## SAP NERR Nutrient Metadata January 2012 - December 2012 Latest Update: June 12, 2015

#### I. Data Set and Research Descriptors

## 1) Principal investigator(s) and contact persons -

### a) Reserve Contact

Dorset Hurley P.O. Box 15 Sapelo Island, GA 31327 Phone: 912-485-2251

e-mail: dhurley@darientel.net

## b) Laboratory Contact

Katy Austin Smith
715 Bay Street
Marine Extension Service Laboratory
University of Georgia
Brunswick, GA 31520

Phone: 912-262-3338 e-mail: klaustin@uga.edu

#### c) Other Contacts and Programs

none

2) Research objectives – The nutrient monitoring program is designed upon spatial deployment across a wide variety of marsh types with differing fresh and marine water mixing. These differing dynamics allow scientists and researchers to select from both a wide variety of research sites as well as tailor research programs to specific tidal dynamics and utilize the Reserves SWMP data acquisitions to the maximum extent. Additionally, from a long-term trend perspective the variety of marsh types and hydrology being monitored will allow for a better understanding of the different effects of sea-level rise upon marsh type. Due to a lack of residential development and very low human activity within the watersheds of the sites, they serve as a proxy for reference conditions with the various marsh and associated hydrology types for the creeks and river stations. All of the sites selected have very little anthropogenic nutrient influences. The following brief descriptions are associated with each nutrient monitoring site. For more detail please refer to the site descriptors located under section (4) of this document and/ or contact the Research Coordinator at the SAP NERR for detailed information of any/all sites.

<u>Lower Duplin</u>: Located at the mouth of the Duplin River with large, rapid and near-complete hydraulic exchange with Doboy Sound within each diurnal cycle. Typical of a high salinity, well mixed estuary site.

<u>Hunt Dock</u>: Located on the upper Duplin with relatively high hydraulic retention requiring an estimated 6-7 diurnal events to complete a total hydraulic exchange. Rainfall may drop salinity precipitously in the basin depending on tidal height, duration and volume of precipitation.

<u>Cabretta Creek</u>: Located on the eastern side of Sapelo Island with direct exchange with the Atlantic Ocean. Creek is typical of high salinity, high oceanic exchange and near complete hydraulic exchange

with each diurnal event. Creek is extremely buffered from rainfall (event driven) fluctuations in salinity.

<u>Dean Creek:</u> Located on the southern end of Sapelo is the primary drainage of the inter-dune (located amid primary and secondary dune systems) meadow. This site is highly susceptible to very high salinity fluctuations associated with rainfall events on both seasonal and short —term, event driven scales. Tidal exchange is complete at each diurnal event and exchange water genesis is the Doboy Sound.

The Duplin River is a tidal basin with no freshwater influence within its headwaters apart from surficial aquifer weeping from the perched lens of water associated with Sapelo Island. This nutrient monitoring effort is tied into the Georgia Coastal Ecosystems, Long-Term Ecological Research (GCE-LTER) initiative and the University of Georgia Marine Extension Service water quality database whose collection and analysis of the water samples facilitates the database. This long-term data set is being developed to provide information on estuarine water mixing within the well-studied Duplin River basin in addition to providing a long-term characterization of water quality as related to nutrient loading within the Duplin River.

- a) The **monthly grab** sampling program focuses on documentation of baseline reference nutrient trends within a wide array of local marsh systems with differing hydrology.
- b) The **diel** sampling program focuses on short-term temporal variability over a lunar tidal cycle.

## 3) Research methods –

## a) Monthly Grab Sampling Program

Monthly grab samples were taken at four stations within the Duplin River estuary from January to December 2012. Bottom water samples were taken at the Lower Duplin (LD), Hunt Dock (HD), Cabretta Creek (CA) and Dean Creek (DC) stations using a Niskin style sampling bottle. All grab samples were taken sequentially in duplicate beginning near the time the last diel sample was collected by the ISCO sampler (this time corresponds to low tide at the end of the tidal cycle). Chronological collection times for each of the four sites varied as two teams of people were conducting the actual sampling. Typically, the field crew would sample at the Hunt Dock site and then split into two groups, one group of two remaining onboard the research vessel to sample at the Lower Duplin site (and process the Diel samples), while the other group drove inland to Cabretta Creek and Dean Creek. At the time of sample collection, latitude, longitude, time and depth were recorded. All grab samples were collected from the Niskin bottle into an acid-washed (10% HCl) polypropylene beaker for filtering. Two filter towers were set up, one acid-washed tower with a 0.45 um polycarbonate filter for nutrient filtering and one clean tower with a GF/F filter for chlorophyll filtering. A small amount of sample was used to rinse the nutrient filter tower equipped with a filter and then the filtrate was discarded. The tower was then filled to the 250-mL mark. The chlorophyll tower with the GF/F filter was also filled to the 250-mL mark (or 500-mL mark if a larger filtration apparatus was used) and the two towers were connected by a small piece of tubing. The vacuum pump was turned on to pull the sample through each filter and then the vacuum was released. The nutrient sample tower was disconnected and an acid-washed 250-mL polypropylene bottle was rinsed and filled with the filtrate. Space was left in the sample bottle for expansion during freezing at approximately -18 degC. If the first 250 or 500 milliliters went through the chlorophyll filter easily, the filtrate was discarded and an additional 50, 100, 250 or 500 milliliters was filtered, depending on suspended sediment load, to concentrate the sample onto the filter. The chlorophyll filter was then removed with tweezers and placed face up

