Metadata File for Waquoit Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve, Waquoit, MA (October

1995-December 1995)

Latest Update: December 16, 1999

- I. Data set and Research Descriptors
- 1. Principal investigator and contact person Richard E. Crawford, Ph.D. Research Coordinator  ${\sf Research}$

PO Box 3092

Waquoit, MA 02536

508-457-0495 extension 105

wbnerr@capecod.net

# 2. Entry verification:

The data are uploaded in three file formats (each to separate files identified with the same file

name but with unique extensions) from the YSI 6000 data logger to a PC with the YSI 6000

data transfer software (Kermit). Two of these (PC6000 and ASCII text formats) are kept on

file in the WBNERR archive. The comma delimited format data file is imported to the  $\ \ \,$ 

EXCEL spreadsheet program (version 5.0) where it is processed according to standard NERRS

CDMO protocol using the CDMO Excel  $5.0\ \mathrm{macros}$ . File size of one complete month of data

each has been achieved by concatenating (and truncating if necessary) shorter files of

interrupted data segments. File contents are examined for anomalies (e.g., sensor

malfunction, battery failure, spurious values, etc.) by visualization of data with the program  $\,$ 

GRAPHER (ver. 1.3). Data requiring editing are noted and copies of the graphs of raw data

are saved at WBNERR. Data editing to CDMO protocol is conducted in EXCEL. Missing data

(data logger malfunction or maintenance periods) are represented by periods ("."). Outliers  $\,$ 

(data values not within the design range of the respective sensors except for turbidity and

depth) are changed to periods. Data values recorded during deployment or retrieval (i.e., when

the instrument was out of the water or in the laboratory) are also changed to periods.  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{A}}$ 

record of changes is documented in the Data Anomalies section of the metadata file.

The monthly files are sent electronically by FTP to CDMO. Copies of all files are retained at the reserve.

#### 3. Research Objectives:

The primary issue of concern for the Waquoit Bay ecosystem is the influence of

anthropogenic induced alterations by nitrogen enrichment. Waquoit Bay receives nitrogen

from several sources, such as septic systems (their leachate percolates into groundwater which

then enters the bay), run off from roads, run off containing domestic and agricultural  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{G}}$ 

fertilizer and animal waste, and atmospheric sources. This elevated nitrogen loading to the

bay has resulted in eutrophic conditions that have contributed to alterations of habitats in the

bay. For example, thick mats of seaweeds now cover the bottom where eelgrass meadows

thrived in the 1970's. Unfortunately, there are few definitive records of bay conditions during

that period, which makes it difficult to evaluate the rates of change. To facilitate future

evaluation, long-term records from data loggers are being compiled to track several

parameters that describe conditions in this eutrophic system. Of particular interest are

measurements of dissolved oxygen and turbidity. These long-term records will facilitate

evaluation of changes which may come about from a continuation of watershed alteration

that result from "traditional" development (i.e., non-sewered residential areas served by

private septic systems typically consisting of septic tanks and leach fields) as well as non-

industrial commercial development, such as golf courses, cranberry bogs, and "mini shopping

malls". The records will be useful for evaluating the efficacy of remediation efforts intended

to reduce the nitrogen loading from these sources to Waquoit Bay.

One data logger, considered the "baseline" unit, is located in central Waquoit Bay at latitude

41 o 34' 00" N, longitude 70 o 31' 47" W. Logger sensors are 0.75 m above the bottom. The

site has depths that typically range between 1.8 m to 2.5 m during a tide. It is well flushed by

tidal currents, is sufficiently exposed to all points of the wind (i.e., only minimally influenced

by shoreline features), and is adequately removed from the navigation channel to be  $% \left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{$ 

minimally affected by boating activities. Data from this unit are considered to represent

ambient environmental conditions within the bay at the time of a sample.

