WELLS (WEL) National Estuarine Research Reserve Water Quality Metadata January-December 2002

Latest Update: July 1, 2021

- I. Data Set & Research Descriptors
- 1). Principal investigator & contact persons:

Address:

Wells NERR

342 Laudholm Farm Road

Wells, ME 04090

Phone: (207)646-1555

FAX: (207) 646-2930

Contact Persons:

Dr. Michele Dionne, Research Coordinator

E-mail: dionne@wellsnerrcec.lib.me.us; (207) 646-1555 ext. 136

Scott Orringer, Research Associate

E-mail: sorringer@wellsnerrcec.lib.me.us; (207) 646-1555 ext. 112

Jim Dochtermann, SWMP Technician

E-mail: jdoc@wellsnerrcec.lib.me.us; (207) 646-1555 ext. 104

2). Entry verification:

The data are directly downloaded in the YSI-PC6000 format (as a .dat file) and $\frac{1}{2}$

the Comma Delimited format (as a .csv file) from the dataloggers to a PC lap-

top. The data are reviewed using the PC6000 software (Eco-Watch). Graphs and

basic statistics are then generated with the Eco-Watch program and the information is printed out for each data file. These graphs are used to determine any obvious data outliers and sonde and/or probe malfunction. All

downloaded data files from the dataloggers (both raw unedited .dat and $\frac{1}{2}$

files) are then transferred via disk to a Dell Inspiron 4100, where all files

are reviewed and formatted for CDMO and stored. Here the raw unedited .csv data

files are imported into Microsoft Excel 2000. After a complete month of data

has been recorded, each file is ready to review, which requires several steps.

The NERR CDMO QA/QC Excel macros are used for all data.

The CDMO cdmomac3.xls macro will allow the user to automatically format column

widths to the correct number of decimal places based on YSI sensor

specifications. It also allows the user to QA/QC each data logger generated

file for missing data points, fill all cells that do not contain data with

periods, and find all data points that fall outside the range of what the data

logger is designed to measure (i.e. outliers). The CDMO import.xls macro will

allow PC users with 30-minute data to automatically create a monthly Excel file

from a two-week deployment and insert periods for missing data. In addition,

in November 1999 a graphing capability was added to this macro allowing users to produce single parameter and missing data point graphs on a monthly basis.

A data file is created (from the merged raw unedited .csv data files) in ${\tt Excel}$

format with a full month of data. The first step is to make sure that the

parameter columns are in the correct order, specified by the NERR CDMO. If any

parameters are not collected due to probe failure or other causes, the cells

with this missing data are filled in with periods (.) and documented and explained in the appropriate section of the metadata. Secondly, missing dates

and times are inserted in the data file where data were not collected due to

maintenance, sonde failure, etc., and the cells with this missing data are

filled in with periods (.) and documented and explained in the appropriate

section of the metadata. The first NERR CDMO QA/QC Excel macro is then run to $\,$

determine if there are any missing dates and times; and if so these missing $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

dates and times are inserted. Next, the second NERR CDMO QA/QC Excel macro is

used to find and filter all data readings outside the sonde specification measuring range for each parameter. Here the outlier data generated by the

second macro are examined and determined as either explained or unexplained

anomalies, as specified by NERR CDMO Operations Manual (Version 4.0). All

anomalous data (explained and unexplained) are documented and explained in the

appropriate sections of the metadata. The explained anomalous data are then

removed from the data file and replaced by periods. The unexplained anomalous

data are investigated for validity based on weather data, field observations,

instrument diagnostics, and Eco-Watch printouts. If these data are rejected

from the file then these are removed and replaced by periods. Lastly, the third

and final NERR CDMO QA/QC Excel macro is used to reformat all the columns in the $\,$

data file to the correct number of significant digits. After this last step,

once the file has been completely formatted and edited as specified by ${\tt NERR}$

CDMO, the file is saved as a Tab delimited (.txt) text file and sent by ${\tt FTP}$ to

the CDMO. The metadata form is also submitted with the data file to the CDMO, sent also as a text file (text only with line breaks). Jim Dochtermann

and Scott Orringer are responsible for this task of entry verification with the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$

analyses of suspect and anomalous data. Michele Dionne supervises, proofs and

answers questions with the evaluation of suspect and anomalous data.

The use of the newest (Version 3.0) National Estuarine Research Reserve (NERR)

System-Wide Monitoring Program (SWMP) YSI 6-Series Multi-Parameter Water Quality

Monitor Standard Operating Procedures began in December 2000 when they became available.

3). Research objectives:

The Webhannet River estuary is located in proximity to heavily used beaches in Wells, Maine. It has a shoreline that is highly developed with

residential and commercial structures. The estuary receives water from a 14 sq.

 $\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{mi.}}}$ watershed that is well forested. We are measuring variations in hydrologic

variables in the Webhannet River estuary at the Head of Tide and at the Inlet

Data from Head of Tide will integrate surface and ground water inputs (from both

point and non-point sources) from the freshwater watershed into the estuary.

Data from the Inlet will integrate surface and ground water inputs from the $\ensuremath{\text{the}}$

freshwater watershed and the estuarine watershed. Differences in data between

the Head of Tide and the Inlet will indicate inputs from the estuarine portion

of the watershed (on the \mbox{ebb} tide), and inputs from the \mbox{Gulf} of \mbox{Maine} on the

flood tide. The instruments will track runoff events via salinity, and will

measure pollutant-carrying sediment particles via turbidity. Our working hypothesis is that the freshwater watershed is the primary source of sediment

and therefore potential NPS pollutants in the estuary. These two variables will

indicate the potential for non-point source pollutants to enter the estuary, and

whether they are of upland, estuarine, or Gulf of Maine origin. Other variables

measured by the data loggers (DO, temperature, pH, specific conductivity, and

water level) will provide important baseline data to track changes in the estuary's physicochemical parameters over the long term. These variables can be

affected by changes in human water use, and by natural or human induced changes

in inlet and river channel morphology, climate, and organic loadings. The Inlet $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Inlet}}$

site is heavily impacted at the Wells Harbor dock and is our long-term monitoring site. The Mile Road site is our creek site. The Head of Tide site is

relatively unimpacted, located just east of the Route One Bridge, and is our

roving site. The Little River Mouth site is our comparative system site.

4). Research Methods

The Wells NERR YSI monitoring program began in April 1995 at one site

(Head of Tide site-HT) and May 1995 at a second site (Inlet site-IN) in the

Webhannet River estuary. Two additional sites were added in 2002, Mile Road

site-ML began in March in the Webhannet River estuary and Little River Mouth-LM $\,$

in April in the Little River Estuary. The four data loggers are installed with

bottom moorings, as described below. Both data loggers have 1/4 inch black

vector mesh placed on the outside (using rubber bands) of the sonde guard to

prevent fouling and unwanted animals. All deployment structures (PVC tubes)

described below are labeled with the Wells NERR information.

*IMPORTANT CHANGES TO NOTE AT THE INLET SITE (IN) where our telemetry unit is

stationed: A new vented level YSI 6600 with its new vented level cable was

deployed to collect its first data on 5/4/99 at 12:00. The YSI telemetry unit

began collecting its first data on 3/16/98 at 10:30:00.

The Inlet site (IN) deployment methods are different than the other sites (HT,ML,LM), due to the installation of a YSI telemetry unit. A 23 foot, 4 inch

diameter high grade PVC tube was installed against a dock piling. Four steel

flat bars with bolts were used to attach this 23 foot PVC tube against the dock

piling. A 3 by 1.5 inch PVC transducer was glued on the inside bottom of the $\,$

PVC tube to allow the sonde to sit exactly $1.0\ \mathrm{meter}\ (3.28\ \mathrm{ft})$ off the bottom.

Several vertical holes, representative of the sonde guard, were cut out the

circumference near the bottom of the PVC pipe to allow water flow to the probes.

An "L" shaped steel bar with two end-holes is placed through two created slits

about a half of foot from the top of the PVC tube. A stainless steel wire

(1/16") is attached to the sonde bail using two stainless steel clips; and to

marine lock is attached through the other end of the "L" shaped steel bar to

hold the bar, wire, and sonde in place and for security. A PVC threaded cap $\,$

screws in to the threaded top of the PVC tube, also for security. A hole was

created in the PVC cap to allow the sonde to hook up with the telemetry unit

using the 50 foot cable. The collection of data parameters at the Inlet $({\tt IN})$

site are slightly different then at the roving sites, due to the telemetry

unit installation. Two to four week variable sampling periods were chosen due

to limitations created by the life of the dissolved oxygen membrane and probe

fouling. Battery power is not needed anymore within the sonde itself (although, $\$

battery power is needed during calibrations and downloading) at the time of

deployment at this site because of the telemetry unit's solar batter power.

Measurements of temperature, specific conductivity, salinity, percent saturation, dissolved oxygen, depth, pH, and turbidity are recorded at 30 minute

intervals throughout the deployment period. Time, date, and battery voltage are

no longer programmed to be recorded by the sonde, since these parameters are

already programmed with the Eco-Watch Program (see YSI's Eco-Watch Users Guide).

The other sites, Head of Tide (HT), Mile Road (ML), and Little River Mouth $\,$

(LM) are deployed similarly, except for sonde height off the bottom (see below).

This site uses a 5 foot, 4 inch diameter high grade PVC tube. The PVC tube is

attached to a 12 foot, heavy steel sign post using a stainless steel bolt at the

bottom of the tube, a stainless steel cable wrap at the top, and several thick

electrical cable ties in between. The steel sign post was pounded in about 6

feet into the river bottom, such that the bottom of the PVC tube was flat on the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PVC}}$

river bottom. The PVC tube has one 3 by 1.5 inch PVC transducer glued on the $\ensuremath{^{1}}$

inside bottom of the PVC tube to allow the sonde to sit exactly at a $\operatorname{certain}$

height off the bottom (see below). Several vertical holes, representative of

the sonde guard, were cut out the circumference near the bottom of the PVC pipe $\ \ \,$

to allow water flow to the probes. An "L" shaped steel bar with two end-holes

is placed through two created slits about a half of foot from the top of the $\ensuremath{\text{PVC}}$

tube. A stainless steel wire (1/16") is attached to the sonde bail using two

stainless steel clips; and to one end of the "L" shaped steel bar for sonde

deployment and retrieval. A marine lock is attached through the other end of

the "L" shaped steel bar to hold the bar, wire, and sonde in place and for

security. A flotation buoy is tied to the PVC tubes incase the deployment

structure ever gets dislodged. The deployment depth for these sites is such

that the probe-end of the data logger is secured 0.30 meters (1.0 ft) off the bottom.