in a petri dish, wrapped in aluminum foil and labeled with the volume filtered and sample information. The chlorophyll filter towers were rinsed between replicate grabs with distilled water and the nutrient filter tower was acid-washed and DI water rinsed between samples. Nutrient and chlorophyll filtering between grabs took approximately 10 minutes to complete. At the Cabretta and Dean Creek sites, a vacuum hand pump was used rather than a mechanical pump, which is available only on the research vessel. The depths at these two sites were estimated as sampling took place from a bridge. Samples were immediately placed on ice, in the dark and returned to the laboratory within six hours. Once in the laboratory, samples were frozen and processed within the specified times (unless flagged) for nutrient and chlorophyll-a concentrations.

## b) Diel Sampling Program

WWW Tide and Current Predictor for Wolf Island, South End was used to estimate low tide. As close to an early, low, neap tide as possible was selected each month for sampling. The ISCO sampler was deployed at the Lower Duplin (LD) site on the day previous to the grab sampling date chosen for that particular month with the sample line suction tube placed 1.5 feet below the surface of the water. The ISCO sampler collected the first diel sample at the low tide predicted for the following day and continued collecting samples every two hours for the next 24 hours, representing a full tidal cycle and a total of 13 samples, ending at low tide when grab sampling began. The ISCO was turned off at the end of the collection period and the samples were secured with caps upon arriving at the site. The samples were filter processed either in the field after completion of grab sampling at Lower Duplin or back in the laboratory, weather depending. The filtration process for the diel samples follows the same process as for grab samples described above. High-density polypropylene bottles were used to store the samples after filtration. Polypropylene bottles and filter towers were soaked in 10% HCl in preparation for the fieldwork, and then triple rinsed with distilled water. A squeeze bottle was used to acid wash (then rinse with distilled water) beakers and filter towers in the field between filtering of each sample.

#### 4) Site location and character –

The Sapelo Island National Estuarine Research Reserve is located on the Southeastern Atlantic coast of the United States in McIntosh County, Georgia. The study area encompasses the Duplin River estuary, a tidally flushed drainage system flowing into Doboy Sound from the north and two inland creeks, Cabretta and Dean Creek. The Duplin River watershed occupies most of the Reserve, which also contains various forest types, sand dunes, a section of ocean beach and minor developed areas. The Duplin River estuary covers 3,300 acres between Sapelo Island and the mainland in McIntosh County. It drains a tidal bay and an extensive network of salt marshes about 6 miles long, into which there is little upland run-off. Diverse estuarine wetlands provide extensive and complex habitat types for fish and wildlife. The island contains several small, interior brackish and freshwater marshes fed by surficial aquifer expression (interdune meadow of Nannygoat beach: south end) and anthropogenic upland ditches and dikes produced in the early 19<sup>th</sup> century (north end). The upland forests are composed of several diverse habitats including long leaf pine/slash pine forests, climax maritime forests, small amounts of pond cypress bays and naturally regenerated loblolly pine forests which are timbered on a 70 year selectively cut harvest rotation. There are no current studies on pollutants in this area. Sapelo Island is typically considered a pristine environment, with minimal pollutant input.

