

SAP NERR Nutrient Metadata
January to December 2016
Latest Update: December 17, 2019

I. Data Set and Research Descriptors

1) Principal investigator(s) and contact persons –

a) Reserve Contact

Doug Samson
P.O. Box 15
Sapelo Island, GA 31327
Phone: 912-485-2251
e-mail: Doug.Samson@dnr.state.ga.us

b) Laboratory Contact(s)

Katy Smith
715 Bay Street
Marine Extension Service Laboratory
University of Georgia
Brunswick, GA 31520
Phone: 912-262-3338
Email: klaustin@uga.edu

Paul Grimm
Avery Laboratories & Environmental Services, LLC
2720 Gregory Street, Unit 200
Savannah, Georgia 31404
Phone: 912-944-3748
Email: pgrimm@averylab.com

June Flowers
Flowers Chemical Laboratories, Inc.
P.O. Box 150597
Altamonte Springs, Florida 32715
Phone: 407-339-5984
Email: june@flowerslabs.com

c) Field Contact

Patrick Hagan
P.O. Box 15
Sapelo Island, GA 31327
Phone: 912-485-2265

2) Research objectives –

The nutrient monitoring program is designed upon spatial deployment across a wide variety of marsh types with differing fresh and marine water mixing. These differing dynamics allow scientists and researchers to select from both a wide variety of research sites as well as tailor research programs to specific tidal dynamics and utilize the Reserves SWMP data acquisitions to the maximum extent. Additionally, from a long-term trend perspective, the variety of marsh types and hydrology being monitored will allow for a better understanding of the different effects of sea-level rise upon marsh type.

Due to a lack of residential development and very low human activity within the watersheds of the sites, they serve as a proxy for reference conditions with the various marsh and associated hydrology types for the creeks and river stations. All of the sites selected have very little anthropogenic nutrient influences. The following brief descriptions are associated with each nutrient monitoring site. For more detail, please refer to the site descriptors located under section (4) of this document and/ or contact the Research Coordinator at the SAP NERR for detailed information of any/all sites.

Lower Duplin: Located at the mouth of the Duplin River with large, rapid and near-complete hydraulic exchange with Doboy Sound within each diurnal cycle. Typical of a high salinity, well mixed estuary site.

Hunt Dock: Located on the upper Duplin with relatively high hydraulic retention requiring an estimated 6-7 diurnal events to complete a total hydraulic exchange. Rainfall may drop salinity precipitously in the basin depending on tidal height, duration and volume of precipitation.

Cabretta Creek: Located on the eastern side of Sapelo Island with direct exchange with the Atlantic Ocean. Creek is typical of high salinity, high oceanic exchange and near complete hydraulic exchange with each diurnal event. Creek is extremely buffered from rainfall (event driven) fluctuations in salinity.

Dean Creek: Located on the southern end of Sapelo is the primary drainage of the inter-dune (located amid primary and secondary dune systems) meadow. This site is highly susceptible to very high salinity fluctuations associated with rainfall events on both seasonal and short-term, event driven scales. Tidal exchange is complete at each diurnal event and exchange water genesis is the Doboy Sound.

The Duplin River is a tidal basin with no freshwater influence within its headwaters apart from surficial aquifer weeping from the perched lens of water associated with Sapelo Island. This nutrient monitoring effort is tied into the Georgia Coastal Ecosystems, Long-Term Ecological Research (GCE-LTER) initiative and the University of Georgia Marine Extension Service water quality database whose collection and analysis of the water samples facilitates the database. This long-term data set is being developed to provide information on estuarine water mixing within the well-studied Duplin River basin in addition to providing a long-term characterization of water quality as related to nutrient loading within the Duplin River.

- a) The Monthly Grab Sampling Program focuses on documentation of baseline reference nutrient trends within a wide array of local marsh systems with differing hydrology.
- b) The Diel Sampling Program focuses on short-term temporal variability over a lunar tidal cycle.

3) Research methods –

a) Monthly Grab Sampling Program

Monthly grab samples were taken at four stations within the Duplin River estuary from January to December 2016. Bottom water samples were taken at the Lower Duplin (LD), Hunt Dock (HD), Cabretta Creek (CA) and Dean Creek (DC) stations using a Niskin style sampling bottle. All grab samples were taken sequentially in duplicate beginning near the time the last diel sample was collected by the ISCO sampler (this time corresponds to low tide at the end of the tidal cycle). Chronological collection times for each of the four sites vary. At the time of sample collection, latitude, longitude, time and depth were recorded. The depths at Cabretta and Dean Creek sites were estimated as sampling took place from a bridge. Samples collected were immediately placed on ice, in the dark and delivered to the Marine Extension Service laboratory for filter processing. Once in the laboratory, samples were filtered, frozen and processed within the specified times (unless flagged) for nutrient and chlorophyll-a concentrations.

