Jacques Cousteau (JAC) NERR 2007 Nutrient Metadata January 2007 to December 2007 Latest Update: November 15, 2011

I. Data Set and Research Descriptors

1) Principal investigator(s) and contact persons

a) Reserve contact

Michael J. Kennish Institute of Marine and Coastal Sciences Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901 Phone: 732-932-6555 (ext. 240) e-mail: kennish@marine.rutgers.edu

b) Laboratory contact

Ronald J. Lauck, Jr.
Institute of Marine and Coastal Sciences
Rutgers University
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901
Phone: 732-932-6555 (ext. 561)
e-mail: lauck@marine.rutgers.edu

c) Other contacts and programs

Gregg P. Sakowicz
Field Researcher/SWMP Technician
Jacques Cousteau National Estuarine Research Reserve
Rutgers University Marine Field Station
800 Great Bay Blvd.
C/o 132 Great Bay Blvd.
Tuckerton, NJ 08087

Phone: 609-296-5260 (ext. 267) e-mail: sakowicz@marine.rutgers.edu

2) Research objectives

a) Monthly grab

Monthly grab samples for the Jacques Cousteau National Estuarine Research Reserve (JCNERR) are taken along a well-defined salinity gradient of the Mullica River-Great Bay

estuarine system. The sites where the samples are taken along this salinity gradient include Lower Bank and Chestnut Neck in the Mullica River and Buoy 126 and Buoy 139 in Great Bay (see site descriptions below). These four sampling sites span a distance of more than 30 km. In addition a monthly grab sample is taken in Little Egg Harbor estuary at Buoy 115 (see site description below). A major objective of this monitoring program is to determine the nutrient concentrations along the aforementioned salinity gradient over a long-term time series. Previous studies have shown that nitrogen standing stocks in the Mullica River-Great Bay Estuary largely consist of nitrate, ammonium, and nitrogen in organic combination. The nitrogen enters at the head of the estuary largely in inorganic form, but in Great Bay it is transformed mainly to organic combination. However, more data are needed to accurately assess the concentrations of the various nitrogen forms along the salinity gradient, and to determine seasonal variations in the concentrations over a protracted period of several years. It is also necessary to obtain continuous monthly measurements of phosphate, which is also a macronutrient of considerable importance to the system.

Monthly grab samples are needed to obtain accurate measurements of nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate because of their overriding importance to primary production in waters of the JNEERR. These data can then be compared to chlorophyll *a* measurements to assess their relationship to phytoplankton biomass. A major goal of JNEERR is to characterize biotic communities along the salinity gradient of the Mullica River-Great Bay Estuary, and it is therefore vital to obtain physical-chemical measurements (including nutrient concentrations) along the gradient. A part of this effort is to determine the nutrient concentrations along the salinity gradient, and how these concentrations are influencing biotic processes downestuary. In addition, a long-term objective of monthly grab sampling is to develop a nutrient (nitrogen) budget for JCNERR. To develop a budget, data (concentrations) are needed on the various nitrogen species monitored at the SWMP sites as well as data collected on the nitrogen forms associated with atmospheric deposition. An accurate nutrient budget will be useful for analyzing the overall productivity of estuarine waters in the JNEERR, which will be important to resource managers of the system.

b) Diel sampling program

Diel sampling is conducted via an ISCO automated sampler at Buoy 126 in Great Bay to assess nutrient concentrations and changes in concentrations over tidal cycles. In addition, these data augment monthly grab samples taken at Buoy 126 (see above). It is believed that nutrients entering from the watershed estuary are not utilized within the Mullica River because of the lack of light penetration. The depth of the river and the dark color from the tannins flowing down the river from the Pine Barrens hinder the utilization of these nutrients by planktonic organisms. Where the river empties into the bay, light penetration reaches the bottom and allows utilization of the nutrients by phytoplankton, making this region more productive. A major goal of ISCO sampling is to compare nutrient concentrations over a 24-hour period with phytoplankton rate processes. To this end, JNEERR is also deploying a backscatter fluorometer to obtain an accurate measure of phytoplankton biomass in the area of Buoy 126. By relating nutrient measures with chlorophyll *a* over a continuous diel period, it is hoped that a strong correlation can be made of the significance of nutrient inputs to

phytoplankton rate processes in the system. Diel sampling at Buoy 126 will also be useful in the development of a nutrient budget for the system.