### **Latitude and Longitude-**

Lower Duplin: Lat: 31 25' 4" N, Long: 81 17' 46" W Hunt Dock: Lat: 31 28' 43" N, Long: 81 16' 23" W Cabretta Creek: Lat: 31 26 37.3" N, Long: 81 14 23.7" W Dean Creek: Lat: 31 23 22.5" N, Long: 81 16 44.2" W

## Water Quality site descriptions-

Salinities at all Duplin River sites vary according to localized rainfall and associated runoff. The upper Duplin River site (Hunt Dock) experiences slightly lower salinities associated with rainfall events (2 -3ppt) as compared to the lower Duplin River site. Average salinities range from 15 ppt to 30 ppt depending on seasonal or event rainfall. Average tidal range of diurnal tidal cycle is approximately 2.5 meters twice daily. Due to high turbidity, all Duplin River sites are lacking any persistent submerged aquatic vegetation and have an unconsolidated sandy/mud bottom (soft sediment) typical of southeastern near-ocean estuaries. Marsh sediments are relatively pristine and free of pollutants based on sediment analysis conducted in 1996 by C. Alexander, Skidaway Institue of Oceanography. Watershed is dominated by oceanic tidal influences associated with Doboy Sound. Depths are as follows: Lower Duplin (LD) ranges from 1.5 meters to 6.0 meters depending on tide, and the Hunt Dock site maximum depth is 4.27 meters.

Cabretta Creek is fed directly from waters of the Atlantic Ocean. Cabretta experiences a maximum tidal range of approximately 4.3 meters. Average mean low water depth at the sample site is approximately 3.25 meters. Salinity ranges, with exception to major, long-term precipitation events, from 15-36 ppt, seasonally. The station is located on a small (one-lane), wooden, roadway bridge spanning Cabretta Creek, located on the island's extreme eastern side. The benthos is composed primarily of sand substrate with small, intertidal oyster reef conglomerate communities. Adjacent to the site is extensive, intertidal, bank stabilization (armoring) in the form of woven rip-rap fencing and granite rocks. This manipulation is slowly becoming stabilized via oyster reef community colonization. The adjacent marshes are dominated by Spartina alterniflora with occasional Juneus romerianus in the nearby fringe community habitat. The creek has very little adjacent uplands due to:

1) the low elevational gradient and 2) the area's geologically recent accretion genesis (Holocene) resulting in sandy soils; of which neither condition allows for extensive floral colonization or stabilization.

The Dean Creek site is located on a recently rebuilt steel bridge spanning Dean Creek, in close proximity to the adjacent Nannygoat Beach causeway. Dean Creek is a small tidal basin fed from the waters of Doboy Sound, which is located on Sapelo Island's south end. With exception to short duration local or long duration regional precipitation events, the creek's salinity normally ranges between 20 and 30 ppt. The benthic community consists of a sandy-mud substrate with occasional small, intertidal oyster reef community and mean tidal amplitude of approximately 8 feet. Average mean low water depth at the sample site is approximately 1 meter, but fluctuates due to bank erosion. The small creek feeds approximately 150 acres of Spartina alterniflora dominated salt marsh, which is interspersed with small 0.5-1 acre hammocks and salt pans. Fringe community components range from Loblolly pine forests with a sub-canopy of Yaupon holly to Wax myrtle and Sable Palm.

### 5) Coded variable definitions –

LD = Lower Duplin; HD = Hunt Dock; CA = Cabretta Creek; DC = Dean Creek.

Each individual sample is given a 3 part name code in addition to other codes. The 3 part name code, "sapldnut" for example, gives the reserve name (sap = Sapelo), station name (LD = Lower Duplin, etc), and SWMP program code (nut = nutrient monitoring program).

Sampling Site codes: sapldnut – Sapelo Island nutrient data for Lower Duplin

saphdnut – Sapelo Island nutrient data for Hunt Dock sapcanut – Sapelo Island nutrient data for Cabretta Creek sapdcnut – Sapelo Island nutrient data for Dean Creek

The monitoring codes are set as "1" to indicate grab samples and "2" to indicate diel samples. Replicates are also given specific codes. Grab samples in which duplicate field samples are taken utilize a "1" for the first sample and a "2" for the second sample. Subsequent lab splits of each field rep are labeled with an "S". Diel samples are always labeled with a "1" for the first lab replicate and an "S" for the second lab replicate. Only one actual sample is taken at each interval with the ISCO sampler.

## 6) Data collection period -

Diel sampling for 2012 began at 10:34:00 on January 17, 2012 at the Lower Duplin site. Grab sampling commenced on January 18, 2012 for all sites. Start times for each site are as follows: 10:07:00 at the Hunt Dock site, 10:38:00 at the Lower Duplin site, 11:33:00 at the Cabretta site, and 12:13 at the Dean Creek site. All June sampling was cancelled due to a tropical storm.