Two to four week variable sampling periods were chosen for the data sonde

due to limitations created by the life of the dissolved oxygen membrane, probe

fouling, and limited battery power. Measurements of temperature, specific

conductivity, salinity, percent saturation, dissolved oxygen, depth, pH, and

turbidity are recorded at 30 minute intervals throughout the deployment period.

After the deployment period, the data logger is brought back into the

Wells Reserve Laboratory for downloading, cleaning, and calibration. These

procedures are carried out to the methods described in the YSI Operating Manual.

Calibration standards are needed and used for only specific conductivity (10 $\,$

mS/cm), pH (buffer solutions of pH 4, 7, and 10), and turbidity (100 NTU).

Conductivity and pH standards are purchased from Fisher Scientific. Turbidity

standards are purchased from Advanced Polymer Systems, Inc. (Redwood City, CA).

The dissolved oxygen membranes are replaced and sit 6-24 hours before each

deployment. After approximately 6-24 hours of down time for cleaning, maintenance and recalibration, the YSI Data logger is redeployed for another

sampling period. Also, with our extra data sonde, we have been reducing the

amount of time of missing data from calibrations and maintenance for this site.

The use of the newest (Version 3.0) National Estuarine Research Reserve (NERR)

System-Wide Monitoring Program (SWMP) YSI 6-Series Multi-Parameter Water Quality

Monitor Standard Operating Procedures began in December 2000 when they became available.

5). Site location and character

The Wells National Estuarine Research Reserve is located in York County,

within the Town of Wells, on the coast of southern Maine and faces the $\operatorname{Atlantic}$

Ocean. The Wells NERR is approximately $31\ \mathrm{km}\ (20\ \mathrm{miles})$ south of Portland,

Maine and 110 km (70 miles) north of Boston, Massachusetts. The Reserve encompasses 1,690 acres along the Gulf of Maine coastline of tidally-flushed

wetlands, riparian and transitional upland fields and forests within the Little

River Estuary and the larger Webhannet River Estuary. Both estuaries arise in

the sandy glacial outwash plain about eight miles inland. Both rivers empty

into Wells Bay, a sandy basin stretching for approximately ten miles along the

Atlantic coast. Bordering each river's inlet are double spit barrier beaches

attached to the mainland. The backbarrier system in the Webhannet River Estuary

is approximately $5~\rm sq.~km$ and is composed of large intertidal marshes (predominantly $S.~\rm patens$ and $S.~\rm alterniflora)$, intertidal sand and mud flats,

and tidal channels. The watershed for the Webhannet River estuary covers an

area of 35 sq. km and has a total of 6 streams, brooks or creeks, which enter

the estuary. These tributaries flow across sand and gravel deposits near the

headwaters and the impermeable sandy muds of the Presumpscot Formation in the

lower reaches. The watershed for the Little River estuary covers an area of 84

 $\operatorname{sq.}$ km and has a total of 2 tributaries. The backbarrier system in the Little

River Estuary is approximately $2.51~\mathrm{sq.}$ km and is composed of large intertidal

marshes (predominantly S. patens and S. alterniflora), intertidal sand and $\mbox{\it mud}$

flats, and tidal channels. The Webhannet River is connected to the ocean via

Wells Inlet, which has a spring tidal prism of 28,200,000 cub. m (Ward 1993).

The Little River is connected to the ocean by an unstructured, double spit

system and is one of the few tidal inlets along the southern Maine coast that is

not stabilized by either natural outcrops or artificial jetties. The force and

volume of tidal action affect the salinity level of both rivers. In the Wells

region, the annual mean wave height is almost 20 inches. These estuarine systems are dominated by semi-diurnal tides having a range of 8.5 to 9.8 feet.

The volume of freshwater influx into both estuaries is moderate to low (on the

order of $0.5\ \text{cubic meters/second}$), especially in the summer, because of the

rivers' relatively small drainage areas and the presence of deep glacial deposits. The relatively low flows from these two rivers taken in with the 20

inch per year average runoff of the area surrounding the estuaries combine to

form a fresh water flow which is dwarfed by tidal flushing. Twelve-foot tides

dwarf the freshwater flow into the Webhannet estuary, which has a drainage area

of 14.1 square miles. The Merriland River and Branch Brook meet south of Route 9

to form the Little River which drains an area of $10.75~\mathrm{sq.}$ miles. The Webhannet

estuary, fed by both Blacksmith and Depot Brooks, is adjacent to the harbor and

greatly developed land. It offers a valuable opportunity for comparison with

the relatively pristine Little River estuary. The land use of the Webhannet

estuary include a total of 15% for wetland, fresh water, and tidal marsh; a

total of 63.7 $\mbox{\$}$ for woodland; and a total of 18.6 $\mbox{\$}$ for developed land compared

to a total of 5.7% development in the Little River estuary (WNERR RMA 1996;

Holden 1997).

There are three sampling sites in the Webhannet River estuary. These are

located at the Head of Tide, Mile Road, and at the Inlet. The tidal range at $\,$

each of these sites is 2.6-2.9 meters.

The Head of Tide site is located 4 miles south of the Wells Reserve, just $\ \ \,$

downstream of the Webhannet Falls (freshwater) and 10 feet east of Route One (43

deg 17' 54.25227" Latitude, 70 deg 35' 13.82728" Longitude). Route One is used

heavily with traffic all year, especially during the summer tourist months.

This site has soft mud, sand, and a rocky substrate, and the low and high tide

depth is relatively shallow. The salinity range here is $0-31~\mathrm{ppt}$, with a mean

of 3.6 ppt. These headwaters of the Webhannet are relatively undeveloped.

This site is located just 10 feet east of the Route One bridge, and is our $\ensuremath{\text{\text{out}}}$

roving site.

The Mile Road site is located 3.5 miles south of the Wells Reserve,

Mile Road roughly 300' north of the bridge (N43 deg 18.300' Latitude, W 70 deg

34.583 Longitude). This site has soft mud and a rocky substrate, and the low

and high tide depth is relatively shallow. The salinity range here is 3.6-33.4

ppt, with a mean of 27.0 ppt.

The Inlet site is located 1.5 miles south of the Wells Reserve, at the $\,$

Wells Harbor pier (43 deg 19' 12.44804" Latitude, 70 deg 33' 13.82728" Longitude). The mouth of the Webhannet estuary forms an extensive wetland/salt

marsh area which is surrounded by development. Wells Harbor, which was most

recently dredged in 1971, has moorings for approximately 200 commercial fishing

and recreational boats. The mouth of the river flows between two jetties to the

Atlantic Ocean. This channel was dredged in 1974. This site has a predominately sand substrate and is characterized by strong current during

incoming and outgoing tides. The maximum depth of the Inlet site is 3 meters.

The salinity range here is $7-35~\mathrm{ppt}$, with a mean of 31 ppt. The Inlet site is

heavily impacted at the Wells Harbor dock and is our long-term monitoring site

The Little River Mouth site is located .4 miles of the Wells Reserve. Due $\,$

to problems with heavy sediment movement in the inlet of the Little River, we

were forced to relocate the site (see Sec 14-Other Remarks/Notes). The first

location (N 43 deg 20.176 Latitude, W 70 deg 32.497 Longitude) was located in

the main channel of the river, just inland of a spit, beside a bank. The second

location (N 43 deg 20.083 Latitude, W 70 deg 32.585 Longitude) was located 1/8

 $\operatorname{mi.}$ southwest of the first site, within an inlet, just inland of a spit. The

second site was located in an area of much lower current than the first site and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

often drains completely during low tides. It was also placed within a pool next

to incipient low marsh peat that retains calm water during low tides. The tidal

range of the Little River estuary is 2.6-3.0 meters (Mariano and FitzGerald,

1988). Both sites were abandoned (see Sec 14-Other Remarks/Notes). The Little

River sites existed in a shallow and relatively pristine system with a sandy to

mud bottom and a salinity range of $0\,$ - $32\,$ ppt. There are two major freshwater

inputs, the Merriland and Branch Brook Rivers, which converge to form the Little River.

6). Data collection period:

The Webhannet River Head of Tide (HT) site data collection was redeployed

(after being pulled in every December from 1995-2001) on March 12, 15:00 and

pulled after December 9, 11:00 for the winter months to prevent ice damage.

This site gets a large amount of ice coverage from December through late March. The Webhannet River Mile Road (ML) site data collection was redeployed

(following a CICEET project) on March 19, 9:30 and pulled after December 9,

10:30 for the winter months to prevent ice damage. This site gets a large amount

of ice coverage from December through late March. The Webhannet River Inlet (IN)

site data collection began May 29, 1995, 13:00. The IN datalogger is ongoing

throughout the year and is considered our long-term monitoring site, as this

site remains relatively ice-free. The Little River Mouth (LM) site data collection began April 2, 10:00 and ended July 9, 16:00 due to high levels of sediment deposition in the mouth of the estuary.

Deployment and retrieval dates and times, model YSI 6-series sonde and turbidity

probe used for 2002:

BEGAN	ENDED		SONDE MODEI	TURB MODEL
Head of Tide Site				
03/12/02, 15:30	04/01/02,	11:30	6600	6136
04/02/02, 14:00		08:00	6600	6136
04/23/02, 10:00	05/14/02,	14:30	6600	6136
05/15/02, 14:00	06/07/02,	10:30	6600	6136
06/07/02, 10:30	06/27/02,	10:00	6600	6136
06/28/02, 13:30	07/18/02,	07:00	6600	6136
07/18/02, 08:30	08/13/02,	12:30	6600	6136
08/13/02, 15:00	09/13/02,	08:30	6600	6136
09/13/02, 10:30	10/01/02,	10:30	6600	6136
10/01/02, 14:00	10/28/02,	11:00	6600	6136
10/29/02, 11:30	12/09/02,	10:30	6600	6136
Mile Road Site				
03/19/02, 09:30		16:00		6136
04/11/02, 16:00		09:00	6600	6136
05/01/02, 11:00		09:00		6136
05/17/02, 09:30		13:30		6136
06/05/02, 14:30		11:30	6600	6136
07/02/02, 12:00	07/22/02 ,	15:30	6000	6026
07/22/02, 16:00	·	15:30	6600	6136
08/22/02, 16:00	09/13/02,	10:00	6600	6136
09/13/02, 11:00		13:30	6600	6026
10/01/02, 14:00		10:30		6136
10/29/02, 11:30	12/09/02,	10:00	6600	6136

7). Distribution

According to the Ocean and Coastal Resource Management Data Dissemination Policy

for the NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program,

 ${\tt NOAA/ERD}$ retains the right to analyze, synthesize and publish summaries of the

NERRS System-wide Monitoring Program data. The PI retains the right to be

fully credited for having collected and processed the data. Following academic

courtesy standards, the PI and NERR site where the data were collected will be

contacted and fully acknowledged in any subsequent publications in which any

part of the data are used. Manuscripts resulting from the NOAA/OCRM supported research that are produced for publication in open literature, including refereed scientific journals. Will acknowledge that the research was

conducted under an award from the Estuarine Reserves Division, Office of Ocean $\$

and Coastal Resource Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and

Atmospheric Administration. The data set enclosed within this package/ transmission is only as good as the quality assurance and quality control procedures outlined by the enclosed metadata reporting statement. The user bears all responsibility for its subsequent use/misuse in any further analyses

or comparisons. The Federal government does not assume liability to the Recipient of third persons, nor will the Federal government reimburse or indemnify the Recipient for its liability due to any losses resulting in any way

from the use of this data.