A second data logger, with sensors also  $0.75~\mathrm{m}$  above the bottom, is located in a slightly

deeper basin (2.3 - 3.0 m) at the head of Waquoit Bay. More than 200 boat moorings are in

this basin. During the last decade, there have been fish kills in this area, as evidenced by

sightings of dead and dying fish and shellfish along the basin's shore. Preliminary data suggest

that low concentrations of dissolved oxygen that occur near dawn (daybreak hypoxia) are the

cause. Oxygen levels in the bay are the lowest each day at dawn due to respiration by biota in

the bay, particularly the copious quantity of seaweeds that have become exceedingly abundant

due to nitrogen loading. On most days sufficient sunlight for photosynthesis usually reaches

the bottom of the shallow bay and dissolved oxygen concentrations increase quickly after

sunrise. However, if fog sufficiently reduces insolation, oxygen production by photosynthesis

may be severely limited. If such conditions persist, fish (e.g., juvenile winter flounder,

Pleuronectes americanus) and shellfish (e.g., bay scallop, Argopectin irradians) may be killed

due to inadequate oxygen. Data from the northern and central basins and the  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{WBNERR}}$ 

meteorological station will help us learn about the scale and dynamics of these events and  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right)$ 

their frequency of occurrence.

Another parameter of direct interest at WBNERR is turbidity. Since the mid 1970's, the

major primary producer of the Waquoit Bay ecosystem has shifted from eelgrass to

macroalgae. The seaweed dominated system relies on the energy subsidy of eutrophication (in

this case nitrogen) to sustain its prolific productivity. But it has been hypothesized that

eventually, shifts in trophic energy flow through the "artificially sustained" ecosystem will

alter the delicate equilibrium that supports the proliferation of seaweeds. This may result in

an increase in phytoplankton abundance which would decreases the amount of light reaching

the benthic algae, causing it to die. This would release nutrients that would further fuel the

growth of phytoplankton until the system is no longer dominated by seaweed. An increase in

phytoplankton concentration would result in an increase in ambient turbidity levels that

would be detectable by moored data logger instruments. Thus, long-term records of turbidity  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right)$ 

will be extremely valuable should such a scenario occur. However, this important parameter is

also affected by weather (wind and rain), land use, hydrography, and boating. Understanding

how these variables influence turbidity is a topic of ongoing research by staff at the reserve.

## 4. Research methods

There are two sampling sites. One is in the central portion of the bay and is considered to

provide baseline measurements of "ambient" conditions. A second data logger is deployed in

the mooring basin at the head of Waquoit Bay. This logger monitors the  $\operatorname{dynamics}$  of

daybreak hypoxia that is prevalent in this area of the bay.

The data loggers at the two sites in Waquoit Bay record measurements of temperature,

specific conductivity, salinity, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, water level, and pH at thirty

minute intervals during deployment periods of roughly two weeks. The sampling season is

generally from March to December. However, this is dependent on weather, the amount of

ice on the bay, and the operational availability of the boat that serves as tender for the loggers.

Each PC6000 data logger is anchored with a 75 pound mooring to which two lines are

attached. One line is for a surface float that marks the location. The other line is shorter and

terminates at a smaller float; line length is such that the float remains submerged throughout

tidal changes. The data logger is attached to this line in a vertical manner so that the sensors

(pointing downward) are suspended  $75~\mathrm{cm}$  from the bottom. This system was chosen to

minimize opportunities for vandalism. Unfortunately, because the data logger tender is small,  $\$ 

handling the weight of the mooring can exceed the limits of safety for data logger  $\,$ 

deployment/retrieval operations on windy days. As such, data logger field operations are

exceed those required for routine maintenance and calibration schedules, particularly when

staffing levels are low.

During colder months, continuous operations are limited to about two weeks due to limited

battery life. In the warmer months, particularly June - September, biofouling of the sensors

also limits continuous operation to about two weeks even though battery performance during

this period would allow longer deployments.