Processing each sample:

Using filter towers (acid-washed towers with a 0.45 µm polycarbonate filter for nutrient filtering and clean towers with a GF/F filter for chlorophyll filtering), a small amount of sample was used to rinse the nutrient filter tower equipped with a filter and then the filtrate was discarded. The tower was then filled to the 250-mL mark. The chlorophyll tower with the GF/F filter was also filled to the 250-mL mark (or 500-mL mark if a larger filtration apparatus was used) and the towers were connected by small piece(s) of tubing. The vacuum pump was turned on to pull the sample through each filter and then the vacuum was released. The nutrient sample tower was disconnected and an acid-washed 250-mL polypropylene bottle was rinsed and filled with the filtrate. Space was left in the sample bottle for expansion during freezing at approximately -4°C. If the first 250 or 500 milliliters went through the chlorophyll filter easily, the filtrate was discarded and an additional 50, 100, 250 or 500 milliliters was filtered, depending on suspended sediment load, to concentrate the sample onto the filter. The chlorophyll filter was then removed with tweezers and placed face up in a petri dish, wrapped in aluminum foil and labeled with the volume filtered and sample information. The chlorophyll filter towers were rinsed between replicate grabs with distilled water and the nutrient filter tower was acid-washed and DI water rinsed between samples.

b) Diel Sampling Program

As of November 2013, Reserve staff have been conducting all field work associated with this project. The recommended procedures for diel scheduling and sampling are as follows: WWW Tide and Current Predictor for Wolf Island, South End was used to estimate low tide. As close to an early, low, neap tide as possible was selected each month for sampling. The ISCO sampler was deployed at the Lower Duplin (LD) site on the day previous to the grab sampling date chosen for that particular month with the sample line suction tube placed 1.5 feet below the surface of the water. The ISCO sampler collected the first diel sample as close as possible to the low tide predicted for the following day and continued collecting samples every two hours for the next 22 hours, representing a full tidal cycle and a total of 13 samples, ending at low tide near to the time when grab sampling began. The ISCO was turned off at the end of the collection period and the samples were secured with caps upon arriving at the site. The samples were filter processed in the laboratory by UGA Marine Extension laboratory personnel. The filtration process for the diel samples follows the same process as for grab samples described above. High-density polypropylene bottles were used to store the samples after filtration. Polypropylene bottles and filter towers were soaked in 10% HCl in preparation for the fieldwork, and then triple rinsed with distilled water. A squeeze bottle was used to acid wash (then rinse with distilled water) beakers and filter towers between filtering of each sample.

4) Site location and character –

The Sapelo Island National Estuarine Research Reserve is located on the Southeastern Atlantic coast of the United States in McIntosh County, Georgia. The study area encompasses the Duplin River estuary, a tidally flushed drainage system flowing into Doboy Sound from the north and two inland creeks, Cabretta and Dean Creek. The Duplin River watershed occupies most of the Reserve, which also contains various forest types, sand dunes, a section of ocean beach and minor developed areas. The Duplin River estuary covers 3,300 acres between Sapelo Island and the mainland in McIntosh County. It drains a tidal bay and an extensive network of salt marshes about 6 miles long, into which there is little upland run-off. Diverse estuarine wetlands provide extensive and complex habitat types for fish and wildlife. The island contains several small, interior brackish and freshwater marshes fed by surficial aquifer expression (interdune meadow of Nannygoat beach: south end) and anthropogenic upland ditches and dikes produced in the early 19th century (north end). The upland forests are composed of several diverse habitats including long leaf pine/slash pine forests, climax maritime forests, small amounts of pond cypress bays and naturally

regenerated loblolly pine forests which are timbered on a 70-year selectively cut harvest rotation. There are no current studies on pollutants in this area. Sapelo Island is typically considered a pristine environment, with minimal pollutant input.