Diel Sampling						
Site	Start	Start	End	End		
	Date	Time	Date	Time		
LD	01/17/2012	1034	01/18/2012	1034		
LD	02/14/2012	0920	02/15/2012	0920		
LD	03/13/2012	0900	03/14/2012	0900		
LD	04/16/2012	1313	04/17/2012	1313		
LD	05/14/2012	1149	05/15/2012	1149		
LD	07/25/2012	0858	07/26/2012	0858		
LD	08/13/2012	1305	08/14/2012	1305		
LD	09/24/2012	1145	09/25/2012	1145		
LD	10/24/2012	1230	10/25/2012	1230		
LD	11/06/2012	0900	11/07/2012	0900		
LD	12/10/2012	1206	12/11/2012	1206		

Grab Sampling						
Site	Start	Start	End	End		
	Date	Time	Date	Time		
CA	01/18/2012	1133	01/18/2012	1141		
CA	02/15/2012	1138	02/15/2012	1147		
CA	03/14/2012	1152	03/14/2012	1200		
CA	04/17/2012	1218	04/17/2012	1228		
CA	05/15/2012	1222	05/15/2012	1231		
CA	07/26/2012	1001	07/26/2012	1011		
CA	08/14/2012	1250	08/14/2012	1258		
CA	09/25/2012	1204	09/25/2012	1212		
CA	10/25/2012	1239	10/25/2012	1245		
CA	11/07/2012	1032	11/07/2012	1041		
CA	12/11/2012	1222	12/11/2012	1226		
LD	01/18/2012	1038	01/18/2012	1049		
LD	02/15/2012	1036	02/15/2012	1052		
LD	03/14/2012	1100	03/14/2012	1109		
LD	04/17/2012	1116	04/17/2012	1129		
LD	05/15/2012	1040	05/15/2012	1055		

LD	07/26/2012	0924	07/26/2012	0931
LD	08/14/2012	1155	08/14/2012	1205
LD	09/25/2012	1132	09/25/2012	1137
LD	10/25/2012	1202	10/25/2012	1206
LD	11/07/2012	0946	11/07/2012	0954
LD	12/11/2012	1120	12/11/2012	1127
HD	01/18/2012	1007	01/18/2012	1014
HD	02/15/2012	1006	02/15/2012	1012
HD	03/14/2012	1025	03/14/2012	1034
HD	04/17/2012	1034	04/17/2012	1042
HD	05/15/2012	0955	05/15/2012	1008
HD	07/26/2012	0840	07/26/2012	0846
HD	08/14/2012	1113	08/14/2012	1121
HD	09/25/2012	1057	09/25/2012	1105
HD	10/25/2012	1128	10/25/2012	1133
HD	11/07/2012	0905	11/07/2012	0912
HD	12/11/2012	1043	12/11/2012	1049
DC	01/18/2012	1213	01/18/2012	1221
DC	02/15/2012	1222	02/15/2012	1229
DC	03/14/2012	1239	03/14/2012	1244
DC	04/17/2012	1304	04/17/2012	1315
DC	05/15/2012	1130	05/15/2012	1144
DC	07/26/2012	1049	07/26/2012	1058
DC	08/14/2012	1345	08/14/2012	1354
DC	09/25/2012	1244	09/25/2012	1251
DC	10/25/2012	1328	10/25/2012	1333
DC	11/07/2012	1120	11/07/2012	1127
DC	12/11/2012	1301	12/11/2012	1309

### 7) Associated researchers and projects

As part of the SWMP long-term monitoring program, SAP NERR also monitors Meteorological and Water Quality data which may be correlated with this Nutrient dataset. These data are available from the Research Coordinator or online at <a href="http://cdmo.baruch.sc.edu/">http://cdmo.baruch.sc.edu/</a>.

For a complete viewing of associated projects visit the following website and search the collaborators links:

http://gce-lter.marsci.uga.edu/lter/ http://www.uga.edu/marine\_advisory/

### 8) Distribution -

NOAA retains the right to analyze, synthesize and publish summaries of the NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program data. The NERRS retains the right to be fully credited for having collected and process the data. Following academic courtesy standards, the NERR site where the data were collected should be contacted and fully acknowledged in any subsequent publications in which any part of the data are used. The data set enclosed within this package/transmission is only as good as the quality assurance and quality control procedures outlined by the enclosed metadata reporting statement. The user bears all responsibility for

its subsequent use/misuse in any further analyses or comparisons. The Federal government does not assume liability to the Recipient or third persons, nor will the Federal government reimburse or indemnify the Recipient for its liability due to any losses resulting in any way from the use of this data.

### Requested citation format:

National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS). 2012. System-wide Monitoring Program. Data accessed from the NOAA NERRS Centralized Data Management Office website: www.nerrsdata.org; accessed 12 October 2012.

NERR nutrient data and metadata can be obtained from the Research Coordinator at the individual NERR site (please see Principal investigators and contact persons), from the Data Manager at the Centralized Data Management Office (please see personnel directory under the general information link on the CDMO home page) and online at the CDMO home page <a href="https://www.nerrsdata.org">www.nerrsdata.org</a>. Data are available in comma separated version format.