After a deployment, each logger is brought back to the laboratory for post calibration check,

data downloading, instrument and sensor cleaning, and calibration. These procedures are

carried out according to the methods described in the YSI Operating Manual. Salinity sensors

were calibrated with reference seawater that had been previously analyzed with a Guildline

salinometer at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Oxygen calibration was performed

with the aid of a laboratory grade barometer. pH sensors were calibrated with  $7.0~\mathrm{and}~10.0~\mathrm{pH}$ 

standard solutions (2-point calibrations). Turbidity standard solutions were prepared from

formazin dilutions that were checked with a LaMotte Model 600 turbidity meter (calibrated  $\alpha$ 

with a 2-point calibration using LaMotte turbidity standards). Temperature sensors were not calibrated.

During the summer months, oxygen membranes were changed during each maintenance

period (an operation so noted in metadata file Section 13). During colder months, when

biofouling is less of a concern, membranes may have been used for two deployments. When

membranes were reused, they were cleaned with the water jet from a Water Pick dental

instrument. If a membrane was replaced, calibration was not done until the membrane had

been in place for at least 24 hours. Final calibration was done immediately prior to instrument deployment.

## 5. Site location and character:

The Waquoit Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve (WBNERR) is located in the

northeastern United States on the southern shore of Cape Cod, Massachusetts. About 8,000

people maintain permanent residency in Waquoit Bay's drainage area, which covers parts of

the towns of Falmouth, Mashpee, and Sandwich. During summer months, the population  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right)$ 

swells 2-3 fold.

WBNERR is representative of shallow coastal lagoons that occur from Cape  $\operatorname{\mathsf{Cod}}$  to  $\operatorname{\mathsf{Sandy}}$ 

Hook, New Jersey. WBNERR is within the Virginian biogeographic province, on the

transitional border (Cape Cod) between the Virginian and the more northern Acadian biogeographic province.

Like many embayments located on glacial outwash plains, Waquoit Bay is shallow, fronted by

prominent barrier beaches (i.e., those of South Cape Beach State Park and Washburn Island),

and is backed by salt marshes and upland forests. Two narrow, navigable inlets, reinforced

with granite jetties, have been constructed through two barrier beaches to connect Waquoit

Bay with Nantucket Sound to the south. A third shallow and generally unnavigable inlet

opened through the Washburn Island barrier beach during Hurricane Bob in August 1991. It

has not been reinforced and its fate remains uncertain.

The eastern shore of uninhabited Washburn Island, with its low, steep bluffs and forested

uplands, forms the western boundary of the reserve. However, tidal waters enter and leave the

bay proper (and the Reserve) via the so-called Seapit and Eel rivers, which funnel tidal flows

along the western shore of Washburn Island, through Eel Pond and ultimately to Nantucket Sound.

Dense housing developments cover the two peninsulas that form the western shore of the

two rivers. Although the developments are outside of the Reserve boundaries, nitrogen in

discharges from their septic systems (which flows ultimately into the bay via groundwater)

and in fertilizer run-off from their lawns has significant effects on the functioning of the

Waquoit Bay ecosystem complex. The impacts of these effects have been a subject of study

at the Reserve since its designation (1988). An outcome of this research has been the

delineation of subwatersheds within the overall drainage area for Waquoit Bay, of which

WBNERR is a small part. This knowledge allows robust experimental designs (e.g.,

substitution of space for time) which augment results from work done within and near to the Reserve.

At the northern end of the Bay, an area comprising a separate subwatershed, coastal bluffs of

glacial till rise 30 feet above sea level, the highest land within the Reserve. Adjacent to the

bluffs, the northern basin of the bay is its deepest area (2.3 m mean low water), albeit much

of the remainder of the bay is only slightly shallower (roughly  $1.6\ \mathrm{m}$ ). The NB data logger is

located within this basin. Bourne, Bog, and Caleb ponds are freshwater kettle hole ponds on

the northern-most shore of the bay. As components of the same subwatershed, they have a

common albeit minor freshwater outflow into the bay's northern basin via a narrow channel

through a brackish marsh. Although this flow is small, over the centuries it has created a

significant delta of coarse sand that overlies much finer sediments found at comparable

offshore distances elsewhere. The source of this sand is the sandy beach through which this

fresh water discharge must travel.