NERR water quality data and metadata can be obtained from the Research Coordinator at the individual NERR site (please see section 1. Principal investigators and contact persons), from the Data Manager at the Centralized

Data Management Office (please see personnel directory under general information

link on CDMO homepage) and online at the CDMO homepage

http://cdmo.baruch.sc.edu. Data are available in text tab-delimited format,

Microsoft Excel spreadsheet format and comma-delimited format.

8). Associated researchers and projects

WELLS NATIONAL ESTUARINE RESEARCH RESERVE RESEARCH AT THE RESERVE for 2002

(Please visit our website: www.wellsreserve.org/research.htm for further information on the Wells NERR research program) :

The Research Program at the Wells NERR conducts and supports research, monitoring, workshops, and research/resource management planning of relevance at

local, regional and national levels. The overall aim of our work is to produce $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

science-based information needed to sustain or restore Gulf of Maine coastal

habitats and resources, especially those found in salt marsh estuaries and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

watersheds. During 2000-2001 twenty-three different studies (involving 79

scientists, students, and staff from the Reserve, 26 academic institutions and

19 resource management groups) focused on several related themes:1) the quality

of water resources $\,$ in salt marsh estuaries and watersheds $\,$ 2) land conservation

strategies to protect coastal watersheds 3) factors controlling salt marsh

accretion, erosion and plant community vigor 4) the value of salt marsh as

habitat for fish, shellfish and birds, $\,$ and 5) restoration of salt marsh habitat

degraded through human actions.

Estuarine Water Resource Quality

Water quality is monitored continuously at several stations with automated

instruments as part of a NERRS systemwide monitoring program, as well as bimonthly at 15-20 stations through our WET volunteer monitoring program. The

WET program also monitors two important biological parameters: fecal coliform

bacterial contamination (an indicator of human health risk) and phytoplankton

productivity (an indicator of estuarine health). These data have 1) allowed us

to identify several bacterial "hot spots" that we will be working to eliminate,

2) are used to identify and open areas safe for shellfishing, and 3) have uncovered a relation between tides and low dissolved oxygen (a stressful condition for marine life) that needs further study. Our water quality work has

contributed to the designation of several Priority Watersheds in coastal Southern Maine by the Maine Department of Environmental Protection.

Coastal Conservation Strategies

The Coastal Mosaic Project is a new program developed in response to requests

for support from the conservation community to increase the quantity, quality

and ecological integrity of conserved lands in our region. Research staff

organize and facilitate meetings, workshops, and communications for 18 partner

conservation groups. A key element of the Project is the Conservation Resource

Center, a Reserve staffed GIS facility with a growing database able to provide maps of property, natural features and other data needed to develop

effective conservation goals and strategies. The Project is nearing completion $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

of conservation lands maps for 13 Southern Maine coastal towns, and is undertaking an initiative to develop coastal watershed conservation strategies

for 12 coastal watersheds within these towns. The Reserve has a particular

interest in educating communities about the ecologic and economic benefits of

land conservation, especially along estuarine and riverine shorelines.

Salt Marsh Habitats and Communities

Factors that control the dynamics and vigor of salt marsh plant communities and

marsh peat formation consequently determine the ability of a salt marsh to

persist in the face of sea level rise. Through a combination of experimental

manipulations and long term monitoring, a number of multi-year studies are

currently producing data to answer questions concerning the sustainability of

salt marsh habitats in this region. These studies are looking at nutrient-plant

relations, plant community responses to physical and hydrologic disturbance, and

the relative contribution of short-term natural events (e.g. storms) and human

activities (dredging, tidal restriction) on patterns of sediment accretion and

erosion. The Reserve's marshes and beaches are already among the best studied

sites in the U.S. with regard to long term accretion and erosion (over thousands of years).

HABITAT VALUE FOR FISH, SHELLFISH AND BIRDS

The Reserve combines long-term monitoring with periodic surveys and short-term

experiments to identify species and measure trends and changes in populations of

fish, crustaceans, clams and birds. We have 10 years of data on upland and

shore birds with which to assess the status of resident and migratory avian ${\bf r}$

populations, and 8 years of wading bird data that we use as a gross level indicator of salt marsh health, which appears to be stable. Our periodic larval, juvenile and adult fish surveys have produced the best available data

for fish utilization of salt marsh estuaries in the Gulf of Maine. In the

coming year we plan to develop a long-term monitoring program for finfish that

will be coordinated with other sites within the Gulf of Maine and along the east

coast. Since 1994 we have been conducting surveys and field experiments to look

at the survival and growth of hatchery seed, juvenile and adult softshell clam

with regard to habitat characteristics and predation by the invasive green crab.

Salt Marsh Degradation and Restoration

Salt marsh ecosystems in the Gulf of Maine have sustained themselves in the

face of sea-level rise and other natural disturbances for nearly five thousand

years. Since colonial times large areas of salt marsh (up to half of the total

area) have been lost through diking, draining and filling. Today, the remaining

marshland is fairly well protected from outright destruction, but during the

past 100 years, and especially since the 1950's, salt marshes have been divided

into fragments by roads, causeways, culverts and tide gates. Most of these

fragments have severly restricted tidal flow, leading to chronic habitat degradation and greatly reduced access for fish and other marine species. Since

1991, the Wells Reserve has been studying the impact of these restrictions on

salt marsh functions and values, and the response of salt marshes to tidal

restoration. We have been working to promote an awareness of the damage being

done and the benefits of salt marsh restoration throughout the Gulf of Maine.

Research Program Update:

In addition to the Reserve-sponsored projects outlined above, numerous visiting

investigators will be involved in on-site research. Topics include: the effects

of land use, sea level, and climate on estuarine productivity; the relationship

between soil nutrients and plant community patterns; the influence of

salinity on plant community interactions; the effect of tidal restriction on

marsh peat accretion; the comparative ecology of fringe marshes and back barrier

marshes; habitat use by upland birds, and the ecology of lyme disease.

• The Wells NERR Research Dept. is working on the following projects: "Ecological processes, energy pathways, and the impact of human activities on Maine marsh-estuarine secondary production: a salt marsh panne model". We used stable isotopic tracers (15N additions and naturally abundant 13C) coupled with secondary production measurements (nekton, invertebrates) to track energy flow on the high marsh surface in southern Maine salt marsh systems. The project is still under way.

"Ecological Functions of Fringing Salt Marshes Susceptible to Oil Spills in Casco Bay, Maine". We examined the ecological function of 9 different fringing marsh systems in Casco Bay that ranged from undisturbed to disturbed. Physical parameters measured included sedimentation rates, total suspended solids, and tidal range. Biological parameters included primary production, macroinvertebrate community composition and secondary production (4cm sediment cores), and resident and transient nekton community composition (fyke net). The project is still under way.

"BENTHIC HABITAT CORRELATES OF JUVENILE FISH DISTRIBUTION IN THE BIGELOW BIGHT AND ADJACENT ESTUARIES: LINKAGES BETWEEN FISH, HABITATS, SUBSTRATE

AND HUMAN ACTIVITY". This project was a collaboration between the Wells N.E.R.R. and several members of the local fishing community. Through the use of beam trawls, gill nets, fish traps, van veen ponar, and a sediment profile imager (SPI camera), we are attempting to correlate benthic habitat type to juvenil groundfish an dinvertebrate assemblages in estuarine, nearshore, and offshore habitat. Stations were also established near dredge spoil dump sites as well as sweage outflow to determine the impacts of human activity on the coast to benthic habitat. The project is still under way.

• The Wells NERR Research Dept. also completed the work on the following project:

In partnership with the York Rivers Association and the Town of York, the Wells

Reserve conducted a survey of the York River watershed. In this survey, volunteers looked for sources of pollution within a 250-foot buffer of the river

and its tributaries (erosion, trash and debris and runoff from roads and lawns

could have a negative impact on water quality). Most pollutants entering water

bodies come from such undefined sources. Therefore, this type of survey is

the best way to begin to address the problems of pollution in a water body. The

idea of the project was to work with the community and landowners to help them

understand the problems that come from these types of pollution and learn activities they might be able to do on their own land that would help prevent

this pollution from entering the water. The results of the survey will become

part of a Watershed Management Plan to improve and restore the water quality of

the York River.

- •The Wells NERR Research Dept. is involved with the following CICEET* Projects-
- I. Project Title: Estuarine Responses to Dredging: Analysis of Sedimentary and

Morphological Change in Back Barrier Marsh to Aid Local Management and Develop a

Regional Management Tool Principal Investigator (s): Michele Dionne, Wells NERR,

ME; Duncan Fitzgerald, Boston University; Joe Kelley, University of Maine; David

Burdick and Larry Ward, University of New Hampshire

Management Issue: Coastal management tool for assessing the impacts of dredging

in estuaries. Project Summary: An adequate supply of sediment is essential for

maintaining salt marshes. Human activities, such as channel dredging and tidal

restriction due to road construction, can alter water flows in estuaries and

result in dramatic changes in salt marsh sediment supply, affecting the speed of

salt marsh erosion. The objective of this project is to determine the impact of

dredging and tidal restriction on salt marshes in the Wells NERR. A digital

coastal management guide will be created on CD ROM, providing coastal managers

with useful conceptual models for predicting the impacts of dredging and other

activities that affect water flow and sediment deposition in salt marshes.