## **II. Physical Structure Descriptors**

### 9) Entry verification –

A Lachat QuikChem 8000 FIA+ is used to analyze nutrient concentrations. The instrument is calibrated daily for each parameter to be tested using a series of working standards. Once the calibration run is complete and satisfactory (r >/= 0.99500 up to 1.0000), the samples are set up for analysis. A set of mid-range check standards is used before the sample run, after approximately every 10 samples and at the end of the run to ensure the instrument is in control. The check standards must remain within + or -10% of their original value during the entire run. Also, a blank sample is run and then spiked with each analyte to a known concentration, which must come out within + or -10%as well. An external standard independent of calibration standards is processed with each set of samples. Once the run is complete, the raw data is reviewed on the computer attached to the Lachat QuikChem 8000 FIA+ instrument, and the timing is checked to ensure proper integration of sample peaks. Once this is completed, the data is exported via network to another computer. Here the raw file is imported into an Excel spreadsheet and calculations are performed to obtain the appropriate unit. Orthophosphate values are converted from uM to mg P/L by a conversion factor of 0.031. Nitrate and nitrite values are converted from uM to mg N/L using a factor of 0.014. Ammonia values are converted from ug N/L to mg N/L by dividing the raw result by 1000. The data file for each month is saved and the results are copied into a comprehensive file with all results. A data quality management (DQM) report is filed with the results.

Nutrient data are entered into a Microsoft Excel worksheet and processed using the NutrientQAQC Excel macro. The NutrientQAQC macro sets up the data worksheet, metadata worksheets, and MDL worksheet; adds chosen parameters and facilitates data entry; allows the user to set the number of significant figures to be reported for each parameter and rounds using banker's rounding rules; allows the user to input MDL values and then automatically flags/codes measured values below MDL and inserts the MDL; calculates parameters chosen by the user and automatically flags/codes for component values below MDL, negative calculated values, and missing data; allows the user to apply QAQC flags and codes to the data; produces summary statistics; graphs selected parameters for review; and exports the resulting data file to the CDMO for tertiary QAQC and assimilation into the CDMO's authoritative online database.

This data was entered and reviewed by Katy Austin Smith, Research Professional II and Lab Manager at the University of Georgia Marine Extension Service.

Unit conversion equations:

NO23  $\mu$ M \* 0.014  $\rightarrow$  mg/L as N NO2  $\mu$ M \* 0.014  $\rightarrow$  mg/L as N PO4  $\mu$ M \* 0.031  $\rightarrow$  mg/L as P NH4  $\mu$ g/L as N / 1000  $\rightarrow$  mg/L as N

# 10) Parameter titles and variable names by category

Required NOAA/NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program nutrient parameters are denoted by an asterisks "\*".

Data Category Parameter	Variable Nan	ne Units of Measure
Phosphorus and Nitrogen:		
*Orthophosphate	PO4F	mg/L as P
*Ammonium, Filtered	NH4F	mg/L as N
*Nitrite, Filtered	NO2F	mg/L as N
*Nitrate, Filtered	NO3F	mg/L as N
*Nitrite + Nitrate, Filtered	NO23F	mg/L as N
Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen	DIN	mg/L as N
Plant Pigments:		
*Chlorophyll a	CHLA_N	μg/L

#### Notes:

- 1. Time is coded based on a 2400 clock and is referenced to Standard Time.
- 2. Reserves have the option of measuring either NO2 and NO3 or they may substitute NO23 for individual analyses if they can show that NO2 is a minor component relative to NO3.

### 11) Measured or calculated laboratory parameters –

### a) Parameters measured directly

Nitrogen species: NH4F, NO2F, NO23F

Phosphorus species: PO4F Other: CHLA N

#### b) Calculated parameters

NO3F NO23F-NO2F DIN NO23F+NH4F

### 12) Limits of detection –

Method Detection Limits (MDL), the lowest concentration of a parameter that an analytical procedure can reliably detect, have been established by the UGA Marine Extension Service Laboratory. The MDL is determined as 3 times the standard deviation of a minimum of 7 replicates of a low

concentration sample. Table 1 presents the current MDLs; these values are reviewed and revised periodically.

Table 1. Method Detection Limits (MDL) for measured water quality parameters.