To the east and south, land in other subwatersheds surrounds several salt and freshwater

ponds, including Hamblin and Jehu Ponds, brackish salt ponds that are connected to the Bay

by the tidal waters of Little and Great rivers, respectively. The shorelines of the ponds are

developed with residences that are occupied both seasonally and year round. Hamblin Pond

and Little River are components of one subwatershed, and Jehu Pond and Great River are

elements of a separate subwatershed. Further south lies Sage Lot Pond. It is in the least

developed subwatershed which also contains the barrier beach and salt marsh ecosystem of the

reserve's South Cape Beach State Park. To the east of Sage Lot Pond and within the same

subwatershed, lies the highly brackish Flat Pond. It receives minimal tidal flows of salt water

from Sage Lot Pond through a narrow, excavated channel. The preponderance of the input

to Flat Pond is groundwater and run off, both of which are likely affected (e.g., nutrients,

pesticides, bacteria) by an adjacent golf course and near-by upper-scale residential  $\,$ 

development.

The largest source of freshwater to Waquoit Bay is the Quashnet/Moonakis River. (Although

named "river", this and the reserve's Childs River are more appropriately described as

"rivulets".) A component of yet another subwatershed, it originates in Johns Pond situated

north of the bay and traverses forests, cranberry bogs, residential areas, and the Quashnet

Valley Golf Course before entering the bay near the southern "boundary" of the northern

basin. ("Quashnet" applies to that portion of the river within the town of Mashpee, and

"Moonakis" refers to the brackish estuary at the river's mouth, lying in the town of

Falmouth. Quashnet will be used hereafter to refer to the entire river.) Although the "baseline

" data logger is roughly in the middle of the bay, it is also in the course of the discharge plume

of the river. The plume is only detectable by the CB data logger during high water flows. The

Quashnet River is the only component of the Waquoit Bay estuary system that has sufficient

numbers of coliform bacteria to cause it to be closed to shellfishing. The source(s) of this

bacteria is unknown. The Quashnet River delivers significantly less nitrogen to Waquoit Bay

than does the Childs River, which lies to the west and originates in Johns Pond.

The Childs River is the second largest input of freshwater to the Bay. A component of

another subwatershed, it runs through densely developed residential areas. The Childs River

subwatershed receives the highest nitrogen loading and is the largest nitrogen contributor to

the Waquoit Bay system of all the subwatersheds.

Another, albeit smaller, source of freshwater is the discharge of  $\operatorname{Red}$  Brook through brackish

marshlands into Hamblin Pond. Additional freshwater enters the bay elsewhere through

groundwater seepage, precipitation and the flows of small brooks. A significant groundwater  $\,$ 

flow enters the eastern end of the mooring basin at the head of the bay. There is relatively

little surface water runoff entering directly into the bay due to the high percolation rates of  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left($ 

Cape Cod's coarse, sandy soils.

Data loggers are located at two sites in Waquoit Bay. Site 1 (identified as "Central Basin" or

CB) is located at 41o 34' 00" N, longitude 70 o 31' 47" W. It is the reference site for the

WBNERR data logger water quality monitoring program. An instrument will be moored at

this site for the long term in order to collect baseline measurement of "ambient" conditions

within Waquoit Bay. This information will be considered to represent an integration of the

general conditions within the bay. The site is well flushed by tides, is 1.8-2.5 m deep, and is in

an area that is minimally disturbed by routine activities on the bay (e.g. boat traffic,

shellfishing, etc.). The Central Basin region was once covered by eelgrass (Zostera marina).

This plant that has all but disappeared from the bay in the last two decades and has been

replaced by seaweed, predominantly Cladophora vagabunda, Gracilaria tikvahiayae, and

Enteromorpha sp.. The CB site is southwest of the mouth of the Quashnet River. The  $\,$ 

stream's freshwater discharge into Waquoit Bay is typically  $5-10~{\rm ft}3~/{\rm s}$ . The resultant

discharge plume at these low flows has an undetectable influence on salinity at the CB site.