II. Project Title: Microbial Source Tracking in Two Southern Maine Watersheds. A

two year project written by Maine Sea Grant associate Kristen Whiting-Grant, and

funded by Cooperative Institute for Coastal and Estuarine Environmental Technology (CICEET), involving Wells NERR, UNH Jackson Estuarine Lab (JEL), USM

Muskie School, Ameri
Corps and the Maine Conservation Corps. We are pioneering

the use inMaine of genetic analysis as a means of determining the source species

associated with bacterial contamination in the Webhannet andLittle River Estuary. Volunteers collect water samples from streams and the estuaries, staff

test for and isolate E. coli.

At JEL, a genetic technique (ribotyping) creates a genetic fingerprint of the

bacteria which is compared to known sources. The project is still underway.

*The following information on CICEET taken directly from its website: (http://www.ciceet.unh.edu)

Other Onsite Research:

Michele Dionne, Wells NERR, Nancy McReel, Chuck Lubelczyk Project Title: Effect of herbivory by deer on forest regeneration

June Ficker

Project Title: Monitoring avian productivity and survivorship

Outside Researchers:

•Theresa Theodose, Ph.D., University of Southern Maine Project Title: Relationships between soil nutrient availability and species

composition of a high salt marsh in southern Maine.

•David Burdick, Ph.D. and Roelof Boumans, Ph.D.

University of New Hampshire, University of Maryland Project Title: Sediment dynamics in salt marshes: functional assessment of accretionary biofilters

•Peter Rand, M.D., Chuck Lubelczyk, Robert Smith, M.D.

Maine Medical Center

Project Title: Ecological determinants of the spread of the tick vector of Lyme

disease and other pathogens.

II. Physical Structure Descriptors

9). Variable sequence, range of measurements, units, resolution, and accuracy:

YSI 6000/6600 datalogger

Variable	Range of Measurements	Resolution	
Accuracy Date Time Temp	1-12, 1-31, 00-99 (Mo,Day,Yr) 0-24, 0-60, 0-60 (Hr,Min,Sec) -5 to 45 (c)		NA NA +/-
0.15C Sp COND Of	0-100 (mS/cm)	0.01mS/cm	+/-0.5%
reading $+ 0.0$	01mS/Cm		
Salinity of	0-70 Parts per thousand (ppt)	0.01 ppt	+/- 1%
_	1 ppt, (whichever is greater) 0-200 (% air saturation)	0.1% @air sat	+/-2%
Saturation			
DO	200-500 (% air saturation	0.1% @ air sat	+/- 6%
@			
Saturation			
DO	0-20 (mg/1)	0.01 mg/l	+/-
0.2mg/1			,
DO	20-50 (mg/1)	0.01 mg/l	+/-
0.6mg/l		0.001	. /
Depth (shallo	w) 0-9.1 (m)	0.001m	+/-
0.018m	0 14 11-	0.01	. /
PH	2-14 units	0.01 units	+/-
0.2units	0-1000 NTU	0.1 NTU	+/- 5%
Turb of	0-1000 NTO	U.I NTU	T/- 3る
	NTU (whichever is greater)		
MEGUTIIO OT Z	NIO (WHILCHEVEL IS GLEALEL)		

Reading or 2 NTU (whichever is greater)

Data columns are separated by tabs. Each file contains a two line column header at the top of the page which identifies measurements and units for each column.

10). Coded variable indicator and variable code definitions:

Site definitions: HT = Head of Tide of Webhannet River

ML = Mile Road near mid-point of estuarine portion of

Webhannet River

IN = Inlet at Webhannet River Mouth

LM = Little River Mouth

File definitions: YSI deployment site/waterquality/month/year (ex: INWO0702 =

Webhannet Inlet water quality data from July 2002).

11). Data anomalies:

January, 2002 Sampling Period

Head of Tide: None to report; sonde not deployed until March.

Mile Road: None to report; sonde not deployed until March.

Little River Mouth: None to report; sonde not deployed until April.

Inlet:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were collected sporadically

throughout the

following time span: 1/01 2:00 to 1/28 22:00 (logging period recorded 63 anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error. These data were not

deleted.

b) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive turbidity

spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from 1/1 0:30 through 1/28

19:00 (49 values with a range from 53 to 991 NTU; mean of 259 NTU); [not including the seven values > 1000 NTU listed below]; that were not consistent

with the overall data record. These suspect data were not deleted, as we are

not absolutely sure that these values are bad (the post calibration checked out $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

normal).

Date	Time	Turb
01/04/2002	04:30:00	1359
01/09/2002	11:30:00	1730
01/12/2002	23:00:00	1454
01/22/2002	10:00:00	1326
01/25/2002	18:00:00	1740
01/26/2002	08:00:00	1739
01/27/2002	05:00:00	1736

c) There was a high dissolved oxygen and percent saturation spike that was

not consistent with the overall data record. This suspect datum was not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that this value is bad (the post calibration checked out normal).

Date Time DO % DO mg/L 1/14 16:00 189.8 20.1

February, 2002 Sampling Period

Head of Tide: None to report; sonde not deployed until March.

Mile Road: None to report; sonde not deployed until March.

Little River Mouth: None to report; sonde not deployed until April.

Inlet:

- a) No data from 2/1 0:00 to 2/26 11:00; due to failure of telemetry cable causing damage to sonde.
- b) The following are 10 high positive turbidity spikes that were not consistent

with the overall data record. This suspect data was not deleted, as we are not

absolutely sure that these values were bad.

Date	Time	Turb
02/26/2002	18:00:00	2055
02/26/2002	20:00:00	1073
02/27/2002	03:30:00	1626
02/27/2002	10:00:00	1010
02/27/2002	14:30:00	2052
02/27/2002	17:00:00	2052
02/27/2002	21:30:00	2042
02/27/2002	22:30:00	2045

March, 2002 Sampling Period

Little River Mouth: None to report; sonde not deployed until April.

Head of Tide:

- a) No data on 3/1 0:00 to 3/12 15:00; this was the first logger deployment (3/12
- at 15:.30) at this site for 2002.
- b) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the

following time

span: 3/12 15:30 to 3/31 23:30 (logging period recorded 852 anomalies), possibly

due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These data were not deleted.

c) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (23 values with a $\,$

range of 0.00 to -0.02 m; mean of -0.01m) spanning 3/14 1:30 to 3/21 2:00. Sonde

probes suspected to be underwater as

other parameters check out normal.

These data were not deleted.

3/14 1:30-6:30

3/16 2:30-7:00, 8:00

3/21 2:00

Inlet:

a) Data points are missing on $3/6\ 10:30$ because sonde had to be momentarily

retrieved to remove telemetry cable.

b) A total of three small negative and/or zero turbidity values were collected

sporadically,

possibly due to a small calibration error. These data were not deleted.

Date Time Turb 03/02/2002 10:30:00 -0004 03/03/2002 11:00:00 -0002

b) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive turbidity

spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from $3/1\ 1:00$ through 3/6

7:00 (19 values with a range from 61 to 766 NTU; mean of 273 NTU). There were

also eleven sporadic high positive turbidity spikes (>1000 NTU) were collected

that were not consistent with the overall data record (range from 1321.1 to

2050.7 NTU, mean of 1787.6 NTU spanning 03/01 6:00 to 03/06 10:30). This suspect data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values

were bad.

Mile Road

a) No data on 3/1 0:00 to 3/19 9:00; this was the first logger deployment (3/19

at 9:30) at this site for 2002.

April, 2002 Sampling Period

Head of Tide:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the

following time span: $4/01\ 0:00$ to $4/7\ 7:30$ (logging period recorded 30 anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These

data were not deleted.

b) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive turbidity

spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from $4/15\ 7:30$ through

4/26 15:30 (333 values with a range from 51 to 198 NTU; mean of 144 NTU). This

suspect data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values

were bad.

Inlet:

a) The following datum is a high turbidity spike (>50 NTU) that is suspect but

was not deleted:

Date Time Turb 04/30/2002 20:30 0052

Mile Road:

a) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (149 values with a $\,$

range of 0.0 to -0.31 m; mean of -1.2m). Sonde probes suspected to be

```
underwater as other parameters check out normal. These data were not
deleted.
4/1
    9:00
4/3 10:30
4/12 5:30, 6:00
4/12 17:00-18:30
4/13 5:00-7:00, 17:00-19:00
4/14 5:00-7:30
4/14 18:00-19:00
4/15 6:00-8:00, 18:30-19:30
4/16 6:30-9:00, 19:00-20:00
4/17 7:00-9:30
4/18 8:30-9:30
4/19 9:00-11:00, 22:00
4/20 10:00-12:00
4/21 11:00-13:00
4/22 0:00, 12:00-14:00
4/23 1:00, 13:00-15:00
4/24 2:00-3:00, 14:30-16:00
4/25 2:30-4:30, 15:00-17:00
4/26 3:30-5:30, 15:30-18:00
4/27 4:30-7:00, 16:30-19:00
4/28 5:30-8:00, 17:30-19:30
4/29 6:00-8:30, 18:00-20:00
4/30 6:30-9:00
Little River Mouth:
a) All data was deleted from 4/20 11:00 to 4/23 14:30 due to the sonde
sensors
being submerged with sediment (see Sec 14-Other Remarks/Notes). Once the
was retrieved and rinsed, all post calibrations checked out normal.
b) The following high positive turbidity spikes were recorded and were
not
consistent with the overall data record. This suspect data were not
deleted, as
we are not absolutely sure that the values were bad.
Date Time
                Turb
4/4 14:30
                0178
4/4 15:00
                0113
4/14 15:00
                0123
4/14 15:30
                0085
4/16 6:30
                0064
4/17 7:00
                0081
4/17 7:30
                0237
4/17 11:00
                0134
4/17 23:00
                0474
May, 2002 Sampling Period
```

Head of Tide:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the $\,$

following time

span: 5/15 14:00 to 5/31 23:30 (logging period recorded 730 anomalies), possibly

due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These data were not deleted.

b) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive turbidity

spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from 5/12 9:00 through

5/14 14:30 (12 values with a range from 50 to 148 NTU; mean of 73 NTU). This

suspect data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values were bad.

Inlet:

a) All turbidity data points were deleted from 5/13 22:30 through 5/15 13:30 due

to a malfunction with the probe wiper.

b) The following datum is a high positive point (>1000) and is suspect but was

not deleted as we are not absolutely sure that this value is bad.