All Sapelo Island NERR historical nutrient/pigment monitoring stations:

Station Code	SWMP Status	Station Name	Location	Active Dates	Reason Decommissioned	Notes
sapcanut	P	Cabretta Creek	31° 26' 37.32 N, 81° 14' 23.64 W	08/01/2004-current	NA	NA
sapdcnut	P	Dean Creek	31° 23' 22.56 N, 81° 16' 44.04 W	05/01/2004 - current	NA	NA
saphdnut	P	Hunt Dock	31° 28' 42.96 N, 81° 16' 23.16 W	03/01/2002 current	NA	NA
sapldnut	P	Lower Duplin	31° 25' 4.59 N, 81° 17' 45.77 W	01/01/2002 - current	NA	NA
sapfdnut	P	Flume Dock	31° 28' 58.08 N, 81° 16' 3.00 W	03/01/2002 - 08/01/2004	Discontinued historic sampling program	
sapmlnut	P	Marsh Landing	31° 25' 3.72 N, 81° 17' 45.96 W	01/01/2002 - 01/01/2004	Discontinued historic sampling program	

Active Sapelo Island NERR nutrient/pigment and water quality monitoring stations:

Latitude and Longitude-

Lower Duplin: Lat: 31 25' 4" N, Long: 81 17' 46" W
Hunt Dock: Lat: 31 28' 43" N, Long: 81 16' 23" W
Cabretta Creek: Lat: 31 26 37.3" N, Long: 81 14 23.7" W
Dean Creek: Lat: 31 23 22.5" N, Long: 81 16 44.2" W

Site descriptions-

Salinities at all Duplin River sites vary according to localized rainfall and associated runoff. The upper Duplin River site (Hunt Dock) experiences slightly lower salinities associated with rainfall events (2 -3ppt) as compared to the lower Duplin River site. Average salinities range from 15 ppt to 30 ppt depending on seasonal or event rainfall. Average tidal range of diurnal tidal cycle is approximately 2.5 meters twice daily. Due to high turbidity, all Duplin River sites are lacking any persistent submerged aquatic vegetation and have an unconsolidated sandy/mud bottom (soft sediment) typical of southeastern near-ocean estuaries. Marsh sediments are relatively pristine and free of pollutants based on sediment analysis conducted in 1996 by C. Alexander, Skidaway Institute of Oceanography. Watershed is dominated by oceanic tidal influences associated with Doboy Sound. Depths are as follows: Lower Duplin (LD) ranges from 1.5 meters to 6.0 meters depending on tide, and the Hunt Dock site maximum depth is 4.27 meters.

Cabretta Creek is fed directly from waters of the Atlantic Ocean. Cabretta experiences a maximum tidal range of approximately 4.3 meters. Average mean low water depth at the sample site is 3.25 meters. Salinity ranges, with exception to major, long-term precipitation events, from 15-36 ppt, seasonally. The station is located on a small (one-lane), wooden, roadway bridge spanning Cabretta

Creek, located on the island's extreme eastern side. The benthos is composed primarily of sand substrate with small, intertidal oyster reef conglomerate communities. Adjacent to the site is extensive, intertidal, bank stabilization (armoring) in the form of woven rip-rap fencing and granite rocks. This manipulation is slowly becoming stabilized via oyster reef community colonization. The adjacent marshes are dominated by *Spartina alterniflora* with occasional *Juncus roemerianus* in the nearby fringe community habitat. The creek has very little adjacent uplands due to: 1) the low elevational gradient and 2) the area's geologically recent accretion genesis (Holocene) resulting in sandy soils; of which neither condition allows for extensive floral colonization or stabilization.

The Dean Creek site is located on a recently rebuilt steel bridge spanning Dean Creek, in close proximity to the adjacent Nannygoat Beach causeway. Dean Creek is a small tidal basin fed from the waters of Doboy Sound, which is located on Sapelo Island's south end. With exception to short duration local or long duration regional precipitation events, the creek's salinity normally ranges between 20 and 30 ppt. The benthic community consists of a sandy-mud substrate with occasional small, intertidal oyster reef community and mean tidal amplitude of approximately 8 feet. Average mean low water depth at the sample site is approximately 1 meter, but fluctuates due to bank erosion. The small creek feeds approximately 150 acres of *Spartina alterniflora* dominated salt marsh, which is interspersed with small 0.5-1 acre hammocks and salt pans. Fringe community components range from Loblolly pine forests with a sub-canopy of Yaupon Holly to Wax Myrtle and Sable Palm.

5) Coded variable definitions –

LD = Lower Duplin; HD = Hunt Dock; CA = Cabretta Creek; DC = Dean Creek.

Each individual sample is given a 3 part name code in addition to other codes. The 3 part name code, "sapldnut" for example, gives the reserve name (sap = Sapelo), station name (LD = Lower Duplin, etc), and SWMP program code (nut = nutrient monitoring program).