However, unusually high precipitation events or occasional releases of impounded water at an  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ 

upstream cranberry bog can significantly increase flow to > 30 ft3 /s. The stream's discharge

plume from these events results in slight reductions in salinity at CB. High precipitation is

most common in the spring although summer and fall are when rains from tropical

disturbances may occur. Discharges from cranberry bogs typically occur in the fall (harvest

time) and after the coldest periods of winter; they are likely to be brief events.

A second data logger is deployed in the basin at the head of Waquoit Bay (Northern Basin or

NB), at latitude 41 o 34' 44" N, longitude 70 o 31' 58" W. The basin is slightly deeper (2.3 -

 $3.0\ \mathrm{m})$  and less mixed than the central basin reference site (CB). Knowledge of the degree of

mixing at the two sites was originally derived from measurements made by reserve staff ( ${\tt CB}$ 

site) and from data obtained by the reserve's volunteer water quality monitoring group the

Waquoit BayWatchers who have collected depth profiles of Waquoit Bay water quality since

1993. The NB data logger, with sensors at 0.75 m above the bottom, monitors the hourly,

daily, weekly, and seasonal dynamics of daybreak hypoxia that is prevalent in this area of the bay.

6. Data collection period

Data collection in the central and northern basin of Waquoit Bay began October 11, 1995

and continued until December 15, 1995.

- 7. Associated researchers and projects None to report.
- 8. Variable sequence, range of measurements, units, resolution, and accuracy:

YSI 6000 datalogger

Variable Name Range of Measurements (units) Resolution Accuracy Date 1-12, 1-31, 00-99 (Mo,Day,Yr) 1 month, 1 day, 1 year NA Time 0-24, 0-60, 0-60 (Hr, Min, Sec) 1 hr, 1 min, 1s NA Temp -5 to 45 0.01 C +/-0.15C SpCOND 0-100 (mS/cm) 0.01mS/cm +/-0.5% of reading + 0.001 mS/cm Salinity 0-70 Parts per thousand (ppt) 0.01ppt +/-1.0% of reading or 0.1 ppt (whichever is greater)

DO 0-200 (% air Saturation) 0.1% @air saturation +/-2% @air sat. DO 200-500 (% air Saturation) 0.1% @air saturation +/-6% @air sat. DO 0-20 (mg/l) 0.01mg/l +/-0.2mg/l DO 20-50 (mg/l) 0.01mg/l +/-0.6mg/l Depth (shallow) 0-9.1 (m) 0.001m +/-0.018m pH 2-14 units 0.01units +/-0.2units Turb 0-1000 NTU 0.1NTU +/-5% of reading or 2 NTU (whichever is greater) Data columns are separated by tabs.

9. Coded variable indicator and variable code definitions
The file names are labeled according to site identifier code, month, year
(e.g., cb1095).

Identifier codes are: nb = northern basin site in Waquoit Bay, cb =
central basin site in

Waquoit Bay. The central basin site is the sp-called "reference" site.

### 10. Data anomalies

The instruments were not considered operational at WBNERR until October 11, 1995.

Review of data sets from the first three months of instrument use revealed that not all

sensors were consistently fully operational due to improper calibration and/or equipment set

up. When data values were clearly wrong they were discarded. Also, loggers occasionally took

readings during transport to or from the mooring site. These values, as well as those obtained

when the instruments were in the lab, were discarded.

During all three months, the dissolved oxygen values from the Central Basin data logger were

occasionally higher than expected, given our understanding of the study sites at the time.

This unexpected result is discussed in section 13.

There are several unexplainable spikes in the CB oxygen data. The amplitude of these spikes

is insufficient to render them as "outliers" but the cause of this instability in the data is

considered to be unlikely of biological origin (bubbles in the sensor?). These data have been retained.