Date Time Turb 05/08/2002 00:00 1162

c) The following are episodes of low dissolved oxygen (range from $2.6\ \text{to}$ 3.9

mg/l, mean of 3.5 mg/l) and percent saturation (range from 29.1 to 44.9%, mean

of 40.1%) during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that were not

consistent with the overall data record (at high tide the readings returned to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

showed drift (44.3%) we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad

05/30 7:00-8:00, 9:30, 19:30, 20:00-21:00, 07:30

05/31 8:00-10:00, 20:30-22:00

Mile Road:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the $\,$

following time span: 5/17 11:00 to 5/31 23:30 (logging period recorded 142

anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These

suspect data were not deleted.

b) Larger negative turbidity values were recorded throughout the following time

span: 5/17 9:30 to 5/31 23:00 (logging period recorded 541 anomalies), possibly

due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These suspect data were not

deleted.

c) The following high positive turbidity value was collected, and this suspect

data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values were bad.

Date Time Turb 05/30/2002 23:00 1975

d) The following is a shallow negative depth datum. Sonde probes suspected to be

underwater as other parameters check out normal. These data were not deleted.

Date Time Depth 05/03/2002 11:00:00 -0.02

Little River Mouth:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the $\,$

following time

span: 5/21 13:00 to 5/28 16:30 (logging period recorded 328 anomalies), possibly

due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These data were not deleted.

b) The following high positive turbidity spikes were recorded and were not

consistent with the overall data record. This suspect data were not deleted, as

we are not absolutely sure that the values were bad.

Date Time Turb 05/11 21:00 0054 05/14 10:00 0101 05/14 21:30 0073 05/15 23:00 0049

c) The following are episodes of low dissolved oxygen and percent saturation $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

during several low tide fluctuations that were not consistent with the overall

data record (at normal tides the readings returned to the consistency of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{L}}$

overall data record). These suspect data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other parameters, the dissolved

oxygen post-cal, and recalibration were good.

Date Time DO% Domg/L

5/18 13:00 014.4 01.5

5/31 22:00 017.7 01.5

5/31 22:30 011.8 01.0

5/31 23:00 008.7 00.7

5/31 23:30 007.4 00.6

d) The following are 33 episodes of high dissolved oxygen (from 9.4 to 11.7

mg/l, mean of 10.6 mg/l) and percent saturation (from 120.0 to 136.5%, mean of

124.8%) during several high tide fluctuations that were not consistent with

the overall data record (at normal tides the readings returned to the

consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not deleted,

as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other parameters, the

dissolved oxygen post-cal, and recalibration were good.

5/8 10:30

5/9 11:00

5/22 12:00, 13:30

5/23 10:30, 11:30

5/24 10:30-13:00

5/25 11:30-14:30

5/26 12:30-14:30

5/27 12:30-16:30

5/28 15:00

June, 2002 Sampling Period

Head of Tide:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

following time

span: 6/01 0:00 to 6/25 17:30 (logging period recorded 807 anomalies), possibly

due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These data were not deleted.

b) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive turbidity

spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from 6/6 9:30 through 6/27

 $8\!:\!30$ (21 values with a range from 50 to 105 NTU; mean of 62 NTU). This suspect

data were not deleted, as we are not a absolutely sure that these values were

bad.

c) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (36 values with a $\,$

range of 0.0 to $-0.09~\mathrm{m}$; mean of $-0.04~\mathrm{m}$). Sonde probes suspected to be underwater as other parameters check out normal. These data were not deleted.

6/11 15:00-16:00

6/26 14:00-22:30

6/27 3:00-10:00

Inlet:

a) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive turbidity

spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from 6/4 19:30 through

6/30 23:30 (189 values with a range from 50 to 161 NTU; mean of 59 NTU). This

suspect data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values $\ensuremath{\text{}}$

were bad.

```
b) The following are nine episodes of low dissolved oxygen (range from 2.5\ \mathrm{to}
```

3.9 mg/l, mean of 3.3 mg/l) and percent saturation (range from 29.0 to 45.2%,

mean of 37.9%) during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that were not

consistent with the overall data record (at high tide the readings returned to

the consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other parameters, the dissolved oxygen post-cal, and recalibration were good.

06/01 10:30, 21:00, 22:30

06/04 11:30, 12:00

06/05 00:30, 1:00, 1:30, 2:00

Mile Road:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the

following time span: 6/1 0:00 to 6/5 14:00, possibly due to a small calibration

error or faulty probe. These data were not deleted.

b) Larger negative turbidity values were recorded throughout the following time

span: 6/1 0:00 to 6/5 14:00 (logging period recorded 169 anomalies), possibly

due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These data were not deleted.

c) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive turbidity

spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from 6/19 23:00 through

6/30 23:30 (84 values with a range from 50 to 200 NTU; mean of 80 NTU); [not

including the one value > 1000 NTU listed below]; that were not consistent with

the overall data record, possibly due to a faulty probe. These suspect data were

not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad (the post

calibration checked out normal).

Date Time Turb 06/26/2002 10:00 1507

d) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (11 values with a $\,$

range of 0.0 to -0.08~m; mean of -0.4m). Sonde probes suspected to be underwater as other parameters check out normal. These data were not deleted.

6/12 6:30, 7:00

6/27 7:00-8:30, 19:30

6/28 7:30-9:00

e) The following are 96 episodes of low dissolved oxygen (from 0.1 to 3.0 mq/l,

mean of 0.14 mg/l) and percent saturation (low-range from 0.9 to 38.7%, mean of

17.5%) during several low tide fluctuations that were not consistent with the overall data record (at normal tides the readings returned to

consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not deleted,

as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other parameters, the

dissolved oxygen post-cal (101.4), and recalibration were good though fouling

was noticed on sonde quard and sensors upon retrieval. These fluctuations were consistent with extremely high and low tide events, with high and

specific conductivity and salinity readings.

6/20 1:00-2:00

6/21 2:00-3:30

6/22 2:30-5:00

6/22 15:00-16:30

6/23 4:00-6:00

6/24 4:30-7:00, 18:30

6/25 5:30-8:00, 18:00-19:30

6/26 6:00-8:30, 18:30-20:30

6/27 6:00-9:30, 19:30-21:30

6/28 6:00-10:00, 20:00-22:00

6/29 8:00-10:30, 21:00-23:00

6/30 9:00-11:30, 22:00-23:30

Little River Mouth:

a) All data was deleted from 6/26 22:00 to 6/30 23:30 due to the sonde sensors

being submerged with sediment (see Section 14 of metadata). Once the sonde was

retrieved and rinsed, all post calibrations checked out normal.

b) Small negative and zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the following time span: 6/21 21:00 to 6/26 13:30 (logging period recorded 98 anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These

data were not deleted.

c) The following high positive turbidity spikes were recorded and were

consistent with the overall data record. This suspect data were not deleted, as

we are not absolutely sure that the values were bad.

Date Time Turb 6/8 6:30 0085 6/9 4:00 0138 6/16 13:00 0048 6/19 9:00 0074 6/20 4:00 0079

b) The following are episodes of high dissolved oxygen and percent

during several high tide fluctuations that were not consistent with the overall

```
data record (at normal tides the readings returned to the consistency of
the
overall data record). These suspect data were not deleted, as we are not
absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other parameters, the
dissolved
oxygen post-cal, and recalibration were good.
Date Time DO% Domg/L
    12:00 125.1 10.3
6/1 12:30 129.7 10.5
6/1 13:00 132.0 10.7
6/1 13:30 126.7 10.1
6/1 14:00 123.4 09.7
6/2 14:30 124.5 10.0
6/24 12:30 121.4 09.8
6/24 13:00 127.9 09.8
6/24 13:30 123.9 09.3
6/25 14:00 122.1 09.7
6/26 14:30 142.9 10.7
6/26 15:00 122.7 09.1
c) The following are 246 episodes of low dissolved oxygen (from 0.0 to
1.7 mq/l,
mean of 0.7 mg/l) and percent saturation (from -0.3 to 19.9%, mean of
8.1%)
during several high tide fluctuations that were not consistent with the
overall data record (at normal tides the readings returned to the
consistency of
the overall data record). These suspect data were not deleted, as we are
absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other parameters, the
dissolved
oxygen post-cal, and recalibration were good.
Date Time
     0:00, 0:30, 21:30-23:00
6/1
6/2
     0:30-1:30
6/5 0:30-3:00, 5:00
6/6 1:30-5:30, 13:00-16:30, 18:00
6/7 1:00-6:30, 17:30-18:30
6/9
    3:00, 6:00-8:00, 18:30-20:00
6/11 17:00-20:30
6/12 5:00-9:30, 17:00-21:00
6/13 6:00-8:30, 17:30-22:00
6/14 6:30, 7:00, 9:00, 10:30, 11:00, 19:00-22:30
6/15 23:00
6/16 10:30-12:00, 20:00-23:30
6/17 0:00, 0:30, 8:30-10:00, 11:30, 12:30, 13:00, 19:00, 21:00-23:30
6/18 0:00-2:00, 9:00-10:00, 13:00-15:00, 19:30-23:30
6/19 0:00-3:30, 12:30-16:00, 23:00, 23:30
6/20 0:00-4:30, 12:30-17:00
6/21 0:00-6:00, 9:30
6/21 12:00
6/21 12:30
6/21 13:00
6/22 16:30-18:00
6/22 18:30
```

```
6/23 6:30-7:30
6/25 6:00
6/27 20:30-22:00
6/28 20:30-23:30
6/29 9:30, 18:00, 21:30-23:30
6/30 0:00, 0:30, 7:30, 13:00-23:30
July, 2001 Sampling Period
Head of Tide:
a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout
following time span: 7/18 22:30 to 7/31 22:30 (logging period recorded
anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error. These data were
not
deleted.
b) The following high positive turbidity spike was recorded and was not
consistent with the overall data record. This suspect datum was not
deleted, as
we are not absolutely sure that the value was bad.
           Time
                       Turb
07/23/2002 15:30
                       0055
c) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (139 values
range of 0.0 to -0.07 m; mean of -0.03m). Sonde probes suspected to be
underwater as other parameters check out
normal. These data were not deleted.
7/18 8:30-16:00
7/23 11:30-14:30
7/28 21:00-23:30
7/29 0:00, 0:30
7/29 4:00-13:30, 16:30-23:30
7/30 0:00-1:30, 4:30-14:00, 17:00-
                                        23:30
7/31 0:00-2:30, 5:00-14:30, 17:30-
                                        21:30
d) The following are episodes of high dissolved oxygen and percent
saturation
during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that were not
consistent with
the overall data record (at normal tides the readings returned to the
consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not
deleted,
as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other
parameters, the
dissolved oxygen post-cal, and recalibration were good. These
fluctuations were
consistent with extremely high and low tide events, with high and low
specific
conductivity and salinity readings.
Date Time DO% Domg/L
7/12 12:00 122.0 10.5
7/13 12:30 123.0 10.3
7/15 14:00 119.6 09.8
7/15 14:30 114.9 08.7
7/23 10:30 120.6 09.8
```

```
7/23 12:00 121.4 10.4
7/27 13:30 106.5 10.3
7/27 14:00 113.0 10.3
7/28 15:00 107.1 10.0
Inlet:
a) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive
spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from 7/1 0:00
through 7/31
23:30 (510 values with a range from 50 to 989 NTU; mean of 144 NTU); [not
including the two values > 1000 NTU listed below]; that were not
consistent with
the overall data record, possibly due to a faulty probe. These suspect
data were
not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad (the
post
calibration checked out normal).
Date
           Time
                      Turb
07/26/2002 21:00
                      1103
07/29/2002 11:00
                      1139
b) The following are ninety one episodes of low dissolved oxygen (range
from 2.6
to 3.9 mg/l, mean of 3.5 mg/l) and percent saturation (range from 1.1 to
49.1%,
mean of 32.0%) during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that
were not
consistent with the overall data record (at high tide the readings
returned to
the consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not
deleted. Other parameters and recalibration were good, although fouling
noticed on probe upon retrieval and DO post cal showed drift (13.1%) we
not absolutely sure that these values are bad.
7/12 8:30, 19:30
7/13 8:30
7/14 7:00-9:00
7/16 11:00, 11:30
7/17 10:00, 23:30
7/18 0:30, 12:00
7/19 1:00, 1:30
7/20 1:30, 3:00
7/21 1:00, 3:00, 4:30
7/22 1:30, 2:30, 3:00, 5:00
7/23 3:30-5:00, 6:00
7/24 5:00, 5:30
7/26 5:30, 8:00, 19:00
7/27 5:30, 6:00, 7:00-9:00, 19:30- 21:00
7/28 6:00-9:30, 20:00, 20:30, 21:30
7/29 6:00-10:00, 19:00, 20:00-22:00
7/30 7:30-9:00, 10:00, 19:00, 20:00, 21:00, 21:30, 23:30
7/31 7:00, 8:30, 9:00, 10:00, 10:30, 19:30, 21:00, 21:30, 22:30-
23:30
```

```
Mile Road:
a) Zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the following time
span: 7/25 12:00 to 7/31 17:00 (logging period recorded 14 anomalies),
possibly
due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These data were not
deleted.
b) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive
turbidity
spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from 7/1 0:00
through 7/23
19:00 (37 values with a range from 51 to 181 NTU; mean of 98 NTU); [not
including the one value > 1000 NTU listed below]; that were not
consistent with
the overall data record, possibly due to a faulty probe. These suspect
data were
not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad (the
post
calibration checked out normal).
           Time
07/02/2002 18:00
                      1363
c) The following are 96 episodes of low dissolved oxygen (from 0.2 to 3.0
mq/l,
mean of 2.3 mg/l) and percent saturation (low-range from 2.1 to 38.0%,
mean of
29.4%) during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that were not
consistent with the overall data record (at normal tides the readings
to the consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were
deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other
parameters, the dissolved oxygen post-cal (73.7), and recalibration were
though fouling was noticed on sonde guard and sensors upon retrieval.
These
fluctuations were consistent with extremely high and low tide events,
with high
and low specific conductivity and salinity readings.
7/1 10:00-12:00
7/1 17:00-17:30
7/1
    23:00-7/2 00:30
7/9
     4:30
7/10 5:30, 6:00
7/11 6:00, 18:30
7/12 7:00, 19:30
7/13 7:30-8:30, 20:00, 20:30
7/14 8:30, 9:30
7/15 22:00, 22:30
7/16 10:00, 23:00, 23:30
7/18 0:00, 0:30
7/19 1:00, 1:30
```