Sampling Site codes:

sapldnut – Sapelo Island nutrient data for Lower Duplin
 saphdnut – Sapelo Island nutrient data for Hunt Dock
 sapcanut – Sapelo Island nutrient data for Cabretta Creek
 sapdcnut – Sapelo Island nutrient data for Dean Creek

The monitoring codes are set as "1" to indicate grab samples and "2" to indicate diel samples. Replicates are also given specific codes. Grab samples in which duplicate field samples are taken utilize a "1" for the first sample and a "2" for the second sample. Subsequent lab splits of each field rep are labeled with an "S". Diel samples are always labeled with a "1" for the first lab replicate and an "S" for the second lab replicate. Only one actual sample is taken at each interval with the ISCO sampler.

5) Data collection period –

SWMP nutrient monitoring began in 2002 for all SAP monitoring sites.

Diel sampling for 2016 began at 11:30:00 on January 20, 2016 at the Lower Duplin site. Grab sampling commenced on January 21, 2016 for all sites. Start times for each site are as follows: 10:14:00 at the Hunt Dock site, 10:29:00 at the Lower Duplin site, 09:54:00 at the Cabretta site, and 09:29:00 at the Dean Creek site. Replicate grab samples are taken within 2-5 minutes of the initial grab sample.

Diel Sampling: Start/End, Dates/Times				
Location	Start Date	Start	End Date	End

		Time		Time
LD	1/20/2016	11:30	1/21/2016	11:30
LD	2/17/2016	10:15	2/18/2016	10:15
LD	3/16/2016	9:45	3/17/2016	9:45
LD	4/13/2016	8:30	4/14/2016	8:30
LD	5/25/2016	5:30	5/26/2016	5:30
LD	6/29/2016	10:30	6/30/2016	10:30
LD	7/20/2016	3:30	7/21/2016	3:30
LD	8/24/2016	8:00	8/25/2016	8:00
LD	9/28/2016	10:15	9/29/2016	10:15
LD	10/26/2016	10:00	10/27/2016	10:00
LD	11/16/2016	10:00	11/17/2016	10:00
LD	12/14/2016	9:00	12/15/2016	9:00

Grab Sampling: Dates; Start and End Times								
Date	CA Start	CA End	DC Start	DC End	LD Start	LD End	HD Start	HD End
1/21/2016	9:54	9:56	9:29	9:32	10:29	10:34	10:14	10:17
2/18/2016	9:30	9:33	10:28	10:30	10:41	10:44	9:50	9:55
3/17/2016	10:31	10:34	10:56	10:58	11:12	11:16	10:01	10:05
4/14/2016	9:46	9:49	9:29	9:34	10:32	10:36	10:01	10:05
5/26/2016	9:58	10:00	9:30	9:31	10:31	10:33	10:15	10:17
6/30/2016	9:44	9:46	9:24	9:26	10:29	10:33	10:07	10:09
7/21/2016	7:40	7:42	7:14	7:16	8:31	8:34	8:03	8:05
8/25/2016	9:49	9:51	9:24	9:26	10:28	10:31	10:09	10:13
9/29/2016	9:20	9:21	10:43	10:45	10:16	10:18	9:55	9:59
10/27/2016	9:44	9:47	9:20	9:22	10:44	10:47	10:15	10:18
11/17/2016	9:50	9:55	9:25	9:29	10:45	10:48	10:09	10:15
12/15/2016	10:01	10:05	9:28	9:31	10:45	10:49	10:21	10:24

7) Associated researchers and projects–

As part of the SWMP long-term monitoring program, SAP NERR also monitors 15-minute meteorological and water quality data which may be correlated with this nutrient/pigment dataset. These data are available at www.nerrsdata.org.

For a complete viewing of associated projects visit the following website and search the collaborators links:

<http://gce-lter.marsci.uga.edu/lter/>
http://www.uga.edu/marine_advisory/

8) Distribution –

NOAA retains the right to analyze, synthesize and publish summaries of the NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program data. The NERRS retains the right to be fully credited for having collected and process the data. Following academic courtesy standards, the NERR site where the data were collected should be contacted and fully acknowledged in any subsequent publications in which any part of the data are used. The data set enclosed within this package/transmission is only as good as the quality assurance and quality control procedures outlined by the enclosed metadata reporting statement. The user bears all responsibility for its subsequent use/misuse in any further analyses or comparisons. The Federal government does not assume liability to the Recipient or third persons, nor will the Federal government reimburse or indemnify the Recipient for its liability due to any losses resulting in any way from the use of this data.