Parameter	Variable	Mean Conc.	Std. Dev.	MDL	Dates in use
		mg/L as N or P		mg/L as N or P	
Ammonium	NH4F	0.047	0.001	0.003	Dec.'01 – Dec.'12
Nitrite	NO2F	0.139	0.001	0.004	Jan.'08 – Dec.'12
Nitrite + Nitrate	NO23F	0.126	0.001	0.004	Dec.'01 – Dec.'12
Orthophosphate	PO4F	0.087	0.001	0.002	Dec.'01 – Dec.'12
Chl-a	CHLA			0.0	Dec.'01 – June'07
Chl-a	CHLA	0.6849	0.0053	0.0168	June '07 – Aug. '08
Chl-a	CHLA	0.7987	0.0094	0.0295	Aug. '08 – Dec. '12

## 13) Laboratory methods -

#### a) Parameter: NH4F

QuikChem Method: 31-107-06-1-E

Method Reference: U.S. EPA 1983. USEPA-600/4-79-020. Method 350.1.

Standard Methods 4500-NH<sub>3</sub> H.

Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with a 0.45 µm membrane filter and subjected to hypochlorite, which in the presence of phenol, catalytic amounts of nitroprusside and excess hypochlorite, yields indophenol blue, which measured at 630 nm is proportional to the original ammonia concentration.

Preservation Method: Samples are filtered and stored frozen (-18 degC).

Holding Time: 2-3 days

## b) Parameter: NO23F

QuikChem Method: 31-107-04-1-C

Method Reference: U.S. EPA 1974. Method 353.2. Standard Methods 4500-NO<sub>3</sub> F.

Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with 0.45 um polycarbonate filters. Filtered sample is subjected to cadmium reduction column to reduce nitrate to nitrite. The sample nitrite is then determined by diatizing with sulfanilamide and coupling with N-(1-napthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride to form a highly colored azo dye which is measured at 520 nm and is proportional to the original nitrate + nitrite concentration. The NO2F concentration (below) is subtracted from this result to give NO3F.

Preservation Method: Samples are filtered and stored frozen (-18 degC).

Holding Time: 2 weeks

### c) Parameter: NO2F

QuikChem Method: 31-107-04-1-C

Method Reference: U.S. EPA 1974. Method 353.2.

Standard Methods 4500-NO<sub>3</sub> F.

Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with 0.45 um polycarbonate filters. Nitrite in a filtered sample is measured by closing off the cadmium reduction column so that the nitrate is not converted and the sample follows through the same chemistry as with NO3F to yield the original nitrite concentration.

Preservation Method: Samples are filtered and stored frozen (-18 degC).

Holding Time: 1-2 days

### d) Parameter: NO3F

QuikChem Method: 31-107-04-1-C

Method Reference: U.S. EPA 1974. Method 353.2. Standard Methods 4500-NO<sub>3</sub> F.

Method Descriptor: Nitrate is calculated from NO23F minus NO2F results. Preservation Method: Samples filtered and stored frozen (-18 degC). Holding Time: Nitrate is calculated from NO23F minus NO2F results.

### e) Parameter: DIN

Method: DIN is calculated by adding the NH4F and NO23F results together.

#### f) Parameter: PO4F

QuikChem Method: 31-115-01-3-A

Method Reference: U.S. EPA 1978. Method 365.1.

Standard Methods 4500-P E.

Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with 0.45 um polycarbonate filters. Filtered sample is subjected to ammonium molybdate and antimony potassium tartrate under acidic conditions to form a yellow complex. This complex is reduced with ascorbic acid to form a blue complex, which absorbs light at 880 nm. The absorbance is proportional to the concentration of orthophosphate in the sample.

Preservation Method: Samples are filtered and stored frozen (-18 degC).

Holding Time: 30 days

#### g) Parameter: CHLA

APHA Standard Methods: 10200 H.

Method Reference:

Method Descriptor: Suspended sediment and other material in a water sample is concentrated onto a 47 mm GF/F filter under low vacuum. The sample is stored in a petri dish wrapped in aluminum foil in an airtight plastic bag kept on ice while in the field. The samples are then kept frozen and in the dark until analysis. The acetone extraction method is used to extract the chlorophyll over 2-24 hours and a spectrophotometer is used to obtain readings, which are calculated into a final result.

Preservation Method: Filters are stored frozen (-18 degC).

Holding Time: 28 days

# 14) Field and Laboratory QAQC programs -

#### a) Precision

- i) **Field variability** Field replicates are successive grab samples. Duplicate grabs are collected. Samples are filtered and placed on ice before the next sample is grabbed (usually about 10 minutes between grabs).
- ii) Laboratory variability All samples are analyzed in duplicates.
- iii) Inter-organizational splits Samples were analyzed by one lab.