Little River Mouth:

```
a) No data is available for entire month. All data was deleted from 7/1 0:00 to
```

7/9 16:00 due to the sonde sensors being submerged with sediment (see Sec 14-

Other Remarks/Notes). Once the sonde was retrieved and rinsed, all post calibrations checked out normal.

August, 2002 Sampling Period

Head of Tide:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the

following time span: 8/01 0:00 to 8/13 12:30 (logging period recorded 229 anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error. These data were not

deleted.

b) The following high positive turbidity spike was recorded and was not consistent with the overall data record. This suspect datum was not deleted, as

we are not absolutely sure that the value was bad.

Date Time Turb 08/21/2002 01:00 0510

c) The following are shallow negative and zero depth data (303 values with a $\,$

range of 0.0 to -0.09 m; mean of -0.03m). Sonde probes suspected to be underwater as other parameters check out

normal. These data were not deleted.

8/5 10:30-18:30, 22:30-23:30

8/6 0:00-19:00

8/16 15:30, 16:00, 19:30-23:30

8/17 0:00-5:30, 10:00-17:00, 21:00-23:30

8/18 0:00-6:30, 8:30-17:30, 22:00-23:30

8/19 0:00-7:30, 9:30-18:30, 23:00, 23:30

8/20 0:00-7:00

8/22 15:30-21:30

8/23 1:00-4:30

8/24 5:30, 6:00, 7:00, 8:00, 9:00-11:00, 14:00-22:30

8/25 2:30-11:30, 14:30-23:30

8/26 3:00-5:30, 11:30, 12:00, 15:00-23:30

d) The following are episodes of low and high dissolved oxygen and percent

saturation during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that were not

consistent with the overall data record (at normal tides the readings returned $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

to the consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not

deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other parameters, the dissolved oxygen post-cal, and recalibration were good. These

fluctuations were consistent with extremely high and low tide events, with high

and low specific conductivity and salinity readings.

Low readings:

Date Time DO% DO mg/L

```
8/14 3:30 036.1 02.8
8/14 4:00 034.4 02.6
8/14 4:30 033.1 02.5
8/14 5:00 035.2 02.7
8/15 4:00 033.9 02.6
8/15 4:30 036.4 02.8
8/15 5:00 028.1 02.2
8/15 5:30 033.1 02.5
8/15 6:00 032.5 02.7
8/16 5:30 037.2 02.9
8/17 7:30 035.8 02.9
High readings:
Date Time DO%
               DO mg/L
8/25 12:00 150.5 12.2
8/26 12:30 165.2 13.6
8/27 13:00 185.2 14.8
8/27 14:30 159.5 12.4
8/27 15:00 161.6 12.6
8/27 15:30 157.5 12.5
8/27 16:00 143.8 12.8
8/28 14:00 155.7 13.4
```

Inlet:

a) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive turbidity

spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from 8/1 0:30 through 8/31

16:30 (370 values with a range from 50 to 832 NTU; mean of 235 NTU); [not including the two values > 1000 NTU listed below]; that were not consistent with

the overall data record, possibly due to a faulty probe. These suspect data were

not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad (the post

calibration checked out normal).

Date Time Turb 08/03/2002 15:00 1037 08/05/2002 16:30 1182

- b) The following are 260 episodes of low dissolved oxygen (range from $1.0\ \mathrm{to}$
- 3.0 mg/l, mean of 0.9 mg/l) and percent saturation (range from -12.8 to 38.7%,

mean of 11.9%) during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that were not

consistent with the overall data record (at high tide the readings returned to

the consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not deleted as we are not absoltely sure that these values are bad. For the first

deployment period: other parameters and recalibration were good, although fouling was noticed on probe upon retrieval and DO post cal showed drift (13.1%). For the second deployment period: other parameters, DO post cal, and

recalibration were good.

```
5:30, 6:00, 8:00, 9:00, 10:00, 10:30, 11:30, 17:00, 20:00, 20:30-
8/1
23:30
8/2
     0:00, 0:30, 5:30, 6:30, 7:00, 8:00-9:00, 10:30-12:30, 22:00-23:30
     0:00-1:30, 5:30, 7:00, 9:00, 10:30-13:00, 19:00, 21:30-23:30
     0:00-3:00, 9:00-14:30, 22:30, 23:00
8/4
8/5
    0:00-15:00
    1:30-4:30, 14:30-16:00
8/6
8/7 1:30-5:30, 10:30
8/12 7:30-8:30, 21:00
8/13 21:00, 22:30
8/14 11:00, 22:30, 23:30
8/15 11:30, 23:30
8/16 0:30, 10:30, 11:00, 12:30, 22:30, 23:00
8/17 0:00-2:30, 11:30-12:30, 23:00
8/18 1:00-3:30
8/19 2:00-4:30
8/20 3:30-5:30
8/21 3:30-7:00, 17:30-18:30
8/22 4:00-6:30
8/23 3:30-7:30, 18:30, 19:00
8/24 4:30-8:30, 19:00-20:00
8/25 6:00-9:00
8/26 4:00-10:00, 19:00-21:30
8/27 6:30-9:00, 19:00-22:30
8/28 3:30-4:30, 9:30, 10:00, 19:30-22:30
8/29 8:30-11:30, 22:00, 23:30
8/30 22:00-23:30
8/31 0:30, 1:30, 9:30-11:30, 23:00, 23:30
Mile Road:
a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout
following time span: 8/1 4:30 to 8/31 5:30 (logging period recorded 102
anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error or faulty probe.
These
data were not deleted.
b) The following are shallow negative depth data (12 values with a range
of -
0.01 to -0.08 m; mean of -0.4 m). Sonde probes suspected to be
underwater as
other parameters check out normal. These data were not deleted.
8/22 17:00, 17:30
8/23 5:30-6:30
8/24 6:00-7:00
8/25 6:30, 7:00, 19:00
8/26 7:30
c) The following are 25 episodes of low dissolved oxygen (from 1.2 to 3.0
mq/l,
mean of 2.4 mg/l) and percent saturation (low-range from 15.9 to 38.1%,
mean of
32.4%) during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that were not
consistent with the overall data record (at normal tides the readings
returned
```

to the consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not

deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad. For the first

deployment period: other parameters and recalibration were good, although fouling was noticed on probe upon retrieval and DO post cal showed drift (48.6%). For the second deployment period:

other parameters, DO post cal, and recalibration were good.

```
8/5 2:30
```

- 8/6 3:00-4:00
- 8/7 4:30
- 8/8 5:00
- 8/9 6:00
- 8/10 5:30
- 8/12 21:30
- 8/13 9:00,22:30
- 8/17 0:30-1:30
- 8/19 2:30, 3:30
- 8/20 3:30, 4:00
- 8/21 4:00-5:30
- 8/22 5:00-6:00

September, 2002 Sampling Period

Head of Tide:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the

following time span: 9/7 8:00 to 9/29 4:00 (logging period recorded 73 anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error. These data were not

deleted.

b) The following high positive turbidity spikes were recorded and were not

consistent with the overall data record. This suspect data were not deleted, as

we are not absolutely sure that the values were bad.

```
Date Time Turb
9/23 1:00 0701
9/23 20:00 0148
9/24 15:30 0462
```

c) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (238 values with a $\,$

range of 0.0 to -0.28~m; mean of -0.06m). Sonde probes suspected to be underwater as other parameters check out

normal. These data were not deleted.