Requested citation format:

NOAA National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS). System-wide Monitoring Program. Data accessed from the NOAA NERRS Centralized Data Management Office website: www.nerrsdata.org; accessed 12 October 2016.

NERR nutrient data and metadata can be obtained from the Research Coordinator at the individual NERR site (please see Principal investigators and contact persons), from the Data Manager at the Centralized Data Management Office (please see personnel directory under the general information link on the CDMO home page) and online at the CDMO home page www.nerrsdata.org. Data are available in comma separated version format.

II. Physical Structure Descriptors

9) Entry verification –

Nutrient data are entered into a Microsoft Excel worksheet and processed using the NutrientQAQC Excel macro. The NutrientQAQC macro sets up the data worksheet, metadata worksheets, and MDL worksheet; adds chosen parameters and facilitates data entry; allows the user to set the number of significant figures to be reported for each parameter and rounds using banker's rounding rules; allows the user to input MDL values and then automatically flags/codes measured values below MDL and inserts the MDL; calculates parameters chosen by the user and automatically flags/codes for component values below MDL, negative calculated values, and missing data; allows the user to apply QAQC flags and codes to the data; produces summary statistics; graphs selected parameters for review; and exports the resulting data file to the CDMO for tertiary QAQC and assimilation into the CDMO's authoritative online database.

Katy Smith was responsible for data entry, verification, and QAQC tasks.

10) Parameter titles and variable names by category –

Required NOAA/NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program nutrient parameters are denoted by an asterisks “*”.

Data Category	Parameter	Variable Name	Units of Measure
Phosphorus and Nitrogen:			
	*Orthophosphate	PO4F	mg/L as P
	*Ammonium, Filtered	NH4F	mg/L as N
	*Nitrite, Filtered	NO2F	mg/L as N
	*Nitrate, Filtered	NO3F	mg/L as N
	*Nitrite + Nitrate, Filtered	NO23F	mg/L as N

Plant Pigments:	Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen	DIN	mg/L as N
	*Chlorophyll a	CHLA_N	µg/L

Microbial:

Notes:

1. Time is coded based on a 2400 clock and is referenced to Standard Time.
2. Reserves have the option of measuring either NO₂ and NO₃ or they may substitute NO₂₃ for individual analyses if they can show that NO₂ is a minor component relative to NO₃.

11) Measured or calculated laboratory parameters –

a) Parameters measured directly

Nitrogen species:	NH ₄ F, NO ₂ F, NO ₂₃ F
Phosphorus species:	PO ₄ F
Other:	CHLA_N

b) Calculated parameters

NO ₃ F	NO ₂₃ F-NO ₂ F
DIN	NO ₂₃ F+NH ₄ F

12) Limits of detection –

The MDL is determined as 3 times the standard deviation of a minimum of 7 replicates of a single low concentration sample. These values are reviewed and revised periodically.

Parameter	Start Date	End Date	MDL
PO ₄ F	01/01/16	02/28/16	0.0200
NH ₄ F	01/01/16	02/28/16	0.500
NO ₂ F	01/01/16	02/28/16	0.0200
NO ₂₃ F	01/01/16	02/28/16	5.00
PO ₄ F	03/01/16	12/31/16	0.0020
NH ₄ F	03/01/16	12/31/16	0.0100
NO ₂ F	03/01/16	12/31/16	0.0200
NO ₂₃ F	03/01/16	12/31/16	0.0200
CHLA_N	01/01/16	12/31/16	0.0295

13) Laboratory methods –

a) Parameter: NH₄F

Method Reference: U.S. EPA 1983. USEPA-600/4-79-020. Method 350.1.
Standard Methods 4500-NH₃ c.

Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with a 0.45 µm membrane filter and subjected to hypochlorite, which in the presence of phenol, catalytic amounts of nitroprusside and excess hypochlorite, yields indophenol blue, which measured at 630 nm is proportional to the original ammonia concentration.

Preservation Method: Samples are filtered and stored frozen (-4 degC).

Holding Time: 2-3 days

b) Parameter: NO₂3F

Method Reference: U.S. EPA 1974. Method 353.2.

Standard Methods 4500-NO₃ h.

Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with 0.45 um polycarbonate filters. Filtered sample is subjected to cadmium reduction column to reduce nitrate to nitrite. The sample nitrite is then determined by diazotizing with sulfanilamide and coupling with N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride to form a highly colored azo dye which is measured at 520 nm and is proportional to the original nitrate + nitrite concentration. The NO₂F concentration (below) is subtracted from this result to give NO₃F.