### b) Accuracy

- i) Sample spikes A blank sample is spiked with each set for each analyte to obtain a 100% recovery (+ or -10%). One or two sample unknowns are spiked with each set for each analyte to obtain a 100% recovery (+ or -20% under ideal conditions).
- ii) **Standard reference material analysis** NERR QA/QC sample analyzed December 2011; External Standard ('Simple Nutrients' ERA catalog #739 purchased from Environmental Resource Associates and analyzed with each sample set beginning August 2008 through December 2011.
- iii) **Cross calibration exercises** None. External standard (independent of calibration standards) processed with each run to ensure calibration accuracy.

## 15) QAQC flag definitions -

QAQC flags provide documentation of the data and are applied to individual data points by insertion into the parameter's associated flag column (header preceded by an F\_). QAQC flags are applied to the nutrient data during secondary QAQC to indicate data that are out of sensor range low (-4), rejected due to QAQC checks (-3), missing (-2), optional and were not collected (-1), suspect (1), and that have been corrected (5). All remaining data are flagged as having passed initial QAQC checks (0) when the data are uploaded and assimilated into the CDMO ODIS as provisional plus data. The historical data flag (4) is used to indicate data that were submitted to the CDMO prior to the initiation of secondary QAQC flags and codes (and the use of the automated primary QAQC system for WQ and MET data). This flag is only present in historical data that are exported from the CDMO ODIS.

- -4 Outside Low Sensor Range
- -3 Data Rejected due to QAQC
- -2 Missing Data
- -1 Optional SWMP Supported Parameter
- 0 Data Passed Initial QAQC Checks
- 1 Suspect Data
- 4 Historical Data: Pre-Auto QAQC
- 5 Corrected Data

### 16) QAQC code definitions -

QAQC codes are used in conjunction with QAQC flags to provide further documentation of the data and are also applied by insertion into the associated flag column. There are three (3) different code categories, general, sensor, and comment. General errors document general problems with the sample or sample collection, sensor errors document common sensor or parameter specific problems, and comment codes are used to further document conditions or a problem with the data. Only one general or sensor error and one comment code can be applied to a particular data point. However, a record flag column (F\_Record) in the nutrient data allows multiple comment codes to be applied to the entire data record.

General errors

GCM GCR GDM GQD GQS	Calculated value could not be determined due to missing data Calculated value could not be determined due to rejected data Data missing or sample never collected Data rejected due to QA/QC checks Data suspect due to QA/QC checks
Sensor error	s
SBL	Value below minimum limit of method detection
SCB	Calculated value could not be determined due to a below MDL component
SCC	Calculation with this component resulted in a negative value
SNV	Calculated value is negative
SRD	Replicate values differ substantially
SUL	Value above upper limit of method detection
Parameter C	Comments
CAB	Algal bloom
CDR	Sample diluted and rerun
СНВ	Sample held beyond specified holding time
CIP	Ice present in sample vicinity
CIF	Flotsam present in sample vicinity
CLE	Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled
CRE	Significant rain event
CSM	See metadata
CUS	Lab analysis from unpreserved sample
Record com	ments
CAB	Algal bloom
CHB	Sample held beyond specified holding time
CIP	Ice present in sample vicinity
CIF	Flotsam present in sample vicinity
CLE	Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled
CRE	Significant rain event
CSM	See metadata
CUS	Lab analysis from unpreserved sample
Cloud cove	er e
CCL	clear (0-10%)
CSP	scattered to partly cloudy (10-50%)
CPB	partly to broken (50-90%)
COC	overcast (>90%)
CFY	foggy
CHY	hazy
CCC	cloud (no percentage)
Precipitation	on
PNP	none
PDR	drizzle
PLR	light rain
PHR	heavy rain
PSQ	squally
PFQ	frozen precipitation (sleet/snow/freezing rain)
PSR	mixed rain and snow
Tide stage	

```
TSE
            ebb tide
  TSF
            flood tide
  TSH
            high tide
  TSL
            low tide
Wave height
  WH0
            0 to < 0.1 meters
  WH1
            0.1 to 0.3 meters
  WH2
            0.3 to 0.6 meters
  WH3
            0.6 \text{ to} > 1.0 \text{ meters}
  WH4
            1.0 to 1.3 meters
  WH5
            1.3 or greater meters
Wind direction
  N
            from the north
  NNE
            from the north northeast
  NE
            from the northeast
  ENE
            from the east northeast
  Е
            from the east
  ESE
            from the east southeast
  SE
            from the southeast
  SSE
            from the south southeast
  S
            from the south
  SSW
            from the south southwest
  SW
            from the southwest
  WSW
            from the west southwest
  W
            from the west
  WNW
            from the west northwest
  NW
            from the northwest
  NNW
            from the north northwest.
Wind speed
  WS0
            0 to 1 knot
  WS1
            > 1 to 10 knots
  WS2
            > 10 to 20 knots
  WS3
            > 20 to 30 knots
  WS4
            > 30 to 40 knots
```

