
| Nov | | 3 | | 1 |
| Dec | 3 | 1 | | |

ii) Laboratory variability.

ELKNERR uses three of the ISCO samples to provide laboratory replicates each month (see table below, Lab Min, Max, Avg). Each month, we randomly select three numbers between 1 and 14. The three random numbers will be the ISCO sample numbers used as the laboratory replicates. An ISCO replicate is filtered twice. For example, if the replicate is ISCO 3, then we first filter ISCO 3 and label it ISCO 3. Then we filter ISCO 3 again and label it replicate 1. In 2023 we had a total of 36 (3 each month) laboratory replicates and 36 field replicates.

| | | | PO4 [%] | NO2 [%] | NO3 [%] | NH4 [%] | SiO4 [%] | Chl-a [%] |
|--------|-------|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| Annual | Field | Min | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Annual | Field | Max | 22 | 68 | 123 | 71 | 12 | 47 |
| Annual | Field | Average | 5 | 13 | 23 | 17 | 6 | 15 |
| Annual | Lab | Min | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Annual | Lab | Max | 9 | 20 | 21 | 32 | 11 | 10 |
| Annual | Lab | Average | 5 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 4 | 6 |

iii) Inter-organizational splits

There we inter-organizational split samples in 2024 that were sent directly to our analyzing lab at MLML.

b) Accuracy

i) Sample spikes

Sample spikes were split from a single diel sample. Sample spikes are done for orthophosphate, nitrite, nitrate+nitrite, ammonium and silicate on a monthly basis. Average percent recovery for the 12 months of 2024 was found to be:

| | PO4 | NO2 | NO3 | NH4 | SIO |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Average | 99% | 99% | 104% | 91% | 93% |
| Minimum | 92% | 67% | 87% | 67% | 77% |
| Maximum | 106% | 108% | 117% | 110% | 117% |

ii) Standard reference material analysis

Standard reference materials are diluted from a single concentrated stock sample. Standard reference analysis is conducted for orthophosphate, nitrite, nitrate+nitrite, ammonium and silicate on a monthly basis. Average percent recovery for the 12 months of 2024 was found to be:

| | PO4 | NO2 | NO3 | NH4 | SIO |
|---------|------|------|------|-----|------|
| Average | 104% | 106% | 105% | 96% | 102% |
| Minimum | 98% | 101% | 100% | 93% | 97% |
| Maximum | 110% | 110% | 108% | 99% | 109% |

iii) Cross calibration exercises

ELK NERR did not participate in a cross calibration exercises in 2024.

15) QAQC flag definitions

QAQC flags provide documentation of the data and are applied to individual data points by insertion into the parameter's associated flag column (header preceded by an F_). QAQC flags are applied to the nutrient data during secondary QAQC to indicate data that are out of sensor range low (-4), rejected due to QAQC checks (-3), missing (-2), optional and were not collected (-1), suspect (1), and that have been corrected (5). All remaining data are flagged as having passed initial QAQC checks (0) when the data are uploaded and assimilated into the CDMO ODIS as provisional plus data. The historical data flag (4) is used to indicate data that were submitted to the CDMO prior to the initiation of secondary QAQC flags and codes (and the use of the automated primary QAQC system for WQ and MET data). This flag is only present in historical data that are exported from the CDMO ODIS.

- -4 Outside Low Sensor Range
- -3 Data Rejected due to QAQC
- -2 Missing Data
- -1 Optional SWMP Supported Parameter
- 0 Data Passed Initial QAQC Checks
- 1 Suspect Data
- 4 Historical Data: Pre-Auto QAQC
- 5 Corrected Data

16) QAQC code definitions

QAQC codes are used in conjunction with QAQC flags to provide further documentation of the data and are also applied by insertion into the associated flag column. There are three (3) different code categories, general, sensor, and comment. General errors document general problems with the sample or sample collection, sensor errors document common sensor or parameter specific problems, and comment codes are used to further document conditions or a problem with the data. Only one general or sensor error and one comment code can be applied to a particular data point. However, a record flag column (F_Record) in the nutrient data allows multiple comment codes to be applied to the entire data record.