Preservation Method: Samples are filtered and stored frozen (-4 degC).

Holding Time: 2 weeks

c) Parameter: NO₂F

Method Reference: U.S. EPA 1974. Method 353.2.

Standard Methods 4500-NO₃ h.

Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with 0.45 um polycarbonate filters. Nitrite in a filtered sample is measured by closing off the cadmium reduction column so that the nitrate is not converted and the sample follows through the same chemistry as with NO₃F to yield the original nitrite concentration.

Preservation Method: Samples are filtered and stored frozen (-4 degC).

Holding Time: 1-2 days

d) Parameter: NO₃F

Method Reference: U.S. EPA 1974. Method 353.2.

Standard Methods 4500-NO₃ F.

Method Descriptor: Nitrate is calculated from NO₂3F minus NO₂F results.

Preservation Method: Samples filtered and stored frozen (-4 degC).

Holding Time: Nitrate is calculated from NO₂3F minus NO₂F results.

e) Parameter: DIN

Method: DIN is calculated by adding the NH₄F and NO₂3F results together.

f) Parameter: PO₄F

Method Reference: U.S. EPA 1978. Method 365.1.

Standard Methods 4500-P E.

Method Descriptor: Samples were filtered with 0.45 um polycarbonate filters. Filtered sample is subjected to ammonium molybdate and antimony potassium tartrate under acidic conditions to form a yellow complex. This complex is reduced with ascorbic acid to form a blue complex, which absorbs light at 880 nm. The absorbance is proportional to the concentration of orthophosphate in the sample.

Preservation Method: Samples are filtered and stored frozen (-4 degC).

Holding Time: 30 days

g) Parameter: CHLA_N

Method Reference: APHA Standard Methods: 10200 H.

Method Descriptor: Suspended sediment and other material in a water sample is concentrated onto a 47 mm GF/F filter under low vacuum. The sample is stored in a petri dish wrapped in aluminum foil in an airtight plastic bag kept on ice while in the field. The samples are then kept frozen and in the dark until analysis. The acetone extraction method is used to extract the chlorophyll over 2-24 hours and a spectrophotometer is used to obtain readings, which are calculated into a final result.

Preservation Method: Filters are stored frozen (-18 degC).
Holding Time: 28 days

14) Field and Laboratory QAQC programs –

a) Precision

- i) **Field variability** – Field replicates are successive grab samples. Duplicate grabs are collected. Samples are filtered and placed on ice before the next sample is grabbed (usually about 5 minutes between grabs).
- ii) **Laboratory variability** – All samples are analyzed in duplicates.
- iii) **Inter-organizational splits** – Samples were analyzed by one lab.

b) Accuracy

- i) **Sample spikes** – A blank sample is spiked with each set for each analyte to obtain a 100% recovery (+ or – 10%). One or two sample unknowns are spiked with each set for each analyte to obtain a 100 % recovery (+ or – 20% under ideal conditions).
- ii) **Standard reference material analysis** – Last NERR QA/QC sample analyzed December 2011; External Standard ('Simple Nutrients' ERA catalog #739 purchased from Environmental Resource Associates and analyzed with each sample set beginning August 2008 through December 2014.
- iii) **Cross calibration exercises** – None. External standard (independent of calibration standards) processed with each run to ensure calibration accuracy.

15) QAQC flag definitions –

QAQC flags provide documentation of the data and are applied to individual data points by insertion into the parameter's associated flag column (header preceded by an F_). QAQC flags are applied to the nutrient data during secondary QAQC to indicate data that are out of sensor range low (-4), rejected due to QAQC checks (-3), missing (-2), optional and were not collected (-1), suspect (1), and that have been corrected (5). All remaining data are flagged as having passed initial QAQC checks (0) when the data are uploaded and assimilated into the CDMO ODIS as provisional plus data. The historical data flag (4) is used to indicate data that were submitted to the CDMO prior to the initiation of secondary QAQC flags and codes (and the use of the automated primary QAQC system for WQ and MET data). This flag is only present in historical data that are exported from the CDMO ODIS.

- 4 Outside Low Sensor Range
- 3 Data Rejected due to QAQC
- 2 Missing Data
- 1 Optional SWMP Supported Parameter
- 0 Data Passed Initial QAQC Checks
- 1 Suspect Data
- 4 Historical Data: Pre-Auto QAQC
- 5 Corrected Data

16) QAQC code definitions –

QAQC codes are used in conjunction with QAQC flags to provide further documentation of the data and are also applied by insertion into the associated flag column. There are

three (3) different code categories, general, sensor, and comment. General errors document general problems with the sample or sample collection, sensor errors document common sensor or parameter specific problems, and comment codes are used to further document conditions or a problem with the data. Only one general or sensor error and one comment code can be applied to a particular data point. However, a record flag column (F_Record) in the nutrient data allows multiple comment codes to be applied to the entire data record.