For the remaining variables in the data sets, the anomaly record is as follows:

# October 1995:

Dissolved oxygen data (both concentration and saturation) during 10/11/95 14:00:00 to

16:30:00 were inexplicably high (actually increased until 16:30:00 when sunlight was

diminishing and oxygen concentrations ought to have been following suit). At 17:00:00

oxygen levels precipitously declined to values that were typical for that time of day

throughout the remainder of the month (10.5 mg/l or 135 % saturation). Because sensor

response was normal after 17:00:00, problem was attributed to a temporary condition that

caused a malfunction for the first few hours of data logging. Affected data were discarded. Ph

values during 10/11/95 14:00:00 to 10/12/95 06:30:00 were inexplicably low. The problem

was

apparently temporary because sensor output eventually exhibited "normal" behavior after a

"start up" period of instability. Sensor output during this time was judged to be meaningless

and data were discarded. Data collected after instability ceased were retained.

November 1995: none to report

December 1995:

Central Basin:

Specific conductivity and salinity data for the entire month were erroneous due to improper

calibration

of the sensor. The data were meaningless and were discarded.

North Basin:

Specific conductivity and salinity data for the entire month were erroneous due to improper  $\,$ 

calibration

of the sensor. The data were meaningless and were discarded.

11. Missing data

No turbidity probes were available for the year 1995. Therefore, no turbidity was collected.

October 1995:

Central Basin

No data until 10/11/95 13:30:00 when logger was placed in service. No Ph data from

10/11/95 14:00:00 to 10/12/95 06:30:00 due to sensor instability during this period.

Dissolved oxygen data (both concentration and saturation) during 10/11/95 14:00:00 to

16:30:00 were inexplicably high (sensor malfunction) and were discarded. Logger was returned

to lab for servicing at 10/31/95 16:00:00; data for the month ended then. No salinity data

10/11 1400-1630.

North Basin

No data until 10/11/95 14:00:00 when logger was placed in service. No pH data for the entire

month due to sensor malfunction.

No data after 10/31/95 16:00:00 when the instrument was removed for servicing.

#### November 1995:

The data loggers were kept in the laboratory until November 13. During this time reserve

personnel received factory supported training and the instruments received a thorough

calibration check. The loggers were redeployed on November 13.

Central Basin:

No data until 11/13/95 16:30:00 when logger was deployed.

No data after 11/27/95 08:30:00 when logger was removed for servicing.

### North Basin:

No data until 11/13/95 16:00:00 when logger was deployed.

No data after 11/27/95 09:00:00 when logger was removed for servicing.

#### December 1995:

Central Basin:

Specific conductivity and salinity data were discarded due to improper calibration of sensor.

No data after 12/15/95 09:30:00 when instrument was pulled for the winter due to ice in

Waquoit Bay (it was freezing over).

## North Basin:

Specific conductivity and salinity data were discarded due to improper calibration of sensor.

No data after 12/15/95 09:30:00 when instrument was pulled for the winter due to ice in

Waquoit Bay (it was freezing over).

# 12. Other remarks/notes

A surprising finding from this initial deployment is the larger variability in the diel changes of

dissolved oxygen levels in the Central Basin (CB). It was assumed that because the Northern  $\,$ 

Basin (NB) was less well mixed than CB, oxygen levels at logger depth would change more at  $\,$ 

NB during the course of a 24 hour period. The opposite was the case. This was considered to

be a result of the greater volume of water in the north basin area which provided a larger

reservoir of dissolved oxygen than was available at the CB location. As a result, diel oxygen

flux was greater at CB.

It has subsequently been learned (in 1998) that a persistent lateral front exists in the vicinity

of the CB mooring site. This front is apparently due to current flow patterns in the Waquoit

Bay estuary. Salinity and temperature values may vary several units across the front. Wind

forcing and tidal forces influence the position of the front and it may migrate through the CB deployment area several times during a month. This causes variation within the "reference" data set that may otherwise be unexplained.