General errors

GCM Calculated value could not be determined due to missing data
GCR Calculated value could not be determined due to rejected data
GDM Data missing or sample never collected
GQD Data rejected due to QA/QC checks
GQS Data suspect due to QA/QC checks

GSM See metadata

Sensor errors

SBL Value below minimum limit of method detection

SCB Calculated value could not be determined due to a below MDL component

SCC Calculation with this component resulted in a negative value

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

| SNV | Calculated value is negative |
|----------------|---|
| SRD | Replicate values differ substantially |
| SUL | Value above upper limit of method detection |
| | |
| Parameter Co | omments |
| CAB | Algal bloom |
| CDR | Sample diluted and rerun |
| CHB | Sample held beyond specified holding time |
| CIP | Ice present in sample vicinity |
| CIF | Flotsam present in sample vicinity |
| CLE | Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled |
| CRE | Significant rain event |
| CSM | See metadata |
| CUS | Lab analysis from unpreserved sample |
| | |
| Record comn | nents |
| CAB | Algal bloom |
| CHB | Sample held beyond specified holding time |
| CIP | Ice present in sample vicinity |
| CIF | Flotsam present in sample vicinity |
| CLE | Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled |
| CRE | Significant rain event |
| CSM | See metadata |
| CUS | Lab analysis from unpreserved sample |
| Cloud cover | |
| CCL | clear (0-10%) |
| CSP | scattered to partly cloudy (10-50%) |
| CPB | partly to broken (50-90%) |
| COC | overcast (>90%) |
| CFY | foggy |
| CHY | hazy |
| CCC | cloud (no percentage) |
| Precipitation | |
| PNP | none |
| PDR | drizzle |
| PLR | light rain |
| PHR | heavy rain |
| PSQ | squally |
| PFQ | frozen precipitation (sleet/snow/freezing rain) |
| PSR | mixed rain and snow |
| Tide stage | |
| TSE | ebb tide |
| TSF | flood tide |
| TSH | high tide |
| TSL | low tide |
| Wave height | |
| WH0 | $0 \text{ to } \le 0.1 \text{ meters}$ |
| WH1 | 0.1 to 0.3 meters |
| WH2 | 0.3 to 0.6 meters |
| WH3 | 0.6 to > 1.0 meters |
| WH4 | 1.0 to 1.3 meters |
| WH5 | 1.3 or greater meters |
| Wind direction | η |
| N | from the north |
| | |

NNE from the north northeast NE from the northeast ENE from the east northeast E from the east ESE from the east southeast SE from the southeast SSE from the south southeast S from the south SSW from the south southwest SW/ from the southwest WSW from the west southwest W/ from the west WNW from the west northwest from the northwest NWNNW from the north northwest Wind speed WS0 0 to 1 knot > 1 to 10 knots WS1 WS2 > 10 to 20 knots > 20 to 30 knots WS3 WS4 > 30 to 40 knots WS5 > 40 knots

17) Other remarks/notes

Data may be missing due to problems with sample collection or processing. Laboratories in the NERR System submit data that are censored at a lower detection rate limit, called the Method Detection Limit or MDL. MDLs for specific parameters are listed in the Laboratory Methods and Detection Limits Section (Section II, Part 12) of this document. Concentrations that are less than this limit are censored with the use of a QAQC flag and code, and the reported value is the method detection limit itself rather than a measured value. For example, if the measured concentration of NO23F was 0.0005 mg/l as N (MDL=0.0008), the reported value would be 0.0008 and would be flagged as out of sensor range low (-4) and coded SBL. In addition, if any of the components used to calculate a variable are below the MDL, the calculated variable is removed and flagged/coded -4 SCB. If a calculated value is negative, it is rejected and all measured components are marked suspect. If additional information on MDL's or missing, suspect, or rejected data is needed, contact the Research Coordinator at the reserve submitting the data.

Note: The way below MDL values are handled in the NERRS SWMP dataset was changed in November of 2011. Previously, below MDL data from 2007-2010 were also flagged/coded, but either reported as the measured value or a blank cell. Any 2007-2011 nutrient/pigment data downloaded from the CDMO prior to November of 2011 will reflect this difference.

Sample hold times for 2024: Once filtered, if not processed within 24 hrs, samples are held at -20°C. NERRS SOP allows nutrient samples to be held for up to 28 days (CHLA for 30) at -20°C, plus allows for up to 5 days for collecting, processing, and shipping samples. Samples held beyond that time period are flagged suspect <1>and coded (CHB). If measured values were below MDL, this resulted in <-4> [SBL] (CHB) flagging/coding.