- 9/3 15:00, 16:00-17:30, 23:00, 23:30
- 9/4 0:00-18:30, 23:00-23:30
- 9/5 0:00-8:00, 11:00-18:30
- 9/9 15:30-23:00
- 9/10 4:00-11:30, 16:30-23:30
- 9/11 0:00, 4:30-12:30, 17:30-23:30
- 9/12 0:00-1:30, 4:30-13:30, 17:30-23:30
- 9/13 0:00-2:00, 6:00-8:30, 11:30-14:30
- 9/27 16:30-23:30
- 9/28 0:00-2:00, 4:00-9:30

```
d) The following are episodes of high dissolved oxygen and percent
saturation
during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that were not
consistent with
the overall data record (at normal tides the readings returned to the
consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not
deleted,
as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other
parameters, the
dissolved oxygen post-cal, and recalibration were good. These
fluctuations were
consistent with extremely high and low tide events, with high and low
specific
conductivity and salinity readings.
Date Time DO%
               Domq/L
9/2 18:30 122.9 11.4
9/2 19:00 120.1 10.6
9/9 11:00 125.8 10.3
9/10 12:00 140.6 10.7
9/11 12:30 137.6 10.8
9/12 14:00 139.2 11.4
9/13 15:00 136.9 11.2
9/22 10:30 120.3 10.5
Inlet:
a) The following are missing data points due to time gaps in the data
file.
           9:30:00, 10:00:00
9/05 - 9/13 All 0:00 time points
                All 0:00 time points
9/18 - 9/30
b) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout
the
following time
span: 9/4 10:30 to 9/30 23:30 (logging period recorded 1156 anomalies),
possibly
due to a small calibration error. These data were not deleted.
c) The following are high positive turbidity spikes (>50 NTU) that
occurred
during the deployment that were not consistent with the overall data
record,
possibly due to a faulty probe. These suspect data were not deleted, as
not absolutely sure that these values are bad (the post calibration
checked out
normal).
Date
           Time
                       Turb
09/11/2002 12:30
                       0181
09/10/2002 22:00
                       1293
d) The following are 133 episodes of low dissolved oxygen (range from -
.3 to
3.0 mg/l, mean of 1.3 mg/l) and percent saturation (range from -4.3 to
mean of 16.0%) during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that
```

were not

consistent with the overall data record (at high tide the readings returned to

the consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not deleted. For the first deployment period: other parameters, DO post cal, and

recalibration were good. For the second deployment period: other parameters and

recalibration were good, although fouling was noticed on probe upon retrieval

and DO post cal showed drift (62.4%).

Date Time

- 9/1 0:00-13:00, 14:30, 20:30-23:30
- 9/2 0:00-4:00, 7:30-15:30, 21:30-23:30
- 9/3 0:00-4:30, 8:00-9:00, 12:30-16:30, 21:30-23:30
- 9/4 0:30-5:00
- 9/20 2:30-6:30, 16:00-18:00
- 9/21 2:30-7:00, 15:30-17:30, 19:00
- 9/22 4:00-7:30
- 9/26 19:00
- 9/27 20:00

Mile Road:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the

following time span: 9/1 5:30 to 9/5 9:30 (logging period recorded 11 anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These

data were not deleted.

b) The following are high positive turbidity spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred

during the deployment that were not consistent with the overall data. These

suspect data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values

are bad (the post calibration checked out normal).

Date Time Turb 09/07/2002 07:30 0058 09/11/2002 03:30 0052 09/17/2002 16:30 1774

c) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (48 values with a $\,$

range of -0.01 to -0.28 m; mean of -0.08 m). Sonde probes suspected to be

underwater as other parameters check out normal. These data were not deleted.

- 9/4 2:30
- 9/5 3:00-4:00, 15:30, 16:00
- 9/6 4:00-5:30, 16:30-19:30
- 9/9 6:30-8:00, 19:00-20:00
- 9/10 7:00-8:30, 19:00-21:30
- 9/11 7:00-9:30, 20:00-22:30
- 9/12 8:30-10:00
- d) The following are 52 episodes of low dissolved oxygen (from 0.6 to 3.0 $\mbox{mg/l}$,

```
mean of 1.9 mg/l) and percent saturation (low-range from 7.8 to 36.4%,
mean of
25.1%) during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that were not
consistent with the overall data record (at normal tides the readings
to the consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were
deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad. Other
parameters, DO post cal, and recalibration were good.
9/4
     2:30, 3:00
9/5
    3:30-5:30
9/7 4:30-6:30, 17:30, 18:00
9/8 5:30-7:00, 17:30-19:30
     6:00-8:00, 18:30-20:30
9/9
9/10 7:00-8:30, 19:30-21:30
9/11 8:00, 9:00, 21:00-22:00
9/22 6:00, 6:30
9/24 7:00
October, 2002 Sampling Period
Head of Tide:
a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout
the
following time span: 10/1 3:30 to 10/31 23:30 (logging period recorded
anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error or faulty probe.
These
data were not deleted.
b) The following high positive turbidity spike was recorded and was not
consistent with the overall data record. This suspect datum was not
deleted, as
we are not absolutely sure that the value was bad.
                       Turb
           Time
Date
           10:30
                       0.563
10/17
c) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (115 values
range of 0.0 to -0.09 m; mean of -0.03m). Sonde probes suspected to be
underwater as other parameters check out normal. These data were not
deleted.
10/1 14:00-16:30
10/2 2:00-17:30
10/2 21:00-23:30
10/3 0:00-7:00
10/5 0:00-8:00, 12:00-18:30
10/7 14:30-19:00
10/16 11:30-17:30
10/31 23:00-23:30
Inlet:
a) The following are missing data points due to time gaps in the data
file.
10/01
           0:00
10/02
           0:00
```

10/29

0:00

10/30 0:00 10/31 0:00

b) Turbidity data was deleted from $10/4\ 10:00$ to $10/22\ 10:30$ due to a faulty

probe.

- c) Turbidity data is missing from 10/28 15:30 to 10/31 23:30 due to unavailability of all turbidity probes, all turbidity probes were inoperative.
- d) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the $\,$

following time

span: 10/1 0:30 to 10/2 8:30 (logging period recorded 43 anomalies), possibly

due to a small calibration error. These data were not deleted.

e) The following are 53 episodes of low dissolved oxygen (range from 4.0 to 4.4

mg/l, mean of 4.2 mg/l) and percent saturation (range from 44.8 to 51.1%, mean

of 48.1%) during several low tide and high tide fluctuations that were not

consistent with the overall data record (at high tide the readings returned to

the consistency of the overall data record). These suspect data were not deleted. For the first deployment period: other parameters and recalibration

were good, although fouling was noticed on probe upon retrieval and DO post cal $\,$

showed drift (62.4%). For the second deployment period: other parameters and

recalibration were good, although a bubble was noticed under probe $\ensuremath{\mathsf{membrane}}$ upon

retrieval and DO post cal showed drift (50.2%). For the third deployment period:

other parameters, DO post cal, and recalibration were good.

10/2 2:00

10/18 17:30-23:30

10/19 0:00-8:00, 9:00, 10:00

10/20 3:00, 5:00, 20:00-23:30

10/21 0:00-4:30, 5:30, 9:30, 10:00, 11:00

Mile Road:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the $\ensuremath{^{\text{the}}}$

following time span: 10/1 16:30 to 10/31 22:30 (logging period recorded 568

anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These

data were not deleted.

b) The following high positive turbidity value was collected, and this suspect

data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values were bad.

Date Time Turb 10/31/2002 07:30 0897

c) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (10 values with a $\,$

range of 0.0 to -0.07~m; mean of -0.03m). Sonde probes suspected to be underwater as other parameters check out normal. These data were not deleted:

10/3 2:00, 2:30

10/5 3:30-4:30, 16:30-17:00

10/7 19:00

10/20 4:30-5:00

November, 2002 Sampling Period

Head of Tide:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the $\,$

following time span: 11/1 0:00 to 11/30 23:30 (logging period recorded 1246

anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These

data were not deleted.

b) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (262 values with a $\!\!\!$

range of 0.0 to -0.21 m; mean of -0.06m). Sonde probes suspected to be underwater as other parameters check out normal.

These data were not deleted.

11/1 0:00-18:30, 21:30-23:30

11/2 0:00-7:00, 10:00-19:30, 22:30-23:30

11/3 0:00-3:30

11/4 13:00-21:00

11/5 1:30-3:30

11/6 2:00-9:30

11/6 16:30-18:00

11/10 17:30-23:30

11/11 0:00-3:00, 5:30-15:00, 18:00-19:00

11/22 22:00-23:30,

11/23 2:30-11:30, 14:30

11/29 3:00-5:00, 7:30-17:00, 20:00-23:30

11/30 0:00-5:30, 9:00-18:00, 21:30-23:30

Inlet:

a) The following are missing data points due to time gaps in the data file.

11/01-11/30 All 0:00 time points

b) Turbidity data is missing for entire month due to unavailability of

turbidity probes, all turbidity probes were inoperative.

c) All temperature, salinity, specific conductivity, DO mg/L, depth, and pH data

was deleted from 11/12 23:00 to 11/30 23:30 due to temperature/specific conductivity sensor failure.