> 40 knots

#### 17) Other remarks/notes

WS5

Data may be missing due to problems with sample collection or processing. Laboratories in the NERRS System submit data that are censored at a lower detection rate limit, called the Method Detection Limit or MDL. MDLs for specific parameters are listed in the Laboratory Methods and Detection Limits Section (Section II, Part 12) of this document. Concentrations that are less than this limit are censored with the use of a QAQC flag and code, and the reported value is the method detection limit itself rather than a measured value. For example, if the measured concentration of NO23F was 0.0005 mg/l as N (MDL=0.0008), the reported value would be 0.0008 and would be flagged as out of sensor range low (-4) and coded SBL. In addition, if any of the components used to calculate a variable are below the MDL, the calculated variable is removed and flagged/coded -4

SCB. If a calculated value is negative, it is rejected and all measured components are marked suspect. If additional information on MDL's or missing, suspect, or rejected data is needed, contact the Research Coordinator at the Reserve submitting the data.

Note: The way below MDL values are handled in the NERRS SWMP dataset was changed in November of 2011. Previously, below MDL data from 2007-2010 were also flagged/coded, but either reported as the measured value or a blank cell. Any 2007-2011 nutrient/pigment data downloaded from the CDMO prior to November of 2011 will reflect this difference.

Samples that have been diluted and rerun are coded <0> (CDR). This happens frequently with PO<sub>4</sub> results as those values above the upper limit of the linear range (upper limit 2.2 uM or 0.0682 mg P/L) are diluted, rerun and the appropriate dilution factor applied to the raw data, thus yielding a final result analyzed within the linear range. The following table highlights dilutions that were performed on 2012 samples.

Month	Station ID	<b>Dilution factor</b>	Analyte
January	Cabretta Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	2	PO4
January	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	5	PO4
February	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	2	PO4
March	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	2	PO4
April	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	5	PO4
May	Cabretta Creek (Grab 1)	2	PO4
May	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	5	PO4
July	Cabretta Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	2	PO4
July	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	10	PO4
August	Cabretta Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	2	PO4
August	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	10	PO4, NH4
September	Diel 9, 11, 12	2	PO4
September	Cabretta Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	2	PO4
September	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	10	PO4
October	Cabretta Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	2	PO4
October	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	5	PO4
October	Lower Duplin (Grab 2)	2	PO4
November	Cabretta Creek (Grab 1)	2	PO4
November	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	5	PO4
December	Cabretta Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	2	PO4
December	Dean Creek (Grab 1 and 2)	2	PO4

### **Additional notes:**

- The June 2012 sampling had to be cancelled due to a tropical storm.
- ISCO samples 12 and 13 are missing from February 2012 due to a power outage.

- May 2012 samples were placed in a broken freezer and were not frozen until this was recognized in mid-August. Samples were coded CSM in the F\_Record column and <1> (CHB) in parameter columns.
- At the Cabretta and Dean Creek sites on 11/7/2012, surface water samples were collected (rather than the usual bottom water samples) due to the water sampler breaking. Samples were coded CSM in the F\_Record column.

### • Comment Code, <0> (CSM), explanations:

- o In May 2012, samples were held beyond the specified holding time for all measured parameters. In addition, Cabretta Creek Grab 1 and Dean Creek Grabs 1 and 2 were diluted and rerun for PO4, as noted in the table above.
- o In July 2012, samples were held beyond the specified holding time for all measured parameters. In addition, Cabretta Creek Grabs 1 and 2, and Dean Creek Grabs 1 and 2 were diluted and rerun for PO4, as noted in the table above.
- o In September 2012, samples were held beyond the specified holding time for all measured parameters. In addition, Diel samples 9, 11, and 12, as well as Cabretta Creek Grabs 1 and 2 and Dean Creek Grabs 1 and 2 were diluted and rerun for PO4, as noted in the table above.
- o In October 2012, samples were held beyond the specified holding time for all measured parameters. In addition, Lower Duplin Grabs 1 and 2, Cabretta Creek Grabs 1 and 2, and Dean Creek Grabs 1 and 2 were diluted and rerun for PO4, as noted in the table above.
- o In November 2012, samples were held beyond the specified holding time for all measured parameters. In addition, Cabretta Creek Grab 1, and Dean Creek Grabs 1 and 2 were diluted and rerun for PO4, as noted in the table above.
- o In December 2012, samples were held beyond the specified holding time for all measured parameters. In addition, Cabretta Creek Grabs 1 and 2, and Dean Creek Grabs 1 and 2 were diluted and rerun for PO4, as noted in the table above.