3) Research methods

a) Monthly grab sampling program

Monthly grab samples were taken at four stations within the Mullica River-Great Bay estuary and at one station in the Little Egg Harbor estuary. Samples were taken at four principle JNEERR datasonde stations (Buoy 126, Buoy 139, Chestnut Neck and Lower Bank) and at one station in Little Egg Harbor estuary (Buoy 115). Samples were collected at approximately 30-day intervals. Effort was made to obtain grab samples at or before slack low tide conditions (+3 hour before low tide), approximately one month after the previous sampling period. No distinction was made between neap and spring tide conditions. Replicate (N=2) samples were collected by hand with a bucket at an approximate depth of 10 cm. All samples were collected in amber, nalgene, 500 ml sample bottles that were previously acid washed (15 % H₂SO₄), rinsed (5x) with distilled-deionized water, and rinsed (1x) with ambient water prior to collection of the sample. Samples were immediately placed on ice in a cooler and returned to the laboratory at the Rutgers Marine Field Station. Once in the laboratory, samples were shaken and processed for nutrient and Chl a analysis. Samples were then frozen in a -10 °C freezer overnight and transported to Rutgers University, IMCS as soon as possible thereafter. The processed samples were then transported to Rutgers University, IMCS and stored in a -20 °C freezer until analyses were performed.

b) Diel sampling program

Monthly diel samples were taken at the principle long-term datasonde station Buoy 126. Samples were collected at approximately 30-day intervals. Sampling occurred during any tidal condition and no distinction was made between spring and neap tide conditions. Samples were collected at 2 hour intervals using an ISCO auto-sampler. Samples were taken at a fixed depth, approximately 2.0 meters from the bottom. All samples were collected in clear, plastic, 1000 ml ISCO sample bottles. Samples were retrieved as soon as possible after completion of the auto-sampler program. Samples were then transferred from the clear, plastic ISCO bottles to 500 ml amber nalgene bottles that were previously acid washed (15 % $\rm H_2SO_4$), rinsed (5x) with distilled-deionized water, and rinsed (1x) with ambient water prior to collection of the sample. Samples were immediately placed on ice in a cooler and returned to the laboratory at Rutgers Marine Field Station. Once in the laboratory, samples were shaken and processed for nutrient and Chl a analysis. Samples were then frozen in a -15 °C freezer overnight at RMFS and transported to Rutgers University, IMCS as soon as possible thereafter. The samples are stored at IMCS in a -20 °C freezer until analyses were performed.

4) Site location and character

The Jacques Cousteau National Estuarine Research Reserve (JNEERR) at the Mullica River-Great Bay estuary is located on the south-central coastline of New Jersey. The estuary is near Tuckerton, New Jersey about 14 kilometers north of Atlantic City. Water is the predominant habitat in the Jacques Cousteau National Estuarine Research Reserve, covering 27,599 ha (~60% of the area). Marsh blankets an additional 13,034 ha (>28% of the area). Forest cover is the next largest category; it amounts to 4,616 ha (~10% of the area). Developed landscape, which is relatively sparse, provides the least cover (553 ha or slightly over 1% of the area). Domestic development is concentrated in two small communities, Mystic Island and Tuckerton; the boundaries of these communities extend to within 3 km of the margin of Great Bay. There is little impact from development or pollution at the 4 SWMP stations in the JNEERR.

There are five nutrient monitoring stations in the JCNERR for which data are reported in this document: B5 (Buoy 115, non-SWMP) in Little Egg Harbor, B6 (Buoy 126) near the mouth of the Little Egg Inlet, B9 (Buoy 139) in Great Bay, and NE (Chestnut Neck) and BA (Lower Bank) in the Mullica River. Data loggers are located at the four principal SWMP stations (BA, NE, B6, and B9); an extensive water quality database has been developed for these sites. Water quality data is collected on-demand at B5 via a hand-held YSI MDS 650 unit paired with a 600XL sonde.

The characteristics of the nutrient monitoring sites are summarized below:

- 1) Buoy 115 (B5) 39° 31.130' N, 74°17.230' W- This most recent monitoring site is in Little Egg Harbor Bay, bordering the Edwin B. Forsythe Refuge on Holgate (Long Beach Island) about 3 km northeast of the Rutgers University Marine Field Station. Full-time water-quality monitoring of this non-SWMP station was discontinued in 2003 after ice-floes tore the hardware and housings from the structure. The following site description is from 2002 (the more recent year-long dataset); we do not expect this description to differ significantly from present conditions: The depth of the bay at this site is approximately 3 meters, with a tidal range of 6.73 to 8.76 meters. The bottom consists predominantly of sand with little shell or organic material. Salinity values averaged 30.8 ppt, with a range of 29.3 to 32.5 ppt. Groundwater inputs from margins of the estuary, as well as surface flow from Mullica River, account for most of the freshwater entering that affects this site. The input of freshwater from local precipitation and marsh surface runoff is of secondary importance.
- 2) Buoy 126 (B6) 39° 30.478' N, 74° 20.308' W- located three kilometers from Little Egg Inlet on the eastern side of Great Bay and is 100 meters from the nearest land that is a natural marsh island. This is a naturally deep area that has never been dredged, but it is located about 0.5 kilometers from an area in the Intracoastal Waterway that is dredged regularly. The datalogger at this location is attached to Intracoastal Waterway Buoy 126 and is the closest monitoring station to Little Egg Inlet. This site can be characterized by having strong tidal currents (2-3 knots), a tidal range of up to 2 meters, and a fine to course sand bottom with an extensive blue mussel bed surrounding the area. Groundwater inputs from margins of the estuary as well as surface flow from Mullica River account for the majority of freshwater coming into the system at this site, followed by input from rainwater from the