General errors

GCM	Calculated value could not be determined due to missing data
GCR	Calculated value could not be determined due to rejected data
GDM	Data missing or sample never collected
GQD	Data rejected due to QA/QC checks
GQS	Data suspect due to QA/QC checks
GSM	See metadata

Sensor errors

SBL	Value below minimum limit of method detection
SCB	Calculated value could not be determined due to a below MDL component
SCC	Calculation with this component resulted in a negative value
SNV	Calculated value is negative
SRD	Replicate values differ substantially
SUL	Value above upper limit of method detection

Parameter Comments

CAB	Algal bloom
CDR	Sample diluted and rerun
CHB	Sample held beyond specified holding time
CIP	Ice present in sample vicinity
CIF	Flotsam present in sample vicinity
CLE	Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled
CRE	Significant rain event
CSM	See metadata
CUS	Lab analysis from unpreserved sample

Record comments

CAB	Algal bloom
CHB	Sample held beyond specified holding time
CIP	Ice present in sample vicinity
CIF	Flotsam present in sample vicinity
CLE	Sample collected later/earlier than scheduled
CRE	Significant rain event
CSM	See metadata
CUS	Lab analysis from unpreserved sample

Cloud cover

CCL	clear (0-10%)
CSP	scattered to partly cloudy (10-50%)
CPB	partly to broken (50-90%)
COC	overcast (>90%)
CFY	foggy
CHY	hazy
CCC	cloud (no percentage)

Precipitation

PNP	none
PDR	drizzle
PLR	light rain
PHR	heavy rain
PSQ	squally
PFQ	frozen precipitation (sleet/snow/freezing rain)
PSR	mixed rain and snow

Tide stage

TSE	ebb tide
TSF	flood tide
TSH	high tide
TSL	low tide

Wave height

WH0	0 to <0.1 meters
WH1	0.1 to 0.3 meters
WH2	0.3 to 0.6 meters
WH3	0.6 to > 1.0 meters
WH4	1.0 to 1.3 meters
WH5	1.3 or greater meters

Wind direction

N	from the north
NNE	from the north northeast
NE	from the northeast
ENE	from the east northeast
E	from the east
ESE	from the east southeast
SE	from the southeast
SSE	from the south southeast
S	from the south
SSW	from the south southwest
SW	from the southwest
WSW	from the west southwest
W	from the west
WNW	from the west northwest
NW	from the northwest
NNW	from the north northwest

Wind speed

WS0	0 to 1 knot
WS1	> 1 to 10 knots
WS2	> 10 to 20 knots
WS3	> 20 to 30 knots
WS4	> 30 to 40 knots
WS5	> 40 knots

17) Other remarks/notes –

Data may be missing due to problems with sample collection or processing. Laboratories in the NERRS System submit data that are censored at a lower detection rate limit, called the Method Detection Limit or MDL. MDLs for specific parameters are listed in the Laboratory Methods and Detection Limits Section (Section II, Part 12) of this document. Concentrations that are less than this limit are censored with the use of a QAQC flag and code, and the reported value is the method detection limit itself rather than a measured value. For example, if the measured

concentration of NO₃F was 0.0005 mg/l as N (MDL=0.0008), the reported value would be 0.0008 and would be flagged as out of sensor range low (-4) and coded SBL. In addition, if any of the components used to calculate a variable are below the MDL, the calculated variable is removed and flagged/coded -4 SCB. If a calculated value is negative, it is rejected and all measured components are marked suspect. If additional information on MDL's or missing, suspect, or rejected data is needed, contact the Research Coordinator at the Reserve submitting the data.

Note: The way below MDL values are handled in the NERRS SWMP dataset was changed in November of 2011. Previously, below MDL data from 2007-2010 were also flagged/coded, but either reported as the measured value or a blank cell. Any 2007-2011 nutrient/pigment data downloaded from the CDMO prior to November of 2011 will reflect this difference.