Sample hold times for 2024: NERRS SOP allows nutrient samples to be held for up to 24 hours if held at 4°C with no preservation, for NH4F and NO23F up to 28 days if acidified and held at 4°C, and up to 28 days (CHLA for 30 days) if held at -20°C. Tier II parameters, with a few exceptions, are subject to the same sample hold times. In all cases, up to an additional 5 days is allowed for collecting, processing, and shipping samples. Samples held beyond that time period are flagged suspect and coded CHB in the data set.

| Grab samples | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|---|
| Sample collection date | Sample analysis | s date | |
| | | NO2, | |
| | | NO23, | |
| AII | Chl A | NH4, PO4, | |
| All | Chl_A | SiO4 | |
| 1/9/2024 | 1/17/2024 | 1/22/2024 | |
| 2/6/2024 | 2/22/2024 | 2/20/2024 | |
| 3/5/2024 | 3/26/2024 | 3/12/2024 | |
| 4/2/2024 | 4/11/2024 | 4/8/2024 | |
| 5/7/2024 | 5/21/2024 | 5/13/2024 | |
| 6/4/2024 | 6/13/2024 | 6/10/2024 | |
| 7/2/2024 | 8/5/2024 * | 8/18/2024 | • |
| 8/13/2024 | 9/9/2024 | 9/8/2024 | |
| 9/12/2024 | 9/26/2024 | 10/22/2024 | |
| 10/1/2024 | 10/23/2024 | 10/28/2024 | |
| 11/12/2024 | 11/26/2024 | 12/9/2024 | _ |
| 12/2/2024 | 12/19/2024 | 1/10/2025 | * |
| ISCO samples | | | |
| Sample collection date | Sample analysis | s date | |
| | | NO2, | |
| | | NO23, | |
| 1000 4 0 | | NH4, PO4, | |
| ISCO 1-9 | Chl_A | SiO4 | |
| 1/9/2024 | 1/17/2024 | 1/22/2024 | |
| 2/6/2024 | 2/22/2024 | 2/20/2024 | |
| 3/5/2024 | 3/26/2024 | 3/12/2024 | |
| 4/2/2024 | 4/11/2024 | 4/8/2024 | |
| 5/7/2024 | 5/21/2024 | 5/13/2024 | |
| 6/4/2024 | 6/13/2024 | 6/10/2024 | |
| 7/2/2024 | 8/5/2024 * | 8/18/2024 | * |
| 8/13/2024 | 9/9/2024 | 9/8/2024 | |
| 9/12/2024 | 9/26/2024 | 10/22/2024 | * |
| 10/1/2024 | 10/23/2024 | 10/28/2024 | |
| 11/12/2024 | 11/26/2024 | 12/9/2024 | |
| 12/2/2024 | 12/19/2024 | 1/10/2025 | * |
| | | NO2, | |
| | | NO23, | |
| 1000 40 40 | Ohl A | NH4, PO4, | |
| ISCO 10-13 | Chl_A | SiO4 | |
| 1/10/2024 | 1/17/2024 | 1/22/2024 | |
| 2/7/2024 | 2/22/2024 | 2/20/2024 | |
| 3/6/2024 | 3/26/2024 | 3/12/2024 | |
| 4/3/2024 | 4/11/2024 | 4/8/2024 | |
| 5/8/2024 | 5/21/2024 | 5/13/2024 | |
| 6/5/2024 | 6/13/2024 | 6/10/2024 | |
| 7/3/2024 | 8/5/2024 * | 8/18/2024 | ~ |
| 8/14/2024 | 9/9/2024 | 9/8/2024 | |
| 9/13/2024 | 9/26/2024 | 10/22/2024 | ~ |
| 10/2/2024 | 10/23/2024 | 10/28/2024 | |
| 11/13/2024 | 11/26/2024 | 12/9/2024 | * |
| 12/3/2024 | 12/19/2024 | 1/10/2025 | - |

*sample held longer than allowed by NERRS protocols

In February, the ISCO ran out of battery. In March, we had replaced the battery but learned the problem was the charger, so it ran out of battery as well.

July 2024

Samples were late because we forgot to submit the CoC to the analyzing lab at MLML and the analyzing personnel got COVID and was out of office.