marsh surface. In 2007, salinities at this station averaged 29.6ppt, with an average depth at the station of 4.03m (assuming the datasonde's location was 1m off the bottom and not accounting for sediment migrations).

- 3) Buoy 139 (B9) 39° 29.883'N, 74° 22.873' W- is located 4 kilometers from Buoy 126 on the western side of Great Bay and is located about one to one and one-half kilometers from land. The datalogger at this location is attached to Intracoastal Waterway Buoy 139. The closest landform is an extensive salt marsh approximately 1.5 kilometers wide, which borders the upland area. This area is dredged by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers approximately every five to six years to maintain the channel at a sufficient navigable depth of approximately 2.5 meters at mean low water. The surrounding depth of the bay is approximately 1.5 to 2 meters. This site is characterized by having maximum currents of about 1.5 knots with a tidal range of up to 2 meters and a muddy sand bottom with little structure or shell. Groundwater inputs from margins of the estuary as well as surface flow from Mullica River account for the majority of freshwater coming into the system at this site, followed by input from rainwater from the marsh surface and above. In 2007, salinities at this station averaged 28.8ppt, with an average depth at the station of 3.16m (assuming the datasonde's location was 1m off the bottom and not accounting for sediment migrations).
- 4) Chestnut Neck (NE) 39° 32.872' N, 74° 27.676' W located 12 kilometers up the Mullica River from the mouth of the river. The river begins at a line drawn between Graveling Point and Oysterbed Point on the northwestern side of Great Bay. The Mullica River at this location is quite wide, about 250 meters. The datalogger is attached to the dock of a small marina along the southern shore of the river adjacent to the main channel. This location has never been dredged. The site is characterized by having tidal currents of less then one knot, during both ebb and flood tide, a tidal range of up to 2 meteres, and has a mixed organic mud/sand bottom. Freshwater input is primarily from groundwater and watershed runoff. In 2007, salinities at this station averaged 16.3ppt, with an average depth at the station of 2.31m (assuming the datasonde's location was 1m off the bottom and not accounting for sediment migrations)
- 5) Lower Bank (BA) 39° 35.618' N, 74° 33.091' W located 13 kilometers upriver of the Chestnut Neck location. The Mullica River at this site is about two hundred meters wide. The datalogger is located at the center of a bridge spanning the Mullica River. The northern bank of the river is sparsely developed with single-family houses and has a steep bank about five meters high. The southern shore has an extensive marsh and fresh water wetland area about three kilometers wide. This site can be characterized by having fast tidal currents, just over one knot, deep water, a tidal range of up to 2 meters, and fine mixed organic mud and sandy sediment. Freshwater input is primarily from groundwater and watershed runoff. In 2007, salinities at this station averaged 5.0ppt, with an average depth at the station of 2.87m (assuming the datasonde's location was 1m off the bottom and not accounting for sediment migrations). This station is potentially more impacted by development than the other four sites due to its location south of the bulkhead waterfront communities of Long Beach Island and the town of Manahawkin, NJ.

5) Code variable definitions

```
jacb5nut = Jacques Cousteau Reserve nutrient data for Buoy 115
jacb6nut = Jacques Cousteau Reserve nutrient data for Buoy 126
jacb9nut = Jacques Cousteau Reserve nutrient data for Buoy 139
jacnenut = Jacques Cousteau Reserve nutrient data for Chestnut Neck
jacbanut = Jacques Cousteau Reserve nutrient data for Lower Bank
```

The monitoring codes are set as "1" to indicate grab samples and "2" to indicate diel samples. Replicates are also given specific codes. Grab samples in which duplicates sample are taken utilize a "1" for the first sample and a "2" for the second sample. Diel samples are always labeled with a "1" since only one sample is taken at each 2 hr interval.