Mile Road:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the

following time

```
span: 11/1 5:00 to 11/30 22:30 (logging period recorded 506 anomalies),
possibly
due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These data were not
b) The following high positive turbidity value was collected, and this
data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values
were bad.
           Time
                       Turb
Date
11/02/2002 01:30
                       1203
c) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (73 values
range of 0.0 to -0.21 m; mean of -0.07m). Sonde probes suspected to be
underwater as other parameters check out normal. These data were not
deleted:
11/1 1:30, 2:00, 13:30-14:30
11/2 2:00-3:30, 14:30-16:00, 3:30- 4:30
11/4 17:00-18:00
11/6 6:00, 6:30, 19:00
11/8 20:00-21:30
11/9 21:00-22:00
11/10 22:00-23:00
11/11 23:00, 23:30
11/18 16:00-18:00
11/21 18:30
11/22 18:00-19:30
11/23 18:30-21:00
11/24 7:00-8:30
11/24 19:30-22:00
11/28 23:30
11/29 0:00-1:00, 12:30
11/30 0:00-2:00
11/30 13:00-14:00
December, 2002 Sampling Period
Head of Tide:
a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout
following time span: 12/1 0:00 to 12/5 5:30 (logging period recorded 141
anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error or faulty probe.
These
data were not deleted.
b) No data from 12/9 11:00 to 12/31 23:30. This datalogger was pulled for
year (winter months) to prevent ice damage.
c) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (93 values
range of 0.0 to -0.21 m; mean of -0.6m). Sonde probes suspected to be
underwater
as other parameters check out normal.
These data were not deleted.
12/1 0:00-6:00, 11:00-23:30
12/2 0:00-1:00, 11:30-20:00, 23:00-23:30
12/3 0:00-4:30
```

```
12/6 3:30
```

- 12/7 16:30-21:00
- 12/8 3:30, 10:30-12:30, 16:00-18:30

Inlet:

- a) The following are missing data points due to time gaps in the data file.
- 12/1-12/9 All 0:00 time points
- b) Turbidity data is missing from 12/1 0:00 to 12/9 15:30 due to unavailability
- of all turbidity probes, all turbidity probes were inoperative.
- c) All temperature, salinity, specific conductivity, DO mg/L, depth, and pH data
- was deleted from 12/1 0:00 to 12/9 15:30 due to temperature/specific conductivity sensor failure.
- d) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the
- following time span: 12/11 16:30 to 12/31 22:30 (logging period recorded 426
- anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error. These data were not

deleted.

- e) There was an increasing and decreasing range of high positive turbidity
- spikes (>50 NTU) that occurred during the deployment, from $12/1\ 23:30$ through
- 12/30 6:30 (11 values with a range from 163 to 672 NTU; mean of 327 NTU); that
- were not consistent with the overall data record. These suspect data were not
- deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values are bad (the post

calibration checked out normal).

Time	Turb
23:30	0488
2:00	0381
7:00	0475
17:30	0451
18:00	0672
9:30	0197
21:00	0163
10:00	0116
11:00	0240
7:30	0222
6:30	0189
	23:30 2:00 7:00 17:30 18:00 9:30 21:00 10:00 11:00 7:30

Mile Road:

a) Small negative and/or zero turbidity values were recorded throughout the $\,$

following time span: 12/1 7:00 to 12/9 4:30 (logging period recorded 131 anomalies), possibly due to a small calibration error or faulty probe. These

data were not deleted.

b) The following high positive turbidity value was collected, and this suspect

data were not deleted, as we are not absolutely sure that these values were bad.

Date Time Turb 12/04/2002 11:00:00 1276

c) The following are shallow negative and/or zero depth data (34 values with a $\,$

range of 0.0 to $-0.22 \, \text{m}$; mean of $-0.07 \, \text{m}$). Sonde probes suspected to be underwater as other parameters check out normal. These data were not deleted:

- 12/1 1:00-3:00, 13:30-16:00
- 12/2 2:00-4:30, 15:00-17:00
- 12/3 3:30-4:30
- 12/5 19:00, 19:30
- 12/7 20:00-21:30
- 12/8 8:00, 8:30, 21:00
- d) No data from 12/9 10:30 to 12/31 23:30. This datalogger was pulled for the

year (winter months) to prevent ice damage.

12). Missing data:

Missing data are denoted by a period in the data set. Data are missing due to $\ \ \,$

equipment failure where no probes were deployed, maintenance/calibration of

equipment, elimination of obvious outliers or elimination of data due to calibration problems (both pre and post). For more details on deleted data, see

the Data Anomalies Section. To find out more details about missing data, contact the Research Coordinator at the site submitting the data.

На

13). Post deployment information

End of Deployment Post-calibration Readings in Standard Solutions:

Site Date Sp.Cond(mS) DO (%) Dochq(mv) Depth(m)

	Turbidity(N	NTU)	, 5 \	, -			
	(Std:	10.0) (Std:	100) (Std:	Varia	ble) (Std:7)	(Std:	0.0)
HT	04/01/02	9.99	101.4	n/a	-0.023	7.01	-0.5
HT	04/22/02	9.93	96.0	n/a	-0.018	7.09	-0.4
HT	05/14/02	9.96	97.5	n/a	-0.109	7.05	1.0
HT	06/07/02	10.04	94.4	n/a	0.062	7.07	2.3
HT	06/27/02	10.00	98.5	n/a	-0.128	6.98	-4.4
HT	07/18/02	10.06	98.0	n/a	0.0	7.0	0.7
HT	08/13/02	9.96	93.4	n/a	0.043	7.03	-2.0
HT	09/13/02	9.49	111.0	n/a	0.084	7.06	9.2
пт	09/13/02	J.4J	T T T • O	11/ d	0.004	7.00	9.

HT	10/01/02	9.74	98.0	n/a	-0.013	6.96	-5.6
HT HT	10/28/02 12/09/02	10.89 9.88	98.1 104.5	43.1 39.0	-0.008 0.111	7.05 6.98	-0.1 -0.2
ML	04/10/02	10.01	96.5	n/a	0.94	7.07	-0.2
ML	04/30/02 05/17/02 06/05/02 07/02/02 07/22/02 08/22/02 09/13/02 10/01/02 10/28/02 12/09/02	9.96 9.97 10.05 10.11 10.05 9.95 9.99 10.38 10.25 10.07	85.5 86.1 82.8 101.4 73.7 48.6 99.3 89.0 87.9 75.6	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 45.1	-1.103 0.137 0.154 -0.046 0.179 0.121 -0.004 -0.02 -0.003 0.114	7.13 7.04 7.06 7.11 7.08 7.22 7.04 7.08 7.03 7.03	3.4 1.7 -1.4 3.1 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.0 -1.9
IN I	01/08/02 01/29/02 03/12/02 04/02/02 04/22/02 05/15/02 06/05/02 07/01/02 08/07/02 09/04/02 10/02/02 10/22/02 12/09/02 01/06/03	10.53 10.06 9.99 10.0 9.94 10.01 10.09 10.06 10.01 9.96 9.82 10.14 6.56 10.03	108.4 114.4 104.1 96.6 96.0 44.3 94.3 89.3 13.1 96.0 62.4 50.2 101.2 92.6	39.4 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	0.028 0.171 -0.020 -0.049 0.050 0.010 -0.021 0.021 0.017 -0.094 0.001 0.00 0.00	7.09 7.09 7.09 6.98 7.22 7.04 7.07 7.11 7.01 7.05 7.04 6.96 6.98	-0.1 0.2 0.4 0.0 -0.6 -0.2 -0.4 -0.1 0.4 0.1 -2.5 23.5 n/a 0.2
LM LM LM LM LM	04/23/02 05/21/02 05/28/02 06/21/02 07/09/02	10.05 10.01 10.07 9.97 10.07	99.5 99.0 100.9 88.9 97.9	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	0.407 0.048 0.029 0.015 0.022	7.17 7.04 6.97 7.00 7.12	0.0 0.0 -4.8 0.0 0.2

14). Other Remarks/Notes:

On 07/01/2021 this dataset was updated to include embedded QAQC flags for anomalous/suspect data.

System-wide monitoring data beginning in 2007 were processed to allow for QAQC flags and codes to

be embedded in the data files rather than detailed in the metadata alone (as in the anomalous/suspect, $\,$

deleted, and missing data sections above). Prior to 2006, rejected data were deleted from the dataset

so they are unavailable to be used at all, but suspect data were only noted in the metadata document.

Suspect data flags <1> were embedded retroactively in order to allow suspect data to be easily

identified and filtered from the dataset if desired for analysis and reporting purposes. No other

flags or codes were embedded in the dataset and users should still refer to the detailed explanations above for more information.

A) IMPORTANT CHANGES TO NOTE AT THE INLET SITE (IN): where our telemetry unit is

stationed: A new vented level YSI 6600 with its new vented level cable was

deployed to collect its first data on 5/4/99 at 12:00. The YSI telemetry unit

began collecting its first data on 3/16/98 at 10:30:00 (see Section 4-Research

Methods). The telemetry unit failed some time between 02/03/02 and 02/26/02.

Water contaminated the vented level cable and shorted out the attached vented

level 6600 sonde. Both the cable and sonde were sent back for repair and returned in September. The cable was then re-attached and the sonde deployed but

there has been no communication to the field boxes since.

- B) IMPORTANT CHANGES TO NOTE AT THE INLET SITE (IN): For all data collected from $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$
- 2/26 11:30 through 9/4 11:30, a non-vented YSI 6600 was used.
- C) IMPORTANT CHANGES TO NOTE AT THE INLET SITE (IN): In early 2002, the datasonde was improperly programmed. The interval setting was confused with the $\frac{1}{2}$

start up time as adjustments were being made to allow for the DO probe warm up

time. This caused the sonde to take a reading every 29 minutes, causing the data

set to be off more and more each day. Importing of the file in this way caused

there to be extra rows of data. In order to have a correct monthly file of data,

the technicians edited the raw file to adjust for these extra rows of data. Both

the original raw file and the edited raw file are being stored on the $\ensuremath{\mathtt{CDMO}}$

server. Please contact reserve for further questions.

D) IMPORTANT CHANGES TO NOTE AT THE LITTLE RIVER MOUTH SITE (LM): The deployment

for this site was the first ever for the SWMP program at the Wells NERR. We

deployed the sonde in the mouth of the Little River on 4/01/02 and upon

retrieval on 4/23/02 we noticed a serious accumulation of sediment that buried

the probes of the sonde. We located another site within an inlet adjacent to the $\ensuremath{\text{c}}$

main channel and deployed the sonde again on 5/06/02. We had several successful

deployment periods at this new site but found the sonde buried again on 7/09/02.

We needed time to re-evaluate the situation and did not deploy again at the

Little River Mouth for the rest of the year.

 ${\tt E}{\tt)}$ The use of the newest (Version 3.0) National Estuarine Research Reserve

(NERR) System-Wide Monitoring Program (SWMP) YSI 6-Series Multi-Parameter Water

Quality Monitor Standard Operating Procedures began in December ${}^{1}00$ when they

became available.

 ${\rm F})$ With our extra data sonde, we have been reducing the amount of time of missing data from calibrations and maintenance for all sites. Some months have

no missing data from the use of this extra sonde.

G) Any time a reference is made to turbidity data being negative and/or zero, it

was recorded as a negative in the raw data file and a zero in the edited data

file due to the formatting of Excel. The technician edited none of these data

points by hand nor did he/she delete any of them.