Due to a loss of UGA staff and reoccurring instrument challenges, it was decided that SAP NERR nutrient samples would be sent to an external lab for analysis. January and February 2016 nutrient samples were sent to Avery Laboratories & Environmental Services in Savannah, Georgia. Once sample reports were received, it was noticed that the MDLs were extraordinarily high. It was explained that this was due to matrix effects necessitating dilutions of quality control checks and thus, samples. In addition, this lab only reported one result per sample. The remaining samples, March through December 2016, were sent to Flowers Chemical Laboratories in Altamonte Springs, Florida for analysis.

Several storms took place during this sampling year that affected our samples, and thus data. During Tropical Storm Hermine, we lost the August 2016 chlorophyll-a samples due to a loss of power to our labs. We also lost the September 2016 samples due to Hurricane Matthew making landfall in late September 2016. The coastal area where our lab is located was under mandatory evacuation and after landfall, the power was out in the area for several days.

Sample/parameter hold times: Nutrient samples are held at -4°C, chlorophyll-a filters are held at approximately -20°C. If held at -20°C, NERRS SOP allows nutrient samples to be held for up to 28 days (CHLA for 30), plus allows for up to 5 days for collecting, processing, and shipping samples. If held at warmer temperatures, samples should be processed immediately. Samples held beyond what is allowed by NERRS SOP are flagged/coded 1 CHB in the data file. All nutrient samples for 2016 were held beyond acceptable time.

Collection date	Sample Set ID	Parameter(s)	Date of analysis	Parameter	Date of analysis
1/20-21/16	ISCO	NH4, NO23, PO4	Data rejected	CHLA	2/18/2016
1/21/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23, PO4	Data rejected	CHLA	1/25/2016
2/17-18/2016	ISCO	NH4, NO23, PO4	Data rejected	CHLA	3/17/2016
2/18/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23, PO4	Data rejected	CHLA	3/17/2016
3/16-17/2016	ISCO	NO23, PO4	7/28/2016	CHLA	3/22/2016
3/17/2016	Grabs	NO23, PO4	7/28/2016	CHLA	3/24/2016
3/16-17/2016	ISCO	NH4	7/29/2016		
3/17/2016	Grabs	NH4	7/29/2016		
4/13-14/2016	ISCO	NH4, NO23, PO4	12/5/2016	CHLA	5/26/2016
4/14/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23, PO4	12/5/2016	CHLA	5/26/2016
5/25-26/2016	ISCO	NH4, NO23, PO4	12/20/2016	CHLA	5/26/2016
5/26/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23, PO4	12/20/2016	CHLA	5/26/2016
6/29-30/2016	ISCO	NH4, NO23, PO4	1/30/2017	CHLA	7/28/2016
6/30/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23, PO4	1/30/2017	CHLA	7/28/2016
7/20-21/2016	ISCO	NH4, NO23, PO4	3/22/2017	CHLA	8/18/2016
7/21/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23, PO4	3/22/2017	CHLA	8/18/2016
8/24-25/2016	ISCO	NH4, NO23	3/22/2017	CHLA	
8/25/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23	3/22/2017	CHLA	
8/24-25/2016	ISCO	PO4	3/23/2017		
8/25/2016	Grabs	PO4	3/23/2017		
9/28-29/2016	ISCO	NH4, NO23, PO4	4/19/2017	CHLA	
9/29/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23, PO4	4/19/2017	CHLA	
10/26-27/2016	ISCO	NH4, NO23, PO4	5/5/2017	CHLA	12/6/2016
10/27/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23, PO4	5/5/2017	CHLA	12/6/2016
11/16-17/2016	ISCO	NH4, NO23, PO4	5/19/2017	CHLA	12/12/2016
11/17/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23, PO4	5/19/2017	CHLA	12/12/2016
12/14-15/2016	ISCO	NH4, NO23, PO4	5/19/2017	CHLA	1/17/2017
12/15/2016	Grabs	NH4, NO23, PO4	5/19/2017	CHLA	1/31/2017

The following is an explanation for the January and February 2016 nutrient data being coded {CSM}:

- Due to analytical instrument failure in the UGA Marine Extension and Georgia Sea Grant Brunswick Laboratory, the January and February nutrient samples were sent to a commercial lab for analysis. Avery Laboratories and Environmental Services, LLC had been used successfully in the past for nutrient analysis, so that is where these samples were sent. Upon receiving the results, it was noticed that the MDL values were extremely high. When the laboratory manager was contacted, it was explained that the (salt) matrix interferences were the reason for the high MDL values. The QC matrix samples had to be diluted to achieve passing recovery values, and then the samples were treated in the same way. Due to the dilution factor resulting in extraordinarily high MDL values, the January and February 2016 nutrient data were rejected. The remaining samples for the year were sent to a different lab.