6) Data Collection Period

GRAB SAMPLING

Site	Month	Date	Rep1 Time	Rep2 Time
В5	January	*	*	*
B5	February	*	*	*
B5	March	03/27/07	11:17	11:19
B5	April	04/23/07	08:29	08:31
B5	May	05/22/07	09:36	09:38
B5	June	06/26/07	09:49	09:51
B5	July	07/16/07	**	**
B5	August	08/13/07	12:31	12:33
B5	September	09/24/07	10:04	10:06
B5	October	10/22/07	10:07	10:09
B5	November	11/28/07	15:04	15:06
B5	December	12/19/07	10:05	10:07
Site	Month	Date	Rep1 Time	Rep2 Time
В6	January	*	*	*
B6	February	*	*	*
B6	March	03/27/07	10:41	10:43
B6	April	04/23/07	07:58	08:01
B6	May	05/22/07	09:10	09:12
B6	June	06/26/07	09:15	09:17
B6	July	07/16/07	12:55	12:57
B6	August	08/13/07	12:01	12:03
B6	September	09/24/07	09:17	09:19

B6 B6 B6	October November December	10/22/07 11/28/07 12/19/07	09:25 14:31 09:37	09:27 14:33 09:39
Site	Month	Date	Rep1 Time	Rep2 Time
В9	January	*	*	*
В9	February	*	*	*
B9	March	03/27/07	11:06	11:08
B9	April	04/23/07	08:11	08:13
B9	May	05/22/07	09:23	09:25
B9	June	06/26/07	09:29	09:31
B9	July	07/16/07	13:06	13:08
B9	August	08/13/07	12:12	12:14
B9	September	09/24/07	09:35	09:37
B9	October	10/22/07	09:50	09:52
B9	November	11/28/07	14:38	14:40
B9	December	12/19/07	09:48	09:50
Site	Month	Date	Rep1 Time	Rep2 Time
NE	January	*	*	*
NE	February	*	*	*
NE	March	03/27/07	12:23	12:25
NE	April	04/23/07	09:40	09:42
NE	May	05/22/07	10:49	10:51
NE	June	06/26/07	10:54	10:56
NE	July	07/16/07	14:08	14:10
NE	August	08/13/07	13:34	13:36
NE	September	09/24/07	11:06	11:08
NE	October	10/22/07	11:34	11:36
NE	November	11/28/07	15:57	15:59
NE	December	12/19/07	11:20	11:22
Site	Month	Date	Rep1 Time	Rep2 Time
BA	January	*	*	*
BA	February	*	*	*
BA	March	03/27/07	12:54	12:56
BA	April	04/23/07	10:05	10:07
BA	May	05/22/07	11:17	11:19
BA	June	06/26/07	11:47	11:49
BA	July	07/16/07	14:38	14:40
	-			

BA	August	08/13/07	14:04	14:06
BA	September	09/24/07	11:33	11:35
BA	October	10/22/07	12:04	12:06
BA	November	11/28/07	16:32	16:34
BA	December	12/19/07	11:48	11:50

DIEL (ISCO) SAMPLING

Site	Month	Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time
B6	January	*	*	*	*
B6	February	*	*	*	*
B6	March	03/27/07	11:00	03/28/07	09:00
B6	April	04/23/07	08:00	04/24/07	06:00
B6	May	05/22/07	10:00	05/23/07	08:00
B6	June	06/26/07	09:00	06/27/07	07:00
B6	July	07/16/07	13:00	07/17/07	11:00
B6	August	08/14/07	11:00	08/15/07	09:00
B6	September	09/17/07	13:00	09/18/07	11:00
B6	October	10/22/07	10:00	10/23/07	08:00
B6	November	11/27/07	17:00	11/28/07	15:00
B6	December	12/18/07	13:00	12/19/07	11:00

^{*} Unable to sample during this period due to ice-over of local waters

7) Associated researchers and projects

As part of the SWMP long-term monitoring program, JCNERR also monitors Meteorological and Water Quality data which may be correlated with this Nutrient dataset. These data are available from the Research Coordinator or online at http://cdmo.baruch.sc.edu/.

These data will be used by JCNERR staff in comparison to nutrient samples collected in Little Egg Harbor and Barnegat Bay during studies of Submerged Aquatic Vegetation in June-December 2006.

A few researchers have expressed interest in our nutrient data but prefer to wait until the review process is complete.

^{*} Unable to sample during this period due to ice-over of local waters

^{**} Sample skipped due to approaching T-storms

8) Distribution

NOAA/ERD retains the right to analyze, synthesize and publish summaries of the NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program data. The PI retains the right to be fully credited for having collected and processed the data. Following academic courtesy standards, the PI and NERR site where the data were collected will be contacted and fully acknowledged in any subsequent publications in which any part of the data are used. Manuscripts resulting from this NOAA/OCRM supported research that are produced for publication in open literature, including refereed scientific journals, will acknowledge that the research was conducted under an award from the Estuarine Reserves Division, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The data set enclosed within this package/transmission is only as good as the quality assurance and quality control procedures outlined by the enclosed metadata reporting statement. The user bears all responsibility for its subsequent use/misuse in any further analyses or comparisons. The Federal government does not assume liability to the Recipient or third persons, nor will the Federal government reimburse or indemnify the Recipient for its liability due to any losses resulting in any way from the use of this data.

NERR nutrient data and metadata can be obtained from the Research Coordinator at the individual NERR site (please see Principal investigators and contact persons), from the Data Manager at the Centralized Data Management Office (please see personnel directory under the general information link on the CDMO home page) and online at the CDMO home page http://cdmo.baruch.sc.edu/. Data are available in text tab-delimited format.

II. Physical Structure Descriptors

9) Entry verification

Monthly nutrient and plant pigment data files, in excel format, are sent to JNEERR by the Rutgers University, IMCS, Ecosystems Lab. Files consist of sampling station ID, date and time and parameter values expressed in unit concentrations. The Laboratory Supervisor, Ron Lauck, verifies all parameter values in the excel file through cross comparison with the laboratory data sheets. The data are reviewed for values that appear erroneous or illogical. Any samples found to have questionable results are reanalyzed. JNEERR staff (Gregg P. Sakowicz) then performs the following:

Since the Rutgers University Laboratory calculates and reports results in μM , values must first be converted to mg/L as N or P for consistency in the NERR System. JNEERR staff calculates the concentrations as mg/l-1 based on atomic weights of 14.01 and 30.97 for N

and P respectively. Therefore, JNEERR staff multiplies the concentrations reported by the Rutgers Laboratory by 0.01401 and 0.03097 to yield concentrations in mg/L as N and P.

Nutrient data are entered into a Microsoft Excel worksheet and processed using the NutrientQAQC Excel macro. The NutrientQAQC macro sets up the data worksheet, metadata worksheets, and MDL worksheet; facilitates data entry; allows the user to set the number of significant figures to be reported for each parameter and rounds using banker's rounding rules; allows the user to input MDL values and automatically flags and codes values below MDL; calculates parameters chosen by the user and automatically flags for component values below MDL and negative values; allows the user to apply QAQC flags and codes to the data; graphs selected parameters for review; append files; and export the resulting data files to the CDMO for tertiary QAQC and assimilation into the CDMO's authoritative online database.

10) Parameter titles and variable names by data category

Required NOAA/NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program water quality parameters are denoted by an asterisks "*".

Data Category	Parameter	Variable Name	Units of Measure
Phosphorus	and Nitrogen:		
_	*Orthophosphate	PO4F	mg/L as P
	*Nitrite + Nitrate, Filtered	NO23F	mg/L as N
	*Ammonium, Filtered	NH4F	mg/L as N
	Dissolved Inorganic Nitroge	n DIN	mg/L as N
Plant Pigme	nts:		_
	*Chlorophyll a	CHLA_N	μg/L

Notes:

- 1. Time is coded based on a 2400 hour clock and is referenced to Eastern Standard Time (EST).
- 2. Reserves have the option of measuring either NO2 and NO3 or they may substitute NO23 for individual analyses if they can show that NO2 is a minor component relative to NO3..

11) Measured and calculated laboratory parameters

a) Variables measured directly

Nitrogen species: NO23F, NH4F

Phosphorus species: PO4F Other: CHLA

b) Computed variables

DIN: NO23F+NH4F

12) Limits of detection

Method Detection Limits (MDL), the lowest concentration of a parameter that an analytical procedure can reliably detect, have been established by the Rutgers University, IMCS, Ecosystems Laboratory. The MDL is determined as 3 times the standard deviation of a minimum of 7 replicates of a single low concentration sample. Table 1 presents the current MDL's; these values are reviewed and revised periodically. Methods are from Lachat Instruments QuikChem methods.

Table 1. Method Detection Limits (MDL) for measured water quality parameters.

Parameter	Variable	Method	MDL mg/L	Dates in use
			as N or P	
Ammonium	NH4F	31-07-06-1-A	0.001	Jan 2003 -
				present
Nitrate/Nitrite	NO23F	30-107-04-1-A	0.01	Jan 2003 -
				present
Orthophosphate	PO4F	31-115-01-3-A	0.001	Jan 2003 -
				present
Chlorophyll a	CHLA	EPA 445.0	0.01 (μg/L)	Jan 2003 -
				present

13) Laboratory methods

i) Parameter: PO4F

Rutgers University, IMCS, Ecosystems Lab Laboratory Method Method Reference: Lachat Instruments, 1993. QuikChem Method 31-115-01-3-A. Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with a 0.45 µm membrane filter and subjected to ammonium molybdate and antimony potassium tartate under acidic conditions to form a complex. The complex is reduced with ascorbic acid to form a blue complex that absorbs light at 880 nm.

Preservation Method: Stored in dark at -20 °C for up to 30 days.

ii) Parameter: NO23F

Rutgers University, IMCS, Ecosystems Lab Laboratory Method Method Reference: Lachat Instruments, 1992. QuikChem Method 30-107-04-1-A. Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with a 0.45 µm membrane filter. Nitrate is reduced to nitrite by passage of sample through a copperized cadmium column. The nitrite (reduced nitrate plus original nitrite) is then determined with sulfanilamide under acidic conditions to form a diazonium ion. The diazonium ion is coupled with N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride, which results in a pink dye that absorbs at 520 nm.

Preservation Method: Stored in dark at -20 °C for up to 14 days.

iii) Parameter: NH4F

Rutgers University, IMCS, Ecosystems Lab Laboratory Method

Method Reference: Lachat Instruments, 1993. QuikChem Method 31-107-06-1-A.

Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with a $0.45~\mu m$ membrane filter. The method used is based on the Berthelot reaction. Samples are subjected to hypochlorite-phenol, which results in indophenol blue. The indophenol blue is measured at 630~nm and is proportional to the ammonium concentration.

Preservation Method: Stored in dark at -20 °C for up to 3 days.

iv) Parameter: DIN

Rutgers University, IMCS, Ecosystems Lab Laboratory Method

Method Reference: N/A

Method Descriptor: Dissolved inorganic nitrogen is calculated by adding the ammonium concentration to the nitrate plus nitrite concentration. Ammonium and

nitrate plus nitrite concentrations are determined as stated above.

Preservation Method: N/A

v) Parameter: CHLA

Rutgers University, IMCS, Ecosystems Lab Laboratory Method

Method Reference: US.EPA 1997. Method 445.0

Method Descriptor: Samples with a known volume were filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter. Samples were dissolved in 5 ml 90% acetone/ 10% MgCO₃ solution.

Fluorescence determined using a Shimadzu RF-1501 spectrofluorometer.

Preservation Method: Filter is drawn dry, removed, placed in a glass tube with a phenolic screw cap, wrapped in aluminum foil and stored at -20 °C for up to 30 days.

14) Field and Laboratory QA/QC programs

a) Precision

i) Field variability

JCNERR collects two successive grab samples for the monthly grab sample program.

ii) Laboratory variability

Rutgers University, IMCS, Ecosystems Lab analyzes a laboratory duplicate once for every nine samples.

iii) Inter-organizational splits

None

b) Accuracy

i) Sample spikes

Rutgers University, IMCS, Ecosystems Lab analyzes a matrix spike once for every ten samples.

ii) Standard reference material analysis

None

iii) Cross calibration exercises

15) QAQC flag definitions – This section details the primary and secondary QAQC flag definitions.

QAQC flags provide documentation of the data and are applied to individual data points by insertion into the parameter's associated flag column (header preceded by an F_). QAQC flags are applied to the nutrient data during secondary QAQC to indicate data that are out of sensor range low (-4), rejected due to QAQC checks (-3), missing (-2), optional and were not collected (-1), suspect (1), and that have been corrected (5). All remaining data are flagged as having passed initial QAQC checks (0) when the data are uploaded and assimilated into the CDMO ODIS as provisional plus data. The historical data flag (4) is used to indicate data that were submitted to the CDMO prior to the initiation of secondary QAQC flags and codes (and the use of the automated primary QAQC system for WQ and MET data). This flag is only present in historical data that are exported from the CDMO ODIS.

- -4 Outside Low Sensor Range*
- -3 Data Rejected due to QAQC
- -2 Missing Data
- -1 Optional SWMP Supported Parameter
- 0 Data Passed Initial QAQC Checks
- 1 Suspect Data
- 4 Historical Data: Pre-Auto QAQC
- 5 Corrected Data

*The -4 Outside Low Sensor Range flag was added to the 2007 dataset in August of 2011. See the Other Remarks section for more details.

16) QAQC code definitions – This section details the secondary QAQC Code definitions used in combination with the flags above.

QAQC codes are used in conjunction with QAQC flags to provide further documentation of the data and are also applied by insertion into the associated flag column. There are three (3) different code categories, general, sensor, and comment. General errors document general problems with the sample or sample collection, sensor errors document common sensor or parameter specific problems, and comment codes are used to further document conditions or a problem with the data. Only one general or sensor error and one comment code can be applied to a particular data point. However, a record flag column (F_Record) in the nutrient data allows multiple comment codes to be applied to the entire data record.

General errors

- GCM Calculated value could not be determined due to missing data
- GCR Calculated value could not be determined due to rejected data
- GDM Data missing or sample never collected

GQD Data rejected due to QA/QC checks

Sensor errors

- SBL Value below minimum limit of method detection
- SCB Value calculated with a value that is below the MDL
- SCC Calculation with this component resulted in a negative value
- SNV Calculated value is negative
- SRD Replicate values differ substantially
- SUL Value above upper limit of method detection

Parameter Comments

- CAB Algal bloom
- CDR Sample diluted and rerun
- CHB Sample held beyond specified holding time
- CIP Ice present in sample vicinity
- CIF Flotsam present in sample vicinity
- CLE Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled
- CRE Significant rain event
- CSM See metadata
- CUS Lab analysis from unpreserved sample

Record comments

- CAB Algal bloom
- CHB Sample held beyond specified holding time
- CIP Ice present in sample vicinity
- CIF Flotsam present in sample vicinity
- CLE Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled
- CRE Significant rain event
- CSM See metadata
- CUS Lab analysis from unpreserved sample

Cloud cover

- CCL clear (0-10%)
- CSP scattered to partly cloudy (10-50%)
- CPB partly to broken (50-90%)
- COC overcast (>90%)
- CFY foggy
- CHY hazy
- CCC cloud (no percentage)

Precipitation

- PNP none
- PDR drizzle
- PLR light rain
- PHR heavy rain
- PSQ squally
- PFO frozen precipitation (sleet/snow/freezing rain)

PSR mixed rain and snow

Tide stage

TSE ebb tide TSF flood tide TSH high tide TSL low tide

Wave height

WH0 0 to <0.1 meters
 WH1 0.1 to 0.3 meters
 WH2 0.3 to 0.6 meters
 WH3 0.6 to > 1.0 meters
 WH4 1.0 to 1.3 meters
 WH5 1.3 or greater meters

Wind direction

N from the north

NNE from the north northeast

NE from the northeast

ENE from the east northeast

E from the east

ESE from the east southeast

SE from the southeast

SSE from the south southeast

S from the south

SSW from the south southwest

SW from the southwest

WSW from the west southwest

W from the west

WNW from the west northwest

NW from the northwest

NNW from the north northwest

Wind speed

WS0 0 to 1 knot WS1 > 1 to 10 knots WS2 > 10 to 20 knots WS3 > 20 to 30 knots WS4 > 30 to 40 knots

WS5 > 40 knots

17) Other remarks

Data may be missing due to problems with sample collection or processing. Laboratories in the NERRS System submit data that are censored at a lower detection rate limit, called the Method Detection Limit or MDL. MDLs for specific parameters

are listed in the Laboratory Methods and Detection Limits Section (Section II, Part 12) of this document. Concentrations that are less than this limit are censored with the use of a QAQC flag and code, and the reported value is the method detection limit itself rather than a measured value. For example, if the measured concentration of NO23F was 0.0005 mg/l as N (MDL=0.0008), the reported value would be 0.0008 and would be flagged as out of sensor range low (-4) and coded SBL. In addition, if any of the components used to calculate a variable are below the MDL, the calculated variable is removed and flagged/coded -4 SCB. If a calculated value is negative, it is rejected and all measured components are marked suspect. If additional information on MDL's or missing, suspect, or rejected data is needed, contact the Research Coordinator at the Reserve submitting the data.

Note: The way below MDL values are handled in the NERRS SWMP dataset was changed in November of 2011. Previously, below MDL data from 2007-2010 were also flagged/coded, but either reported as the measured value or a blank cell. Any 2007-2011 nutrient/pigment data downloaded from the CDMO prior to November of 2011 will reflect this difference.

*The 2007 dataset was updated on August of 2011 to include the -4 Outside Low Sensor Range flag. The 2007 data published prior to that time used the -3 Rejected data flag with the SBL and SCB QAQC codes to indicate that data were below the minimum detection limit. These flag code combinations were all replaced with the -4 SBL or SCB update as mandated by the Data Management Committee.

The following parameters were not reported by the laboratory performing sample analysis; most instances were due to damage of the vials during freezing:

The NH4 and NO23 values for the B6 grab sample on 03/27/2007 10:43 The PO4 value for the NE grab sample on 10/22/2007 11:36 The NH4 and NO23 values for the NE sample on 12/19/2007 11:22. The PO4 value from the 10/22/2007 20:00 diel sample

The following calculated values could not be determined because of missing data:

DIN from the second B6 replicate grab sample on 03/27/2007 10:43 DIN from the second NE replicate grab sample 12/19/2007 11:22

Field Notes:

Heavy rain occurred day prior to collection of grab samples at all stations on 11/28/07

Dredging of the channel nearby Buoy 139 (B9) was observed during collection of grab samples on 11/28/07

Grab Sample Field Data (obtained at surface (0.25m or less) with a YSI 600XL sonde paired with a 650 MDS "handheld" display):

Sampling period (month), Station Name, Date, Time (first sample), Temperature (degrees Celsius), Specific Conductivity (mS/cm3), Salinity (parts per thousand), Dissolved Oxygen (percent saturation), Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L)

March	B5	3/27/2007	11:17	7.89	46.13	29.61	104.4	10.23
March	B6	3/27/2007	10:41	10.51	35.1	22.1	114.2	11.06
March	B9	3/27/2007	11:06	11.15	34.28	21.5	115.9	11.12
March	NE	3/27/2007	12:23	11.82	9.71	5.48	93.6	9.78
March	BA	3/27/2007	12:54	13.7	0.092	0.04	94.4	9.82
April	B5	4/23/2007	08:29	10.61	42.31	27.08	112.7	10.43
April	B6	4/23/2007	07:58	12.05	31.85	19.85	104.8	9.92
April	В9	4/23/2007	08:11	12.44	30.48	18.93	102.6	9.71
April	NE	4/23/2007	09:40	13.18	3.792	2.01	85.9	8.89
April	BA	4/23/2007	10:05	15.59	0.081	0.04	86.4	8.6
May	B5	5/22/2007	09:36	15.88	45.73	29.68	101.3	8.32
May	B6	5/22/2007	09:10	16.45	41.46	26.66	101.3	8.43
May	B9	5/22/2007	09:23	18.01	37.23	23.65	110.4	9.07
May	NE	5/22/2007	10:49	18.9	18.59	11.06	87.4	7.6
May	BA	5/22/2007	11:17	21.19	1.496	0.75	93.3	8.16
June	B5	6/26/2007	09:49	21.69	45.95	29.87	91.9	6.75
June	B6	6/26/2007	09:15	22.81	43.79	28.18	99.4	7.24
June	B9	6/26/2007	09:29	23.26	41.2	26.31	101.6	7.44
June	NE	6/26/2007	10:54	24.26	23.2	14.02	92	7.05
June	BA	6/26/2007	11:47	26.17	1.89	0.92	94.6	7.58
July	B5	7/16/2007	no san	nple tak	en			
July	B6	7/16/2007	12:55	22.56	48.14	31.41	108.8	7.85
July	B9	7/16/2007	13:06	23.74	48.3	31.5	99.1	6.93
July	NE	7/16/2007	14:08	26.48	34.38	21.56	88.5	6.29
July	BA	7/16/2007	14:38	27.75	10.23	5.75	89	6.77
August	B5	8/13/2008	12:31	24.12	47.43	30.84	93.2	6.69
August	B6	8/13/2008	12:01	25.14	49.23	30.73	116.4	8.04
August	B9	8/13/2008	12:12	26.69	44.98	28.78	112.9	7.81
August	NE	8/13/2008	13:34	26.76	33.12	20.57	79.1	5.66
August	BA	8/13/2008	14:04	27.75	11.49	6.53	81.8	6.17
September	B5	9/24/2007	10:04	21.13	47.44	30.92	110.7	8.22
September	B6	9/24/2007	09:17	21.28	46.78	30.45	111.6	8.28
September	B9	9/24/2007	09:35	21.69	44.14	28.52	112	8.34
September	NE	9/24/2007	11:06	21.83	31.78	19.87	98.6	7.7
September	BA	9/24/2007	11:33	22.44	11.99	6.86	94.7	7.88
October	B5	10/22/2007	10:07	19.03	47.64	31.09	102.2	7.87
October	B6	10/22/2007	09:25	18.84	44.68	28.93	104.9	8.24
October	B9	10/22/2007	09:50	18.86	44.27	28.61	107.1	8.41
October	NE	10/22/2007	11:34	19.34	29.34	18.21	96.2	7.94

October	BA	10/22/2007	12:04	19.46	6.334	3.47	90	8.18
November	B5	11/28/2007	15:04	9.52	48.45	31.39	105.5	9.83
November	B6	11/28/2007	14:31	9.56	45.58	29.31	105.5	9.83
November	B9	11/28/2007	14:38	8.56	44.61	28.42	95.4	9.54
November	NE	11/28/2007	15:57	8.38	29.44	18.06	100.1	10.39
November	BA	11/28/2007	16:32	8.21	11.09	6.3	92.6	10.47
December	B5	12/19/2007	10:05	5.1	46.77	29.78	111.3	11.58
December	B6	12/19/2007	09:37	3.35	42.08	26.4	101.3	11.35
December	B9	12/19/2007	09:48	2.64	41.81	26.04	104.5	11.89
December	NE	12/19/2007	11:20	3.34	22.39	13.24	104.6	12.69
December	BA	12/19/2007	11:48	3.04	1.41	0.7	95.8	12.74